

University of Nebraska at Omaha DigitalCommons@UNO

Publications Archives, 1963-2000

Center for Public Affairs Research

7-1974

Review of Applied Urban Research 1974, Vol. 02, No. 07

Center for Public Affairs Research (CPAR) University of Nebraska at Omaha

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/cparpubarchives

Part of the Demography, Population, and Ecology Commons, and the Public Affairs Commons Please take our feedback survey at: https://unomaha.az1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_8cchtFmpDyGfBLE

Recommended Citation

(CPAR), Center for Public Affairs Research, "Review of Applied Urban Research 1974, Vol. 02, No. 07" (1974). *Publications Archives*, 1963-2000. 408.

https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/cparpubarchives/408

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for Public Affairs Research at DigitalCommons@UNO. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications Archives, 1963-2000 by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNO. For more information, please contact unodigitalcommons@unomaha.edu.



CENTER FOR APPLIED URBAN RESEARCH

COLLEGE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

July 1974

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA AT OMAHA

Vol. 2, No. 7

OMAHA-COUNCIL BLUFFS BORDER TAX ISSUE

Introduction

Recently governmental administrators and politicians of Omaha have voiced concern over the sales losses that might result because of lowa's exemption of food purchases from the retail sales tax--a measure which took effect July 1, 1974.

Generally, it is felt that a sales tax rate differential between two border cities will influence sales as favorable rate differentials are reduced or unfavorable rate differentials are created or widened. However, the magnitude of the problem will depend on many factors, e.g., location of major shopping facilities, distance, convenience, amount of purchases, size of tax rate differential and so forth. It would generally be expected that the problem would be greatest when the area's principal shopping center is across the state line in the lower tax area and the tax rate differential is large.

To make informed decisions there must be some idea of the sales tax loss that might be expected from the food tax rate differential that now exists (3.5 percent). Ultimately, the need for sales tax revenue must be weighed against its loss while considering objections to other revenue sources.²

It is the purpose of this study to determine what immediate effect, if any, tax exemption of food in lowa is having on consumer buying habits--i.e., to determine if consumers are influenced by the tax rate differential between Omaha and Council Bluffs.

Previous Empirical Studies

A few studies have attempted to answer the border tax question, and they have not been unanimous in their conclusions. An early study of the effects of the Illinois and Iowa sales taxes in encouraging persons to buy outside the state, based on analysis of sales and sales tax collections in border and non-border counties, suggest that the tax resulted in some business loss in Illinois border counties but without evidence of loss in Iowa

¹See: "Omahans: Tax End in Bluffs Can Hurt", July 1, 1974, and "Sales Taxes Also Worry Mrs. Abbott", July 6, 1974, World Herald.

²In a recent survey by the Center for Applied Urban Research, retail sales tax as a local revenue producer was preferred to the property tax. See: "Taxes and State Financing of Local Schools: A Survey of Public Opinion", *Review of Applied Urban Research*, May, 1974, p. 11.

counties. Even in Illinois, the loss was of minor significance relative to total retail sales. In another study a sales tax of one percent in Denver, Colorado created an initial loss of sales, but retail trade patterns eventually returned to approximately the pre-tax situation, with only a minimum ultimate loss of sales in evidence. A study of retail sales in three border towns of Washington State showed that residents attempted to avoid the 3-1/3 percent sales tax rate (the rate in 1958, the year of the study) by shopping in neighboring Idaho and Oregon (non-tax states in 1958) with the result that a significant volume of sales was loss to nearby communities. A more recent study estimates retail sales loss from tax rate differentials from 2 to 11 percent for every one percent increase in the level of the city tax relative to the sales tax in the surrounding area.

Current Sales Tax Law in Iowa and Nebraska

lowa imposes a three percent sales tax on gross receipts of all tangible personal property. The tax also applies to many services. The sales tax excludes food for human consumption (however, the law does not exempt meals purchased in restaurants from the sales tax). In Nebraska a sales tax is levied on all gross receipts. The state levies a 2.5 percent tax and the City of Omaha levies a one percent tax. As in Iowa, perscription drugs in Nebraska are exempt from the retail sales tax. Unlike Iowa, Nebraska exempts most services from the retail sales tax. As of July 1974, Iowa is one of eighteen sales tax states that exempts all, or almost all, food from the sales tax. Nebraska is one of the few states that allows a sales tax credit against the State Income Tax. To Exemption of food and the sales tax credit are the two general approaches used by state governments to reduce the absolute burden on the lowest income groups.

