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Workers, Unions, and Historians on the Northern Plains

William C. Pratt

Labor history has come of age over the past three decades. Today two national journals, Labor History and Labor's Heritage, focus on this subject in the United States, and many others, including the Journal of American History, publish articles in the field. In fact, much of what is called new social history often treats labor history topics, and many western historians have had an extended interest in labor history. Numerous recent examples, including the work of Carlos Schwantes, Michael Kazin, Vicki Ruiz, and others have been well received.¹

But labor history on the northern Plains is a different matter. The basic state histories by Elwyn B. Robinson (North Dakota), Herbert Schell (South Dakota) and James C. Olson (Nebraska) refer to a few labor topics in passing, and none of them mention any unions by name other than the Knights of Labor or the Industrial Workers of the World (IWW).² While these works were conceptualized over a generation ago, more recent interpretive essays on northern Plains states reflect a similar neglect of the topic.³

Relatively few people have researched labor history in this region, and most historians have not paid it any attention. Yet by the 1990s there is a body of scholarship in print that treats facets of the history of organized labor in the Dakotas and Nebraska. This work includes articles on the use of the militia in late nineteenth century Omaha labor disputes, the IWW in North Dakota and Nebraska, Teamster organizing efforts in the Dakotas, and packinghouse strikes in Omaha and Sioux Falls.⁴ In addition, some graduate theses and dissertations have addressed labor topics, and a few popular accounts on labor union history have been produced.⁵ But when compared with
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the Southwest or the Pacific Northwest, the northern Plains has lagged behind in the study of labor history, and a great deal of work remains to be done. Perhaps it is time to make an assessment of the research that has been completed and, more important, to suggest possible areas for new research. My focus is upon labor unions and labor-management relations, and this essay examines topics related to those approaches that could be pursued in the future. But I stress at the outset that it is not presented as a comprehensive survey of labor history topics that need to be studied and that other subjects and approaches should be explored as well.

RAILROAD WORKERS

Railroad workers in this region have attracted very little attention to date. Shelton Stromquist's *A Generation of Boomers* is a possible model that others could use in the study of nineteenth and twentieth century railroad unionization efforts. Both Nebraska and North Dakota had transcontinental railroads, and explorations into episodes such as the Upheavals of 1877 and 1886, the Pullman Strike, and the 1922 Shopmen's Strike seem appropriate. It is worth noting, however, that national and regional patterns often have local variations or exceptions. Union Pacific railroad workers in Omaha were able to avoid a wage cut in 1877 and thus did not go out on strike. The 1894 Pullman strike skipped this city as well.

North Platte, Nebraska, has been a railroad center for more than a century, but to the best of my knowledge, its railroad labor history has never been studied. The following passage from the WPA guidebook for Nebraska suggests some possibilities:

A year-long strike occurred in 1902-1903 when Union Pacific machinists and boilermakers struck in opposition to the piece-work system. Local sympathy was with the strikers, and merchants would not sell to strike-breakers, barbers would not shave them, landlords refused to rent to them. Gradually, however, they were accepted by the town and the strike was thought lost. However, a settlement was finally reached,
the piecework system was abolished, and strikers returned to work with a cent and a half hourly increase in pay.\textsuperscript{10}

This episode sounds very much like some nineteenth century strikes treated by Herbert Gutman, who found community backing for local workers against outside employers.\textsuperscript{11} I suspect that this theme can often be explored in labor conflicts on the northern Plains.

So far the only attempt to examine northern Plains railroad strife in depth is a 1994 \textit{Nebraska History} article by Thomas Magnuson that treats the 1922 Shopmen's Strike in two Nebraska communities, Havelock and Plattsmouth. While Havelock strikers and the community itself, including local officials, were militant and uncompromising, their counterparts in Plattsmouth were more accommodating. In some respects, these differences mirrored what Stromquist had found in Creston and Burlington, Iowa, in earlier railroad struggles.\textsuperscript{12}

\textbf{Knights of Labor}

Many railroad workers in the late nineteenth century had been members of the Knights of Labor at one time or another. While the explorations of the Knights in this region are in the rudimentary stages, a number of the assemblies were made up of railroaders. Erling N. Sannes, who has studied the Knights in the Dakotas, concludes that they played a major role in reform politics and were the most important labor organization in South Dakota until the union drives of the 1930s.\textsuperscript{13}

