

University of Nebraska at Omaha

DigitalCommons@UNO

**Evaluation/Reflection** 

Barbara A. Holland Collection for Service Learning and Community Engagement (SLCE)

1997

# Massachusetts Service Alliance Site Visit Monitoring Tool

Massachusetts Service Alliance

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/slceeval

Part of the Service Learning Commons

Please take our feedback survey at: https://unomaha.az1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/ SV\_8cchtFmpDyGfBLE

#### **Recommended Citation**

Massachusetts Service Alliance, "Massachusetts Service Alliance Site Visit Monitoring Tool" (1997). *Evaluation/Reflection*. 15. https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/slceeval/15

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Barbara A. Holland Collection for Service Learning and Community Engagement (SLCE) at DigitalCommons@UNO. It has been accepted for inclusion in Evaluation/Reflection by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNO. For more information, please contact unodigitalcommons@unomaha.edu.



#### Massachusetts Service Alliance Site Visit Monitoring Tool

P	rogram	Name:	

Date of visit:\_\_\_\_\_

Directions: Rate each core element statement as it applies to the program.

Use the following key when rating Core Elements

- 3 = The Program fully demonstrates the core element
- 2= The Program substantially demonstrates the core element
- 1= The Program somewhat demonstrates the core element (unacceptably low)
- 0= The Program does not address the core element (unacceptably low)

Core Elements of Successful Service Programs	0	1	2	3
Organizational Capacity				
Strategy for staff recruitment, orientation, ongoing training and				
development. Clear job descriptions. Staff evaluation and grievance				
procedures. Staff knowledge of national service. Diversity of staff	353205	9.80.2012		
mirrors community in which the program operates. Organizational				
support of program. Realistic and thoughtful plan for sustainability.				
Board involvement when appropriate. Workplace standards are met.	COME SALES	i grah a		
Service Projects				
Address community assessed needs. Direct and measurable results.				
Project linked to annual service objectives. Regular				
member/participant training and safety issues identified, monitored				
and addressed. Strategic plan for project selection.				
Evaluation and Continuous Improvement				
All annual objectives recognized by all stakeholders. Formal		a de Maxia A de Antica		
tracking of progress towards annual objectives. Input from				
stakeholders is gathered in a structured way throughout the year and				
is disseminated back to stakeholders. Mechanisms in place to				
implement changes throughout the year. Third party evaluation				
done.				
Participant Experience				
Strategic recruitment strategy. Participants reflect community in				
which they serve. Participants receive orientation, ongoing training,				
sufficient supervision, and support. There are structured leadership				
opportunities. Enrollment and retention rates are collected.				
Participant evaluation and recognition takes place. Participants know				
of Massachusetts and national service network.		SASIOS &		
Partnerships and Community Assets				
Key partners feel needs and expectations being met. Partners aware				
of program's community impact. Formal recognition of partner				
support. Partners understand how program is part of larger network.				

#### Massachusetts Service Alliance

#### **Community Based Programmatic Site Visit Monitoring Tool**

NAME OF	PROGRAM

DATE OF VISIT\_\_\_\_\_

MONITORS(S)\_\_\_\_\_

# Of Participants\_\_\_\_\_\_ # At time of visit\_\_\_\_\_

# of terminations to date\_\_\_\_\_

Are there any outstanding issues that the Alliance has with the program from previous visits and phone conversations? Have they been resolved? What still requires follow-up or corrective action?

.

Notes for necessary follow-up after site visit:

For first year programs, have all implementation steps been completed? Or, for continuing programs, what changes have been made as a result of lessons learned from previous years?

**Technical Assistance Needed** 

#### ORGANIZATIONAL CAPACITY

Strategy for staff recruitment, orientation, ongoing training and development. Clear job descriptions. Staff evaluation and grievance procedures. Staff knowledge of national service. Diversity of staff mirrors community in which the program operates. Organizational support of program. Realistic and thoughtful plan for sustainability. Board involvement when appropriate.