Study Design

A total of 2,767 automobiles were counted on July 12th and 13th in 34 of the major food shopping centers in Omaha and Council Bluffs. For this study the City of Omaha was divided into six subareas. First, Omaha was divided into a north and south component by Dodge Street. Then, 42nd and 72nd Streets were used to divide east, central and west Omaha.

Council Bluffs was not divided into different subareas, but several shopping centers were surveyed.

In addition to the automobile count, 27 interviews were conducted with individuals driving automobiles licensed in Douglas County, Nebraska who were shopping in Council Bluffs, Iowa.

Findings

The results of the license plate survey are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The data indicates that there has been little immediate impact on sales as a result of the tax rate differential on food between Omaha and Council Bluffs. Specifically, of the 2,144 automobiles counted in Omaha, nine out of ten were licensed in Douglas County. An estimated 2.5 percent of the vehicles counted in Omaha were licensed in Iowa. The percentage of Iowa vehicles counted in shopping centers in Omaha did not vary significantly by subarea, with one exception—northeast Omaha, where the percentage of Iowa vehicles was 5.5 percent of the total count. This is largely attributed to the influence of Carter Lake residents, i.e., residents of Iowa that are, in effect, "locked in" to shop on the Nebraska side of the Missouri River.

Of the 623 automobiles counted in Iowa shopping centers an estimated seven percent (45) were from counties in Nebraska with six percent (39) from Douglas County. As was the case in Omaha, nine out of ten automobiles counted in Council Bluffs shopping centers were licensed in Iowa.

To determine if consumers were influenced by the tax rate differential on food between Omaha and Council Bluffs, 27 of the 39 shoppers in Council Bluffs, driving a Douglas County licensed vehicle, were interviewed. In each case the question was asked, "Is there a specific reason why you are shopping in Council Bluffs?" A follow-up question was asked of each shopper: "Did the lifting of the sales tax on food have any bearing on your shopping here today?"

Of the 27 shoppers interviewed (driving automobiles licensed in Nebraska), nine stated that they lived in Council Bluffs (the driver had not licensed the vehicle in Iowa or was using a borrowed automobile licensed in Nebraska), and seven stated that they were visiting relatives and/or friends and stopped to pick up

³L. Maliet, "Illinois Retailers Occupation Tax and Iowa Retail Sales and Use Taxes", (Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Illinois, 1955).

⁴City and County of Denver, *Financing Municipal Government in Denver*, Denver, 1955, p. 111.

⁵Harry E. McAllister, "The Border Tax Problem in Washington", *National Tax Journal*, Vol. 14, (December, 1961), p. 374.

⁶John L. Mikesell, "Central Cities and Sales Tax Rate Differentials: The Border City Problem", *National Tax Journal*, Vol. 23, (June, 1970), pp. 206-213.

⁷Such services as carpentry, dry cleaning, barber and beauty service, automobile repair, investment counseling, and appliance repair are subject to the Iowa Sales Tax.

⁸All States Tax Reporter, Commerce Clearinghouse.

⁹In addition to Iowa, California, Connecticut, Florida, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Texas, Vermont, and Wisconsin exempt food consumption from the retail sales tax. Louisiana and North Dakota have partial food exemptions.

10While a sales tax exemption of food mitigates the regressive impact of the tax, Nebraska achieves a similar result without sacrificing as much revenue. This technique maximizes tax yields while minimizing the burden which the tax imposes on low income families. Other states that use the sales tax credit tax-rebate method are Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Massachusetts, New Mexico and Vermont.

REVIEW OF APPLIED URBAN RESEARCH

Vol. 2 July, 1974 No.7

Published monthly by the Center for Applied Urban Research as a public service and mailed free upon request. The views and opinions expressed in the *Review* are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily represent those of the University of Nebraska at Omaha. Material in this report may be reproduced with proper credit.