Jonathan Garlock's dissertation on the Knights of Labor provides us with a baseline for this region. While there were 29 assemblies in the Dakotas, Nebraska had 150. Twenty-eight of these were organized in Omaha or South Omaha, then a separate town, leaving approximately 120 across the rest of the state. A number of them were in railroad towns, including Wymore, McCook, and North Platte.\textsuperscript{14}

The Knights probably assumed more importance in Omaha than elsewhere in the region. While they had quite a few mixed assemblies, one of the most important was made up exclusively of Union Pacific employees. Omaha's first "labor day" celebration, held on 4 July 1887, was organized by the Knights. At the time, the Knights were affiliated with the city's central labor body and may have had a larger following than AFL unions. A number of individuals who later acquired prominence in the local trade union movement had prior involvement in the Knights.\textsuperscript{15}

Some of the Knights took part in third party efforts before the Populist revolt of the 1890s, but not all of their adherents were willing to break with the Republican party. Among South Dakota Knights, for example, a number refused to leave the GOP, fearing that the bolt would jeopardize the labor movement.\textsuperscript{16} Third party politics has often presented a dilemma for union activists, and that persistent dilemma helps account for the ultimate demise of a number of political insurgencies.

More research, particularly on the Nebraska Knights, is warranted. Leon Fink's \textit{Workingmen's Democracy} and Ralph Scharnau's work on Iowa offer good models for what might be undertaken here.\textsuperscript{17} While I doubt that such efforts will uncover as rich a lore as these authors discovered in Kansas City, Kansas, or Dubuque, Iowa, similar explorations will add greatly to our understanding of the Knights on the northern Plains.

\textbf{Packinghouse Workers}

Another regional topic that demands more attention is that of packinghouse workers. South Omaha quickly emerged as the third largest packing center in the nation, but workers in a number of other communities, including Nebraska City, Fremont, Sioux Falls, and Fargo, worked in packing by 1920. In more recent years, plants in the older packing centers closed, not being able to compete with the new firms of Iowa Beef Processors (IBP) and Monfort, which operate modern facilities.
in Dakota City, Grand Island, and now Lexington, Nebraska.

Numerous attempts to organize packinghouse workers date to the nineteenth century. In Omaha and other packing centers, a basic pattern emerged. Workers would unionize, take part in a national strike, and then have their union ousted from the plant for a number of years. Strikes in 1894, 1904, and 1921-22 all ended in the elimination of the union and the imposition of the open shop. Not until the CIO’s Packinghouse Workers Organizing Committee (PWOC) of the late 1930s and early 1940s did Omaha packinghouse workers reorganize and their unions bargain collectively with their employers. Union contracts governed until the late 1960s and early 1970s, when the big plants closed, but a sizeable number of local workers were covered by collective bargaining agreements well into the 1980s. In 1982-83, the city witnessed what may have been its last packinghouse strike, when Local 60 lost a bitter strike against Cudahy and the union was decertified. Today, there are no unionized packinghouse workers in Omaha.

But the story of packinghouse workers on the Northern Plains is not simply a variation of the Omaha story. In Sioux Falls and a number of other communities, packinghouse workers were organized much later, did not participate in as many national strikes, and did not join the PWOC or the United Packinghouse Workers of America (UPWA), which emerged out of the PWOC during World War II. Sioux Falls workers, though many of them belonged to the Amalgamated Meat Cutters, did not go on strike in 1921-22. When they reorganized in the mid-1930s, they again affiliated with the Amalgamated. In 1948, when the UPWA went out on strike, Sioux Falls workers, like their counterparts in Grand Forks, continued working. They accepted the
nine-cent an hour raise that the CIO union was forced to take at the end of a ten week walkout and, more importantly, did not miss a paycheck.

In the mid-1940s, South Dakota had approximately 3000 unionized packinghouse workers, many fewer than neighboring Nebraska. That they stayed with the AFL union helps explain why the CIO did not have a strong presence in the state.