0 1 2 3 Staff recruitment and hiring is strategic. The recruitment strategy results in a staff that mirrors the community in which the program operates. Staff recruitment and hiring also results in staff with expertise in working with Participant population. Publicity and outreach efforts are innovative and creative (i.e. job postings in multiple languages). Evidence of a thoughtful staff outreach strategy.

0 1 2 3 Initial orientation for all staff covers national service history, grant compliance issues, program overview, job roles and responsibilities. All program staff has read the grant proposal and provisions. Staff are familiar with and can articulate the program's annual objectives outlined in the grant.

0 1 2 3 Staff has process for and are proficient in managing and documenting the following participant procedures: grievances, injuries, attendance, and tardiness. Staff express confidence in handling any disciplinary actions that may arise.

0 1 2 3 Ongoing professional development is offered on a regular basis to all staff.

0 1 2 3 Strong organizational support of the program is clearly articulated by program staff. Staff report that host agency offers ample space, resources, and training to program. Program staff report that host agency responds to program's needs in a timely manner.

0 1 2 3 Staff morale is high. Organizational staffing pattern is sufficient to administer program. Regularly scheduled staff meetings are held. Staff are given opportunities to give input into program design. Structures are in place to recognize staff contributions. Strong and consistent supervision is provided to staff by supervisors, including regularly scheduled feedback sessions. Methods are in place to prevent low morale and staff burnout.

0 1 2 3 Program has a plan in place to recruit and integrate people with disabilities. Accommodations are provided to enable the inclusion of people with disabilities.

0 1 2 3 Staff input/feedback is solicited on a regular basis. Staff communication is open, clear and consistent. (Describe structured opportunities staff have to give input into the program.)

0 1 2 3 Service Learning is understood by appropriate programmatic staff. Service Learning training is offered to staff or provided during staff orientation.

Total points/# of questions=Core Score

\_\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_\_

Additional Information:

Describe how the organization's board of directors is informed of program activities and involved in appropriate decision making.

For youth-focussed programs, do young people serve on the board of directors, advisory board, or staff of the program?

## ORGANIZATONAL CAPACITY

## **Compliance Checklist**

Documentation	Yes	No	Comments
Mission statement available			
Approved annual objectives available			
Job descriptions available			
Staff evaluation documentation			
Grievance procedure			
Drug Free Workplace Policy available			······································
Grant provisions on file		 	·
Acceptance and Certifications signed and on file			
Award conditions on file			
Proposal on file			······································
Progress report on file		 	
Non-discrimination policy on file			
Program amendments on file			
Budget amendments on file			
Liability Coverage			
Appropriate safety training provided			

Any other organizational highlights or particular challenges (i.e. staff turnover)?

Any other service project highlights or particular challenges?

ŵ

#### SERVICE PROJECTS

Addresses critical community needs. Direct and measurable results. Project linked to annual service objectives. Participant training and safety issues identified and addressed. Strategic plan for project selection. Community Service Learning is practiced.

0 1 2 3 Service projects address critical community needs as defined by the community. Projects clearly improve the community and produce direct, demonstrable, measurable and tangible results.

0 1 2 3 There is a strategic plan for project selection. Projects are chosen and planned with annual service objectives in mind.

0 1 2 3 Service partners and program staff agree on objectives and participant roles and understand the regulatory limitations of participant activities. Service partner offers all agreed upon onsite resources to participants and program staff. Sufficient supervision is offered to participants and staff on all service projects.

0

0 1 2 3 Short and long term goals and benchmarks are identified to assure that annual service goals are met. If a project is not making sufficient progress towards community service objectives, the program has a clear plan of action to meet the objectives by the end of the year.

0 1 2 3 Project and site specific training is offered to staff and participants. Staff and participants receive ongoing training to implement service projects effectively and excellently.

0 1 2 3 Service Learning is infused into each service project. Staff members understand and can provide examples of service learning activities completed by participants. Participants spend time reflecting on the service work they are providing using a variety of methods: journal writing, focussed discussions, guest speakers, reflection, etc. Participants gain a deeper understanding of the social, economic, and political issues associated with the service topic/issue.

0 1 2 3 Safety issues are identified and monitored and safety training is provided throughout the program year. There is adequate staff to participant ratio.