Ronald W. Roskens, Chancellor University of Nebraska at Omaha

Hubert G. Locke, Dean College of Public Affairs and Community Service

> Center for Applied Urban Research Ralph H. Todd, Director

Research Associates
Kwame Annor Lynne Dobrofsky
David Hinton Paul Lee
John Zipay

TABLE 1 LICENSE PLATE COUNTS AT FOOD STORES BY SUBAREAS OF OMAHA, NEBRASKA*

	SUBAREA														
State &	No	rtheast	Sou	utheast	North	Central	South	Central	Nor	thwest	Sout	thwest	Total	Omaha	
County	No.	Percent	No.	Percent	No.	Percent	No.	Percent	No.	Percent	No.	Percent	No.	Percent	
NEBRASKA	282	91.9	324	97.5	610	96.5	160	94.7	355	95.2	314	94.9	2,045	95.4	
Douglas	272	88.6	246	74.1	570	90.2	157	92.9	341	91.4	290	87.6	1.876	87.5	
Sarpy	5	1.6	74	22.3	12	1.9	3	1.8	3	0.8	16	4.8	113	5.3	
Washington	3	1.0	1	0.3	8	1.3	0	0.0	2	0.5	0	0.0	14	0.7	
Dodge	1	0.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	1.2	5	0.2	
Cass	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.3	0	0.0	2	0.5	1	0.3	5	0.2	
Saunders	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	0.3	0	0.0	1	0.3	1	0.3	4	0.2	
Platte	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	0.2	
Others	1	0.3	3	0.9	11	1.7	0	0.0	6	1.6	2	0.6	23	1.1	
IOWA	17	5.5	7	2.1	13	2.1	2	1.2	7	1.9	8	2.4	54	2.5	
OTHER STAT	ES 8	2.6	1	0.3	9	1.4	7	4.1	11	2.9	9	2.7	45	2.1	
TOTAL	307	100.0	332	100.0	632	100.0	169	100.0	373	100.0	331	100.0	2,144	100.0	
*Survey condu	ucted Ju	ıly 12-13,	1974.												

TABLE 2

LICENSE PLATE COUNTS AT FOOD STORES
IN COUNCIL BLUFFS, IOWA*

State & County	Number	Percent	
IOWA	568	91.2	
NEBRASKA	45	7.2	
Douglas	39	6.2	
Sarpy	3	0.5	
Cass	1	0.2	
Hall	2	0.3	
OTHER STATES	10	1.6	
TOTAL	623	100.0	

a few food items. In addition, three indicated their major reason for stopping was to cash checks, two indicated that they worked or owned a business in Council Bluffs, two indicated they were from South Omaha and it was convenient, two were out for a pleasure drive and stopped to pick up snacks and/or picnic supplies, one shopper indicated that he was under age in Nebraska but could buy beer legally in Iowa and one interviewee was looking for an item (canning jars) that could not readily be found in Omaha. Nearly 25 percent of those interviewed were unaware of the food tax exemption in Iowa, and none of those interviewed indicated that the tax differential was a reason for their shopping in Council Bluffs.

Table 3 lists the names and locations of food markets where license plate counts were taken for this survey.

Conclusions

The findings of this study indicate that the tax-free shopping markets in Council Bluffs have not yet had a significant effect on the taxable retail sales in Omaha. Factors that appear

TABLE 3 NAMES & LOCATIONS OF FOOD MARKETS /HERE LICENSE PLATE COUNTS WERE TAKEN

WHERE LICENSE PLATE COUNTS WERE TAKEN								
Metropolitan Subarea	Name of Food Market	Location						
Omaha Subarea								
Northeast	Bakers	2404 Fort Street						
	Safeway	7419 North 30th Street						
	Safeway	3030 Ames Avenue						
	Hinky Dinky	2905 North 16th Street						
	Hinky Dinky	30th & Weber Streets						
Southeast	I.G.A.	6300 South Railroad Ave.						
	Safeway	3535 Harrison						
	Safeway	1826 Vinton						
	Hinky Dinky	36th & Q Streets						
	Hinky Dinky	2305 L Street						
Northcentral	Bakers	72nd & Blondo Streets						
	Bakers	5018 Ames Avenue						
	Hinky Dinky	North Saddle Creek Road						
	Hinky Dinky	59th & Ames Avenue						
	Hinky Dinky	72nd & Dodge Streets						
	Louis Market	57th & Military						
	Safeway	1516 North 47th Street						
Southcentral	Safeway	5150 Center Street						
	Shavers	1420 South 60th Street						
	Hinky Dinky	50th & Center Streets						
Northwest	A & P Store	50th & L Streets						
Northwest	Food City	90th & Lake Streets						
	Hinky Dinky	90th & Maple Streets						
	A & P Store	Ames Ave. & Military Ave.						
Southwest	Food City Bakers	120th & West Center Rd. 132nd & West Center Rd.						
	Safeway	120th & West Center Rd.						
	Hinky Dinky	132nd & West Center Rd.						
Council Bluffs	Safeway	8th & Broadway						
Council Dians	Safeway	25th & Broadway						
	Rog & Scotty's	20th & Broadway						
	Super-Valu	34th & Broadway						
	Hinky Dinky	28th & Broadway						
	Mortensen	enstrumenten i enverkännelsääännelsäääännelääääääääääääääääää						
	Super Market	810 - 16th Avenue						
	Jim & Dean's	4010 South 4th						

to account for this include: the relative scarcity of major shopping centers in Council Bluffs, inconvenience and costs of travel, and unawareness by many of the tax rate differential.