The packing industry is much less organized now than it was two decades ago, and the main union in packing, the United Food and Commercial Workers (UFCW), has been less effective than its predecessors. Most of the IBP facilities are not unionized. The workforce of IBP’s flagship plant, in Dakota City, Nebraska, is organized, however, and each time the contract expired, until 1991, there was either a lockout or a strike, often with violent confrontations. The state patrol and national guard were called out in 1968-69, 1977, and 1982. Labor-management relations at IBP seemed like a throwback to an earlier era in the industry’s history. In 1994, after years of trying, the UFCW won a close representation election at Monfort’s Grand Island plant, and it is now attempting to organize the IBP operation in Lexington.

More research on packinghouse workers in the region is warranted. Most of the attention of scholars who treat this topic focuses upon major packing centers, particularly Chicago, and the CIO era. A great deal more work, however, remains to be done on the smaller centers and on the periods before and after the heyday of the CIO.

MINERS

Western historians have toiled extensively in the field of mining history, particularly when
it has involved class warfare in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. Historically, thousands of miners have worked in North and South Dakota mines. The Homestake gold mine in the Black Hills is the best known, but there were a number of independently operated facilities nearby. In North Dakota there are substantial deposits of lignite coal in the central and northwestern part of the state. At one time, the Western Federation of Miners represented Homestake miners. In 1909-10, however, the company locked its workers out and broke the union. It was a bitter struggle, and the labor movement never was reconciled to this defeat. Repeated efforts have been undertaken to unionize the workers. During World War II, an attempt was made to organize them into an AFL federal union. Later, the United Mine Workers District 50 conducted a campaign, as did the United Steel Workers and the Operating Engineers. Finally, in 1966, the Steel Workers successfully organized Homestake and continue to represent its workforce to this day.

LABOR RADICALISM

Some labor historians seemingly are not interested in unionized workers unless they were involved with some variety of radicalism. While the current image of the northern Plains is clearly conservative, this region had its left-wing unionists in the past. The central labor bodies of both South Omaha and Omaha were controlled by Socialists for a brief time in the early part of the twentieth century; the
IWW had a following in all three states; Lawrence County, South Dakota, the site of the Homestake, provided the Socialist Party with its strongest vote prior to the 1910 defeat; Communists helped organize Omaha packinghouse workers in the 1930s; and a North Dakota AFL leader was a long-time member of the Communist Party (CP). 27 (See Fig. 3)

Farmer-Labor efforts were in vogue on the northern Plains in the World War I era and the 1920s. The Nonpartisan League (NPL) was a key element in this insurgency. Although the NPL was an agrarian movement, it sought a coalition with organized labor. It was most successful in North Dakota, where the NPL originated. In this state, it endorsed the AFL’s platform as part of its own and worked to implement such measures into law. 28 There, one of the most interesting experiments in American radicalism ultimately failed. In 1917, the NPL attempted to work out a closed shop agreement with the IWW. Under the terms of the proposal, NPL members agreed to hire only IWW card holders as harvest workers. In return, IWW members would work only for NPLers. Although the agreement was negotiated, dissatisfaction within League ranks scuttled the idea. 29 Considering the “great fear” (See Figs. 4, 5, 6, 7) in the countryside about the Wobblies, it is noteworthy that this scheme got as far as it did.

We have solid studies on the IWW in the region, but much more could be done. As is the case with the Knights of Labor or the building trades, detailed local investigations on the
Wobblies and the community’s response to them would be informative. I also wonder what the possibilities might be for tracing the later careers of former IWW members in the region. Some sons of farmers became harvest hands and joined the “one big union.” A former South Dakota Communist leader told me that two of his brothers, who were raised on a farm near Bristol, South Dakota, were IWW members prior to enlisting in the CP in the 1930s.  

The Communist following among urban workers on the northern Plains was not large. Some packinghouse workers in Omaha, a few railroad workers in Mitchell and Aberdeen, South Dakota, and a couple of building trades unionists in Bismarck were what it amounted to in the post-World War II era. 

Earlier, however, there had been more. The unemployed, or some of them, had been enlisted into the Unemployed Council in Omaha, Williston, North Dakota, and Roberts County, South Dakota; later, relief workers joined the Workers Alliance in Omaha, North Platte, Williston, and Aberdeen. 