0 1 2 3 Service partner input/feedback is solicited on a regular basis. Communication between program and service partner is open, clear, and consistent. Describe structured opportunities for service partner input/feedback.

Total points/# of questions=Core Score

1997-1998 Site Visit Monitoring Tool

1

=

#### EVALUATON/CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

Annual objectives recognized by all stakeholders. Formal tracking of progress towards annual objectives. Input from stakeholders is gathered in a structured way throughout the year and is disseminated back to stakeholders. Evidence of acting on stakeholder feedback. Third party evaluation done (optional).

0 1 2 3 Whom does the program identify as stakeholders?

0 1 2 3 Program has identified the needs of its stakeholders.

0 1 2 3 Appropriate annual objectives (Community Service and Participant Development) are clearly recognized by the appropriate stakeholders.

0 1 2 3 Input from stakeholders is structured and gathered through formal mechanisms throughout the year.

0 1 2 3 Feedback from continuous improvement tools/processes is shared with all identified stakeholders on a regular and consistent basis. Describe how this is done.

0 1 2 3 Program staff are able to demonstrate how they have responded to stakeholder feedback.

0 1 2 3 Program is tracking progress towards its annual **Community Service** objectives using the measurement tools identified in its proposal. Measurement tool is available.

0 1 2 3 Program is tracking progress towards its annual **Participant Development** objectives using the measurement tools identified in its proposal. Measurement tool is available.

Total points/# of questions=Core Score

1

\_=.

### **EVALUATION/CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT**

### **Compliance Check List**

Documentation	Yes	No	Comments
Service Objective evaluation tools on file		1974	1.
			2.
			3.
		-	4.
Participant Development evaluation tools on file			1.
			2.
			3.
			4.

Any other evaluation/continuous improvement highlights or particular challenges?

#### PARTICIPANT EXPERIENCE

Strategic recruitment strategy. Participants reflect community in which they serve. Participants receive orientation, ongoing training, sufficient supervision and support. There are structured leadership opportunities. Enrollment and retention rates are collected. Participant evaluation and recognition takes place. Participants know of Massachusetts and national service network.

0 1 2 3 Program has strategic recruitment strategy to ensure that participants reflect the demographics of the community in which they are serving. Publicity and outreach efforts are creative, strategic, and innovative.

0 1 2 3 Participants were told of and are aware of the following:

- Prohibited Activities
- Reasons for suspension or termination of service
- Grievance Procedures

0 I 2 3 Participants receive a thorough orientation to the program. Participants state orientation informed them of the goals and objectives of the program. Participants have a clear vision of the program and their roles. Describe orientation.

0 1 2 3 Program informs participants of national and statewide service network so participants recognize that they are part of a larger community service learning network.

.

0 1 2 3 Participants are able to articulate the mission and general goals of the program (potentially the specific annual objectives) and can describe the program's accomplishments to date.

0 1 2 3 Participants receive ongoing training on a regular basis (may be weekly, monthly, or retreats) that enables them to perform high-quality service.

0 1 2 3 There are structures in place to recognize participants' achievements (i.e. awards, certificates) made in conjunction with the program and wider community in which they serve.

0 1 2 3 Participants needing special accommodations are given the necessary resources to perform their service successfully.

0 1 2 3 Participants state that they explore issues about themselves, their service, and their community that are raised by their service activities using a variety of methods (i.e. journal writing, discussions, research, artistic expression) to provide a deeper understanding of critical issues involved in their service work.

0 1 2 3 Participants consistently articulate that the service work they are performing is valuable to the community.

0 1 2 3 Participants serve in a variety of structured leadership roles throughout the program. (Describe opportunities)

0 1 2 3 Strategies and structures are in place to prevent burnout, low morale, and loss of participants at risk of dropping out.

Number of participants

Number of participants released for cause

Number of participants who have left for compelling personal reasons

0 1 2 3 Participants understand and adhere to the grant's prohibited activities (i.e. fundraising, lobbying, religious proselytizing etc.)

0 1 2 3 Participants have regular, structured opportunities for input/feedback into the program.