Given the empirical evidence of this study, there is no immediate reason to seek sales tax exemption on food purchases in Nebraska. Food exemption would cause an estimated loss in sales tax revenue of up to 25 percent, ¹¹ which would mean that with

the current 3.5 percent combined tax rate in Omaha, a one percentage point increase in the sales tax would be required to obtain more or less equivalent revenue. The Center will follow-up with another survey in six to nine months to determine if "time" coupled with the tax rate differential is a major factor in changing consumer buying patterns in Omaha and Council Bluffs.

R. Todd

SOME COMMENTS ON THE PATTERN OF RESIDENTIAL MOBILITY WITHIN OMAHA

Introduction

Changes in the total population of a single areal unit of measurement depend on the relationship of positive factors such as births and in-migrants to the negative factors of deaths and out-migrants. One needs only the basic knowledge of four components in order to estimate or monitor population changes. When this one areal unit of measurement (i.e. Omaha), however, is subdivided for smaller-area analysis (i.e. into six subareas), the problem of analyzing change becomes much more complex. First, the basic component data of vital statistics and external migration may be unavailable for small-area units. And secondly, another dimension must be considered--that of residential movement within the city. This intra-urban migration, often the primary element in the population dynamics of small areas, is the focus of this study.

Data Aggregation

Data on address changes of households within a city are often obtainable from public utility company records. In Omaha one such list is published by the <u>Daily Record</u>. The reporting area includes all of urban Douglas County and a small portion of Sarpy County. The Center for Applied Urban Research has compiled these data from the "Removals" section of the <u>Daily Record</u> for all of 1973 and the first half of 1974. Individual records for the six quarterly periods have been grouped into six subareas with cross-boundaries of 42nd, 72nd, and Dodge Streets. The resulting "from-move" and "to-move" pairs were separated into two categories for general analysis--in-area moves (migration without crossing a boundary) and cross area moves (migration crossing one or more boundaries).

Assumptions and Data Limitations

Use of this migration file requires a brief comment on assumptions and some problems in the use of the data. First, one must assume that there has been consistency in reporting procedures throughout the study period. A second assumption is that cross-area moves are generally of greater distance than in-area moves. While the extreme case of a one-block cross-area move and a 100-block in-area move may be cited, the distance assumption postulated holds true in most cases.

Several other limitations of these data need to be stated. One is the incidence of "convenience moves" such as moving to a more desirable apartment in the same structure of within a block radius. While these moves tend to exaggerate the number of in-area moves, they are a relatively small portion of the file and remain fairly constant throughout the study period. Another limitation is that the data are not scaled toward density or rate of movement based on total populations in the subareas, but rather are absolute numbers of recorded moves. Furthermore, the Sarpy County data are only fragmentary and do not confirm the rapid growth of this county's population.

Overall Pattern of Movement

According to the data, there appears to be a slowdown in the rate of residence change within the city along with a trend toward longer moves. These conclusions are largely based on observations of the individual data records but may be supported by the data in Table 1. There is a significant reduction in the number of moves in the first two quarters of 1974 compared to the same period in 1973. In fact, there is nearly a 40 percent reduction in the number of moves between the third and fourth

quarters of 1973. Seasonal variation may account for part of this change, but the lower totals have continued into 1974 and appear to reflect changing conditions in the housing market.

Residential migration in Omaha has been characterized by short-distance movements to better neighborhoods of slightly higher socio-economic status. The data in Table 1 tends to support the contention that residential movement during the first half of 1974 is of greater distance than a year earlier. The increase in the relative frequency of cross-area moves from the first quarter of 1973 to the second quarter of 1974 is a positive indicator of changes in the type of movement.

TABLE 1 TOTAL AND CROSS-AREA MOVES IN OMAHA JANUARY 1, 1973 TO JUNE 30, 1974

	Time Period	Total Moves	Cross-Area Moves	Percent Cross- Area Moves
Ī	First Quarter, 1973	1,555	726	46.7
	Second Quarter, 1973	1,650	827	50.1
	Third Quarter, 1973	1,732	861	49.7
	Fourth Quarter, 1973	1,069	513	48.0
	First Quarter, 1974	1,006	506	50.3
	Second Quarter, 1974	1,125	621	55.2

Subarea Mobility

Table 2 depicts the total intra-urban mobility between subareas in Omaha. From this table it is possible to identify the intensity and direction of major migration streams within the community. Some tenative conclusions on subarea mobility in Omaha are:

1) There is a continuous flow of residents toward the western parts of the city. As shown on the table, the flows from the Northeast to Northcentral and from the Northcentral to the Northwest far outnumber the reverse movements. The same may be said about the southern areas. For example, 306 Northcentral to Northwest moves were recorded with only 61 occurring in the opposite direction.