But little attention has been paid to such organizational efforts. The WPA guidebook for Nebraska shows a photograph of a Workers Alliance meeting, presumably in North Platte. Didn’t that picture ever encourage someone to investigate what the Workers Alliance was, or what it was doing in North Platte? 

Compared with Minnesota and Montana, union radicalism on the northern Plains was not a major topic. In the Dakotas farmers were more likely to be Communists than were workers, and by 1950 there were not many CP members anywhere in the region. But it is a
question worth posing: What was the significance of the earlier left-wing experience that many unionists either passed through or witnessed?

**TEAMSTERS**

The Teamsters have been one of the major unions in the region since the late 1930s. Although there were efforts earlier, the drivers met with their greatest organizing successes in the 1930s and 1940s. Erling Sannes has written several specialized studies on these efforts in the Dakotas, western Minnesota, and Iowa City. Although related to Teamster initiatives in the Twin Cities, these local campaigns have a history of their own. Omaha proved to be a key to firming up the central states’ 1938 over-the-road contract, as it took a twenty-two-week stoppage in early 1939 to bring that city’s major trucking firms to the bargaining table, where they accepted a closed shop agreement. But Teamster history does not end with the 1939 contract. A great deal of additional digging is in order to trace the history of what remains one of the single largest unions in each of the northern Plains states.

**EMPLOYER OFFENSIVES**

Periodically, employers in this region launched campaigns against organized labor, and such attempts should be thoroughly researched. While we do not yet know the extent of this anti-union movement, both Omaha and Fargo had employer groups that thwarted union efforts for years. The Omaha Business Men’s Association was organized in the spring...
of 1903 and orchestrated local employer responses to union demands that year and later. After a 1903 lock-out, many local businesses opted for the open shop. A 1909 union defeat on the street railway reinforced employer opposition to unions.38 But not until the World War I era did the local labor movement again seize the initiative, only to suffer a series of postwar defeats that reestablished the open shop at most work sites. By the 1930s the Business Men’s Association asserted: “Omaha is the best open shop city of its size in the United States.” Omaha employers remained in the driver’s seat well into the Depression decade. Unionization drives and New Deal policies, however, led to major changes in local labor-management relations. Among the biggest changes were those in the trucking and packing industries, where the Teamsters and the CIO’s PWOC made major gains by the outbreak of World War II.39

Fargo employers took the offensive in 1913, announcing that henceforth an open shop policy would be in effect. Union countermeasures proved ineffective and Fargo remained an open shop town until the 1934 milk drivers strike.40 Unfortunately, we do not know as much about the makeup of the Fargo employer group as we do of the Omaha Business Men’s Association. Further research on this topic is warranted in this North Dakota city and a number of others in the region.

Much of the historical scholarship on the anti-union movement in the United States has focused upon the pre-1940 era. But important initiatives against organized labor,
some of which were quite successful, date to the World War II years. These efforts at the state level across the country loom in the background of the anti-labor legislation, including the Taft-Hartley Law, of the late 1940s. Labor historians have focused a disproportionate amount of attention to labor-management relations at the national level and in major industries such as steel and automobiles. State legislation also is important, and what one contemporary observer labelled “a war against unions” has been neglected.41

In 1943 a variety of anti-labor bills were introduced in state legislatures, including those of Nebraska and South Dakota. While the Nebraska labor movement was successful in stopping these measures in committee, its counterpart in South Dakota was less fortunate, as the legislature passed a bill banning any kind of picketing in disputes involving farm labor. Later, the ominous features of the measure were ruled unconstitutional, and the state did not appeal the decision.42

Though anti-union bills were introduced across the nation, particular groups promoted them in the individual states. The Farm Bureau was a strong proponent, and employer groups emerged as key sponsors. In South Dakota, the Homestake Mine routinely backed efforts to limit unions. During World War II, the AFL undertook an organizing campaign throughout the Black Hills, and other employers banded together to resist the drive. Then and later the Homestake Mine was seen as an enthusiastic backer of anti-labor legislation.43