0 1 2 3 Participants express a high level of satisfaction with their program. Participants feel their program is meeting their expectations. Participants feel staff treats them fairly and professionally.

Total points/# of questions=Core Score

### PARTICIPANT EXPERIENCE

### **Compliance Check List**

Documentation	Yes	No	Comments
Minimum participant qualifications available			
Attendance/Documentation of service hours			

#### PARTNERSHIPS AND COMMUNITY ASSETS

Key partners feel needs and expectations are met. Partners are aware of program's community impact. Formal recognition of partner support. Partners understand how the program is part of the larger MA and Community based national network.

0 1 2 3 Partner input/feedback is solicited on a regular and structured basis. Communication between program and service partners is open, clear, and consistent. Describe structured opportunities for service partner input/feedback.

0 1 2 3 Service partners feel their needs and expectations are being met.

0 1 2 3 All partners are able to articulate what the program does. Partners in the community are regularly informed of the program's progress and activities.

0 1 2 3 All partners are aware of and knowledgeable about the program's part in the larger state and national service initiatives.

0 1 2 3 Service partners, community members, and service recipients (if applicable) articulate that the program's services are valuable and have an impact in the community.

0 1 2 3 Ongoing efforts to keep the public and press up to date are documented (i.e. articles, press releases, video clippings) and available at time of visit.

.

0 1 2 3 Program has identified and utilized community assets to achieve the program objectives. (Describe assets utilized and linkages to program.)

0 1 2 3 Partner support is formally recognized throughout the year.

0 1 2 3 Collaboration with partnering organizations reflects the demographic diversity of the community.

Total points/# of questions=Core Score	

# MASSACHUSETTS SERVICE ALLIANCE Community-Based Service Learning Programs 1998-1999 Program Year Projected Data

Your responses to the information requested in this form are extremely important to the Alliance. The information you provide below will be used to educate elected officials and the general public on the make up and impact of community-based service learning throughout the Commonwealth during the 1997-1998 program year. Thank you for your efforts.

PROGRAM:	
PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM:	
PARENT ORGANIZATION:	
ADDRESS:	
PHONE:	FAX:

FINANCIAL PROFILE	TOTAL AMOUNT
Projected Sources of Funding:	
Alliance Federal Funds (Learn and Serve)	
• Federal, Other (please specify)	
Alliance State Funds	
• State, Other (please specify)	
Foundations	
Corporations and Businesses	
• Other (please specify)	
Total Program Budget	
<b>Cost of Program per participant:</b> Total Program Budget/total participants	

SERVICE RECIPIENT INFORMATION	TOTAL NUMBER
Total # of individuals served (estimate if exact unknown)	
Total # of communities served	
Economically disadvantaged individuals	
Geographic Breakdown:	
• Urban	
• Rural	
• Suburban	
• Mixed (please describe)	

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION	TOTAL NUMBER
Age range of Participants:	
• Under 10yrs.	
• 10-17yr. olds	
• 18-21yr. olds	
• 21-40yr. olds	
• 41-60yr. olds	
• 61+ yr. olds	
Sub-Total	

Ra	cial/Ethnic Breakdown of Participants:	
•	White (non-Hispanic)	
•	African American	······································
•	Hispanic/Latino	
•	Asian/Pacific Islander	
•	Native American/Native Alaskan	
•	Multi-Racial	
•	Other (specify)	
Su	b-Total	

G	ender Breakdown of Participants:	
•	Male	
٠	Female	
Su	b-Total	

Ge	ographic Breakdown of Participants:
•	Urban
٠	Rural
•	Suburban
٠	Mixed (please describe)
Su	b-Total

Ο	ther Participant Information:	
•	Economically disadvantaged *	
•	Special Needs	
•	Out of school youth	