- 2) North and south movement across Dodge Street is of minor significance in Omaha. Northern residents tend to move within areas north of Dodge, and, similarly, southern residents move within southern areas.
- 3) There appears to be little visible evidence to support the argument that suburban residents are returning to the inner city. According to Table 2, there were only 90 moves (or 1.1 percent of the total sample) from the Northwest and Southwest into the two easternmost areas. In fact, most moves to the Northeast have been to locations near the northern urban fringe.

Areas of Future Research

The above observations on current migration trends in the community must stand the test of more rigorous investigation. Three areas of research using primary data from the public utilities, could yield fruitful results.

First, an inquiry could be made into the types of moves. It is apparent that residential migration is affected by many social and economic factors. For example, rental movement is considerably different from owner movement. Moreover, there are different types of moves (i.e. rental house to owner house, apartment to owner house, apartment to apartment) that require different migration inputs. In addition, there are moves of convenience, moves within the local neighborhood, and extra-neighborhood moves which may be analyzed.

Second, a very promising avenue of research, free from the geographical bias imposed by predetermined area configurations, is vector analysis of intra-urban migration using distance and direction measurements. Third, research could be focused on a permanent sample of dwelling units to study these units and their residents for changes. From this "chain analysis" one could then determine not only household migration patterns but also residence characteristics vital to the understanding of the total urban community.

J. Zipay
L. Manchester

TABLE 2

TOTAL IN-AREA AND CROSS-AREA MOVES,
BY SUBAREAS IN OMAHA, JANUARY 1, 1973 TO JUNE 30, 1974

TO FROM	Northeast	Southeast	Northcentral	Southcentral	Northwest	Southwest	Sarpy County	Total From Moves
Northeast	1,378	215	455	72	135	68	28	2,351
Southeast	252	1,173	197	221	79	191	80	2,193
Northcentral	248	132	630	114	306	202	32	1,664
Southcentral	31	114	80	193	41	140	34	633
Northwest	23	20	61	12	180	102	10	408
Southwest	15	32	37	43	73	427	19	646
Sarpy County	3	41	17	12	20	47	102	242
Total To Moves	1,950	1,727	1,477	667	834	1,177	305	8,137

¹¹A recently completed sample survey of faculty and staff at UNO indicates that food expenditures represent 23.2 percent of total expenditures. The percentage will vary with income level, size of family and place of residence. The reader should note that the net loss will be closer to 15 percent after taking into consideration the Food Sales Tax Credits granted against Nebraska Income Taxes. Based on information provided by the Nebraska Department of Revenue, sales tax collections in Douglas County in 1972 totaled \$29.8 million for the State and \$11.8 for the City of Omaha. Based on preliminary data, residents of Douglas County received \$2.5 million in Food Sales Tax Credits--representing less than 10 percent of the sales tax revenue collected in Douglas County.

SENIOR RESEARCH FELLOW

The Center for Applied Urban Research is pleased to announce that Dr. Murray Frost will join the staff on August 1 for a one year period as Senior Research Fellow. He comes to the Center from a position with General Research Corporation of Santa Barbara, California.

Dr. Frost received his Ph.D. degree from Michigan State University in Political Science. He has six years of teaching experience primarily at California State University at Los Angeles, and the University of California at Santa Barbara.

Dr. Frost, as a consultant and member of the staff of General Research Corporation has contributed several articles on

issues in local environmental management. His work on this subject appear in the 1974 Municipal Year Book, Urban Data Service Report and Public Management. Dr. Frost has also co-authored a number of articles on urban transportation policy. He has presented papers on this subject to the American Society of Mechanical Engineers which have been published in <u>Urban Engineering and Transportation</u>, eds., D. Baumann and D. Wilson, (New York, 1969) and <u>Systems Analysis of Urban Transportation</u>, General Research Corporation (released by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1958).

Dr: Frost is 37 years old, married and has three children. He succeeds Dr. Peter Pflaum as CAUR's Senior Research Fellow.

Center for Applied Urban Research University of Nebraska at Omaha Box 688 Omaha, Nebraska 68101

NON/PROFIT ORG.
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
OMAHA, NEBRASKA
Permit No. 301