In Nebraska the Farm Bureau proved a staunch backer of anti-labor measures, but not until the postwar era did employers launch a strong anti-union drive. While the Omaha Business Men’s Association continued in existence, local employers opted to form a new group. Soon after the Nebraska Small Business Men’s Association (NSBMA) appeared in the spring of 1946, it announced an initiative campaign to make Nebraska a right-to-work state. The state legislature did not meet in 1946, so the strategy was to amend the Nebraska constitution through a referendum vote, which carried by a three to two margin.44

South Dakota also became a right-to-work state by referendum in 1946. There, however, a 1945 bill provided for a state-wide vote on the measure. South Dakota voters opted for the ban on the union shop, 93,035 to 39,257.45

North Dakota soon joined the right-to-work camp as well. A newly formed organization, the Associated Industries of North Dakota, sponsored a right-to-work bill and another anti-union measure in 1947. Despite opposition from the Farmers Union and the labor movement, the restrictive labor legislation passed and was signed into law. The labor movement quickly organized a petition drive to refer the measures to the voters, and the anti-union laws were suspended until the 1948 primary election. But when North Dakota voters had a chance, they followed the example of their counterparts to the south, approving the laws and putting their state in the right-to-work column in 1948.46

The political lineup in the three states had been somewhat different. In Nebraska, the Farmers Union joined the Farm Bureau and Grange in support of the right-to-work measure in 1947. There, the Farmers Union was out of step with its national leadership and its Dakota counterparts. Other Nebraska differences relate to the relative strength of both the Democratic party and the CIO. Although Nebraska was a Republican state like the Dakotas, its Democratic ranks were more numerous and officially opposed the right-to-work amendment. The CIO, with 8000 packing-house unionists in Omaha, was a much stronger force in Nebraska than in either of the Dakotas, but actually, the right-to-work forces had an easy time of it in all three of the northern Plains states. Unionists in the region continue to attribute many of their troubles to the right-to-work status of their respective states. It may be, however, that the unions lost their fight in 1946-48 because of the relative weakness of organized labor, not that the right-to-work laws explain the unions’ subsequent difficulties.48
WOMEN AND PEOPLE OF COLOR

Some of the most important research in labor history today deals with women and people of color, so it may seem surprising that there is virtually no scholarly publication on this subject in northern Plains states outside Omaha. Perhaps part of the explanation is that aside from Omaha, African-Americans make up a very small percentage of the population. More significant is the overall lack of attention to urban and industrial working people in the region’s historiography.

Omaha has had an extended labor history, and women and nonwhites have played a big part in that story. Among the city’s Knights of Labor assemblies, two were exclusively female and another African-American. Some craft unions like barbers and musicians were racially segregated in this era as well.49

Blacks often first entered the story, as far as trade unionists were concerned, as strike breakers. Omaha labor history is replete with accounts of employers recruiting blacks during labor disputes. Every major packing strike from 1894 to 1948 had black strike breakers. In 1904, when packinghouse workers went out on a national strike, the South Omaha packers brought in hundreds of African-American and Japanese-American strike breakers. In 1921 packers again recruited blacks to take the places of strikers.

But it must be emphasized that blacks worked in this industry on a routine basis as well. Wartime labor shortages attracted Southern blacks to jobs in the packing plants and on the railroad, doubling Omaha’s African-American population between 1910 and 1920. When the union was reestablished in South Omaha in 1917, blacks took part. A few of them assumed leadership positions and many black unionists went out on strike during the 1921-22 walkout. One of the results of the ouster of the Amalgamated from the plants was the virtual elimination of black participation in the local labor movement. Until the CIO drives of the 1930s, the only African-American unionists in Omaha were Pullman car porters, musicians and a handful of laborers.50

Blacks again were involved in the packing-house unionizing efforts of the 1930s and 1940s. The first president of the Armours’ local was black, and the chairman of the bargaining committee of that local was, for years, an African-American. Blacks assumed leadership in other packing locals as well. Local 47, which represented Swift employees, had a black president in 1948 who was later elected to the state legislature. Another African-American from this local, J. C. Harris, served as state CIO president in 1953 and 1954.51

While blacks have taken part in other unions as well, the decline of the packing industry in Omaha may have resulted in a decrease in their influence in the local labor movement. Local 60, which represented Cudahy workers, had a black president at the time it lost a strike in 1983, which led to the decertification of the union soon after. Without romanticizing the role that Omaha packinghouse unions have had in regard to black involvement, it should be noted that overall that experience was often a significant one for African-Americans.52