SERVICE INFORMATION	TOTAL NUMBER
# of Hours per week that the program operates	
# of Days per Week that the program operates	
# of Weeks per Year that the program operates	
# of Hours per Year spent on Special Events	
PROGRAM HOURS PER YEAR	
<ul> <li>A. Total # of participants' direct service (direct service hours are defined as time actually engaged in service projects)</li> <li>(ex: # of participants x # of service hours per participant)</li> <li>(4 participants x 70 service hours = 280 total service hours)</li> </ul>	
<b>B.</b> Total # of hours spent in <i>preparation</i> for service (training and site preparation time) (4 participants x 20 preparation hours = 80 total prep. hours)	
C. Total # of hours spent in <i>reflection</i> and recognition	
activities	
(4 participants x 20 reflection hours = $80$ total reflection hours)	
Total # of all program hours (A+B+C)	

ex. : tutoring ESL / 200 hours per year	
Service Provided:	
Human Needs	
ex.: food distribution/400 hrs per year	
Service Provided:	
Public Safety	
ex.: 2 anti-violence wksps/8 hrs per year	
Service Provided:	
• Environment	
ex.: clean-up 5 parks / 40 hrs per year	
Service Provided:	
Other (please specify)	www.au.
Service Provided:	

# MASSACHUSETTS SERVICE ALLIANCE Community-Based Service Learning Programs 1998-1999 Program Year Projected Data

Your responses to the information requested in this form are extremely important to the Alliance. The information you provide below will be used to educate elected officials and the general public on the make up and impact of community-based service learning throughout the Commonwealth during the 1997-1998 program year. Thank you for your efforts.

PROGRAM:		
PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM:		-
PARENT ORGANIZATION:		
ADDRESS:	·····	
PHONE:	FAX:	

FINANCIAL PROFILE	TOTAL AMOUNT
Projected Sources of Funding:	
Alliance Federal Funds (Learn and Serve)	
• Federal, Other (please specify)	
Alliance State Funds	
• State, Other (please specify)	
Foundations	
Corporations and Businesses	
• Other (please specify)	
Total Program Budget	
<b>Cost of Program per participant:</b> Total Program Budget/total participants	

SE	RVICE RECIPIENT INFORMATION	TOTAL NUMBER
To	tal # of individuals served (estimate if exact unknown)	
To	tal # of communities served	
Ec	onomically disadvantaged individuals	
Ge	ographic Breakdown:	
٠	Urban	
٠	Rural	
•	Suburban	
٠	Mixed (please describe)	

PARTICIPANT/INFORMATION	TOTAL NUMBER
Age range of Participants:	
• Under 10yrs.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• 10-17yr. olds	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• 18-21yr. olds	
• 21-40yr. olds	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• 41-60yr. olds	
• 61+ yr. olds	
Sub-Total	

Ra	cial/Ethnic Breakdown of Participants:	
٠	White (non-Hispanic)	
•	African American	
٠	Hispanic/Latino	
٠	Asian/Pacific Islander	······································
•	Native American/Native Alaskan	
٠	Multi-Racial	
٠	Other (specify)	
Su	b-Total	

G	ender Breakdown of Participants:	
٠	Male	······································
٠	Female	
Su	ub-Total	Tendense anderstanderstanderstander i Argen

Ge	ographic Breakdown of Participants:	
٠	Urban	
٠	Rural	
•	Suburban	
٠	Mixed (please describe)	
Su	b-Total	

0	ther Participant Information:	
•	Economically disadvantaged *	
•	Special Needs	
٠	Out of school youth	

SERVICE INFORMATION	TOTAL NUMBER
# of Hours per week that the program operates	
# of Days per Week that the program operates	
# of Weeks per Year that the program operates	
# of Hours per Year spent on Special Events	
PROGRAM HOURS PER YEAR	
<ul> <li>A. Total # of participants' direct service (direct service hours are defined as time actually engaged in service projects)</li> <li>(ex: # of participants x # of service hours per participant)</li> <li>(4 participants x 70 service hours = 280 total service hours)</li> </ul>	
<b>B.</b> Total # of hours spent in <i>preparation</i> for service (training and site preparation time) (4 participants x 20 preparation hours = 80 total prep. hours)	
C. Total # of hours spent in <i>reflection</i> and recognition activities (4 participants x 20 reflection hours = 80 total reflection hours)	
Total # of all program hours (A+B+C)	