Women also worked in the packing industry and at times emerged into prominence. In 1917, during one of the more successful strikes in the local industry, women workers at Cudahy assumed a leading role and may have had a higher profile during this episode than any other. Four years later, during the disastrous 1921-22 strike, women again were active. This time, a substantial amount of violence accompanied the ten-week walkout, and women strikers and relatives of strikers often were arrested for assault and other strike-related violence. One of the most dramatic episodes involved a march of the “Amazon Army,” made up of three hundred women related to strikers, on the Omaha city council. (Fig. 9.) The march was modeled on an episode that had just occurred in the Kansas coal field strike where women attacked strike breakers and attempted to block their entry into the mines.53
Women also took part in the later packing union efforts. During the late 1930s, a husband-and-wife team was quite active in the Armour’s local in Omaha, and later some women served as officers of other UPWA locals.54 In 1942, the union helped pressure Armour to hire black women in the plant, and efforts were made to establish equal pay for equal work. This was a difficult issue internally within the UPWA, and I do not want to suggest that it was handled to everyone’s satisfaction. It was not.55 Later, in the early 1970s, a woman was elected president of Local 62, the bargaining agent for Wilson employees.56

Additional research on women and minorities is in order in this region. Mexican-Americans have labored in the sugar beet and packing industry of Nebraska, and Hispanics now are employed in large numbers in IBP plants in Dakota City and Lexington and the Monfort facility in Grand Island.57 While Japanese-Americans were hired to work in Omaha packing plants and on railroad construction across North Dakota in the early twentieth century, today’s Asian workers are more likely to be from Southeast Asia.58 In 1986, when IBP locked out its work force over a contract dispute, both the company and the UFCW employed translators to promote their cause among the Asian workers.59

RECENT TRENDS

U.S. government spending, beginning in the World War II era, helped transform the labor market on the northern Plains. The ranks of organized labor grew in the war years, and the postwar employer offensive did not turn the clock back completely. Those years were also marked by large-scale construction projects, such as Air Force bases in or near Omaha, Rapid City, Grand Forks, and Minot, and Army Corps of Engineers dams. Union
labor was utilized extensively, and the building trades especially thrived in this era. Though the anti-labor legislation stung, as a practical matter many union workers did well in the immediate post-World War years. Sometimes, such as when the Anti-Ballistic Missile System was being installed in the 1970s in North Dakota, union workers from outside the state had to be recruited. But construction projects of this type had a boom-or-bust quality to them. Once the project was complete, the need for skilled labor was greatly reduced, and unions such as the Carpenters often fell upon hard times.60

By the mid-1970s, organized labor in this region had suffered substantial setbacks. The packing industry, which had been heavily unionized, underwent a major transformation, and plants in Omaha, Fargo, and Grand Forks closed. Newer firms such as IBP and Monfort built facilities in smaller towns and often operated them on a nonunion basis. Many unions, including the building trades, printers, and Teamsters have lost members in recent decades, as employers increasingly seek a “union-free environment.”61

PUBLIC SECTOR BARGAINING

One major exception to this trend nationally and regionally is the growth of public sector bargaining. This story is just beginning to be told, but it is a very significant development in the history of labor-management relations in the United States.62 Today, city and county workers, including police and firefighters, and school teachers are organized in all the northern Plains states; state employees and some public college and university professors are covered by collective bargaining in Nebraska; higher education faculty are unionized in South Dakota; and the state employees’ union is seeking bargaining legislation in North Dakota.

State law is crucial for public sector bargaining, as city, county, and state employees are not covered by the National Labor Relations Act. Nebraska, despite its conservative reputation, seemingly has led the way on the Plains in regard to public employee bargaining. Although there had been earlier discussion on the subject, it was not until 1969 that legislation enabling state employees to bargain was adopted.63 Prior to this time, there was bargaining on the part of teachers in some districts and city employees in some locales. The American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME), which already represented some city and county workers in the state, vied with the Nebraska Association of Public Employees (NAPE) to win the loyalties of state employees. Each won some representation elections and bargained with the state for a number of years. In 1987,
after Nebraska law governing state bargaining had been revised, the two unions merged into NAPE-AFSCME.64

School teachers in Nebraska have been represented by the Nebraska State Education Association, an affiliate of the National Education Association (NEA), which is the largest union in the state. In addition to representing 15,000 public school teachers, it also is the bargaining agent for some state employees and the faculty at the state colleges and the University of Nebraska at Kearney. The American Association of University Professors (AAUP) represents more than four hundred faculty members at the University of Nebraska at Omaha.65 Nebraska legislation prohibits strikes of public employees but provides for binding arbitration in the event of impasse in contract negotiations. This last feature stands in marked contrast to the situation in the Dakotas, where public employers can ultimately impose their final offer.66 And state workers in the Dakotas, it should be remembered, still do not have the right to bargain.

The North Dakota Public Employees Association affiliated with the American Federation of Teachers (AFT) in 1988 and is actively seeking legislation that will provide collective bargaining to state workers.67 In South Dakota, on the other hand, the South Dakota State Employees Organization does not consider itself a union. There, AFSCME represents some of the state highway workers and may emerge as the bargaining agent for many more state employees if bargaining rights are extended to them.68 In South Dakota, despite the absence of bargaining for state workers, the faculty at public colleges and universities are organized and represented by an affiliate of the South Dakota Education Association.69

CONCLUSION

Studying the labor history or the history of labor-management relations on the northern Plains is a bigger task than many might imagine. Properly understood, it involves much more than a few flamboyant episodes or isolated incidents. In fact, it offers new possibilities for synthesizing the history of this region since the late nineteenth century. Prior efforts tend to stress the differences of northern Plains states from more industrialized states and often minimize the basis of comparison. Yet without denying regional distinctions (not to mention very real differences among these three states), I believe this approach suggests new ways in which comparisons can be made between developments on the northern Plains and other parts of the country.

In addition, attention to labor-management relations may also qualify older notions about the relative progressive ranking of states in this region. Historians repeatedly have declared North Dakota as the most progressive or left-of-center state on the Plains. Much of this reputation dates to the NPL experience and is persuasive for that era. Nebraska, on the other hand, usually is characterized as a much more conservative state.70 Yet what happens when we consider the matter of public sector bargaining? Here, Nebraska has been in the vanguard on the Plains. I do not want to exaggerate the extent of legislative largesse on this topic, but at least as far as public employees are concerned, Nebraska law makers have been more forthcoming than their counterparts in the rest of the region.71

More attention to labor topics, particularly those associated with unions and labor-management relations, will help us better understand political and economic developments on the northern Plains. Organized labor, to be sure, was not always a major player in these episodes, but it often was a participant. For example, research on the Knights of Labor (as Erling Sannes’s work suggests) will add to our knowledge of Populism in these states. Another topic that should be explored is the role that the labor movement played in making the Democratic Party into a real competitor in this region.

Today, in a section of the country that earlier was a Republican stronghold, five of the six U.S. senators are Democrats. Until 1996
Nebraska had not elected a Republican senator since 1972 and North Dakota has not since 1980. All three of these states have also elected Democratic governors in recent decades, and no Republican governor in Nebraska has been reelected in more than thirty years. In the mid-1950s, organized labor in North Dakota was a junior partner in the initiative to move the NPL from the GOP to the Democratic camp; in the early 1970s, it was a major player in the successful effort to reject a new state constitution because it contained a right-to-work measure.72 The history of unions and state politics in this region is an important topic for subsequent research, and graduate students and others can find a variety of subjects related to it to occupy them for a long time.

Several years ago, Frederick C. Luebke explained Nebraska’s distinctiveness in terms of “the interplay of culture with environment over time,” an approach that obviously could be utilized with profit in the study of other states.73 What I would suggest is that a state’s labor history is another element in this interplay, and that its study will add significantly to our overall historical understanding. And, I might add, this subject matter is too important to be left only to labor historians. There are, as this essay suggests, many labor topics on the northern Plains that need to be researched. The sources are numerous, including the vast newspaper collections at state historical societies, organizational materials gathering dust in union halls and people’s attics and garages, legal documents tucked away in law offices and archives, and recollections in the fleeting memories of thousands of union activists and other observers. Isn’t it time that more of us took up this assignment?
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