÷ \*

Education	
ex. : tutoring ESL / 200 hours per year	
Service Provided:	
Human Needs	
ex.: food distribution/400 hrs per year	
Service Provided:	
Service Troviaca	
Public Safety	
ex.: 2 anti-violence wksps/8 hrs per year	
Service Provided:	
• Environment	
ex.: clean-up 5 parks / 40 hrs per year	
Service Provided:	
Other (please specify)	
Service Provided:	
TOTAL DIRECT SERVICE HOURS (should eq	ual A from
above)	

#### Form developed by NYSCC and Project STAR 6/15/98

# New York State Corps Collaboration Form G1-A: Environmental Protection and Conservation Projects

Site Name:			
Reporting period: $\Box$ 3/1/98 – 9.	/30/98 (pilot) 🔲 10/1/98 – 12/	31/98 🗖 1/1/99 –	3/31/99 4/1/99 - 9/30/99
Date:	Individual(s) completir	ng this form:	
Instructions: Please complete one for	m for each major project.		
Project Name and Description	Outcome(s) Achieved	Level of Success Achieved	Measure
What were the project activities?	What changed because of this project? (please check all that apply)	How much change occurred? E.g. please quantify the outcomes.	What were the main components of your system for measuring outcomes?
Project Name:	Land was restored /conserved/improved. Please explain what the project changed about the	Amount of land: or Miles of trail:	What method did you use to measure your level of success? E.g. survey, test, focus group, observation, log, etc.
Description:	land:	or Miles of waterway:	Who completed the instrument(s)?
		or 	Any other comments on your system for measuring? E.g. sampling design, challenges.
	Use of park / natural area increased.	Increase in number of individuals per year : Total:Percent:	
Number of Beneficiaries:	Other outcome occurred:	Amount:	

# New York State Corps Collaboration Form G1-B/Ed: Environmental Education Projects

Site Name:			
Reporting period: $\Box$ 3/1/98 – 9.	/30/98 (pilot) 🔲 10/1/98 – 12/	31/98 🔲 1/1/99 – 3/	31/99
Date:	Individual(s) completing	g this form:	
Instructions: Please complete one for	m for each major project.		
Project Name and Description	Outcome(s) Achieved	Level of Success Achieved	Measure
What were the project activities?	What changed because of this project? (please check all that apply)	How much change occurred? E.g. please quantify the outcomes.	What were the main components of your system for measuring outcomes?
Project Name:	Individuals increased their knowledge of preservation and promotion of sustainable local and neighborhood environments.	How many individuals increased their knowledge? Total:Percent:	What method(s) did you use to measure your level of success? E.g. survey, test, focus group, observation, log, etc.
Description:	Please describe type of knowledge.	By how much?	Who completed the instrument(s)?
	Individuals increased their commitment to preservation and promotion of sustainable local and neighborhood environments.	How many individuals increased their commitment? Total:Percent: By how much?	Any other comments on your system for measuring? E.g. sampling design, challenges.
Number of Beneficiaries:	Other outcome occurred:	Amount:	

# New York State Corps Collaboration Form CS-1: Partnership Strengthening

Site Name:			
Reporting period: $\Box$ 3/1/98 – 9/	/30/98 (pilot) 🔲 10/1/98 – 12/	31/98 🗖 1/1/99 –	3/31/99 4/1/99 - 9/30/99
Date:	Individual(s) completing	g this form:	
Instructions: Please complete one fro	m, covering all your partnerships	, each quarter.	
Description of Partnerships	Outcomes Achieved	Level of Success Achieved	Measure
What were the partnership activities?	What changed because of these partnerships? (please check all that apply)	How much change occurred? E.g. please quantify the outcomes.	What were the main components of your system for measuring outcomes?
Description:	Organizations are willing to continue working with your program.	Number of organizations willing to continue working with your program:	What method did you use to measure your level of success? E.g. survey, test, focus group, observation, log, etc.
			Who completed the instrument(s)?
	Other outcome occurred:	Amount:	
			Any other comments on your system for measuring? E.g. sampling design, challenges.
Number of Partners this quarter:			
Number of Partners YTD:			