

1991

The Volunteer Potential of First-time Entering Students: Interest Areas and Incentives

Victoria J. Balenger

University of Maryland - College Park

William E. Sedlacek

University of Maryland - College Park

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/slcehighered>



Part of the [Service Learning Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Balenger, Victoria J. and Sedlacek, William E., "The Volunteer Potential of First-time Entering Students: Interest Areas and Incentives" (1991). *Higher Education*. Paper 13.

<http://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/slcehighered/13>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Service Learning at DigitalCommons@UNO. It has been accepted for inclusion in Higher Education by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNO. For more information, please contact unodigitalcommons@unomaha.edu.



Appendix (continued)

Circle how important you think each goal will be in your future professional life.

	Most Important	Somewhat Important	Neutral	Somewhat Unimportant	Very Unimportant
Help others in difficulty	1	2	3	4	5
Be an authority in my field	1	2	3	4	5
Have managerial responsibilities in my job	1	2	3	4	5
Have budgetary responsibilities in my job	1	2	3	4	5
Develop a philosophy of life	1	2	3	4	5
Raise a family	1	2	3	4	5
Be well off financially	1	2	3	4	5
Succeed in my own business	1	2	3	4	5

Reader may respond: Dr. Barbara Schaeer
207 Dunstan Hall
Industrial Engineering
Auburn University, AL 36849
(205) 844-1407

The Volunteer Potential of First-time Entering Students: Interest Areas and Incentives

Victoria J. Balenger and William E. Sedlacek
University of Maryland

Abstract. First-time entering students at a large, eastern university were given a survey assessing their interest in various campus volunteer opportunities. The relationship between incentives and volunteer interest was also studied. The findings suggested that, generally, students did not differentiate between "serving as a volunteer," "earning course credit as a volunteer," and "earning a monetary award as a volunteer." Overall, students were most interested in volunteering for the homecoming committee and the campus recruitment organization, and least interested in volunteering for the counseling center and the health center. Specific volunteer interests are discussed in terms of their theoretical and practical implications for student affairs practitioners.

Orientation programs for incoming students tend to emphasize "What the campus can do for you" - how various programs, services, and facilities can be used to the student's best advantage. Learning to "negotiate the system" at an institution of higher education is indeed an education in itself. As student affairs professionals, we must do all we can to

This research project was supported by the Counseling Center, University of Maryland, College Park. Computer time was provided by the Computer Science Center, University of Maryland, College Park. Portions of this paper were presented at the 1990 Maryland Student Affairs Conference, University of Maryland, College Park.

facilitate this process, especially given the evidence that use of campus programs and facilities is related to retention (Astin, 1975, 1977; Mallinckrodt & Sedlacek, 1987; Tinto, 1975).

As we encourage students to avail themselves of the myriad of resources on campus, we sometimes forget to focus on "What you can do for the campus." While this is beginning to sound like a presidential speech, it does reflect the growing sentiment among educators that volunteerism is an essential part of the college education (University presidents urged..., 1986). Accordingly, Deive, Mintz, and Stewart (1987) developed a model of volunteer service learning with the following goal:

Resulting from a values education fostered by service learning experiences, students will move from an egocentric to a more allocentric viewpoint, thereby being able to accept greater responsibility for their immediate community and the larger society...(p. 4).

To maximize the "volunteer potential" of incoming students, we need to understand their interests and motivations. For example, the trend toward vocationalism among students of recent years (Garland, 1985; Parker, 1988; Study Group..., 1984) suggests that they might prefer volunteer positions that are likely to promote their career aspirations. Garland (1985) cited evidence that students are selecting information-intensive, well-paying professional fields, such as business, law, and engineering (Upcraft, Finney, & Garland, 1984). Research addressing the question of which volunteer opportunities are most attractive to new students can aid the efforts of student affairs professionals charged with recruitment.

While the specific volunteer interests of incoming students clearly deserve our attention, perhaps our greatest concern is that they might not get involved at all. Some writers (e.g., Blackburn, 1986; Parker, 1988) have observed that students are becoming more difficult to attract to leadership positions, and the overall success of organized campus activities seems

to have ebbed. Parker (1988) suggested that students might be more likely to volunteer with the following incentives:

- (1) course credit for campus volunteers,
- (2) extra credit in regular courses for campus volunteers,
- (3) required volunteer involvement as part of a regular course, or
- (4) some form of payment for campus volunteers.

According to Miller (1987), leadership programs that award academic credit, or are at least documented on a co-curricular transcript, earn more respect and credibility than those that do not. With regard to payment for volunteers, Ellis (1985) suggested that the following research questions need to be answered:

- ◆ What is the effect of giving money - in any quantity - on volunteering?
- ◆ Is it more than an "enabling" factor?
- ◆ Does it provide recognition?
- ◆ Is it received as a form of "earnings" by the volunteer, and/or is it seen as such by salaried staff?
- ◆ When do "enabling funds" become a "stipend" and when does a "stipend" become a "salary;" does the level of money involved affect the volunteer work provided? (p. 13)

A 1988 survey of Association of College Unions - International (ACU-I) members found that in 36% of the unions represented, program board members received compensation (Miller & Galey, 1988). However, the effect of academic credit or stipends on student volunteer involvement has received little empirical attention, perhaps because the notion of offering incentives to volunteer seems incongruous.

There are, in fact, a number of practical and philosophical reasons why offering incentives or compensation to volunteers may be problematic. Parker (1988) noted that many campus departments do not have funds in their budgets to pay student volunteers. When money is available, the practice of paying

volunteers may serve to limit the number of student volunteers that can be accommodated. If course credit is offered, inevitably the volunteer program will attract some students who have little intrinsic motivation to volunteer.

Generally, the "social interest" (Adler, 1964) value of campus volunteerism may be compromised if any type of incentive or compensation is offered. Pearce (1983) compared the attitudes of volunteers and workers for pay in similar organizations and found that volunteers reported greater social and service motivation than did their paid counterparts. While the difference most likely was based on the divergent motivations people have for volunteering versus seeking a paid position (Boatman, 1987), the volunteer experience itself may actually foster social interest.

A final consideration is that student volunteers stand to lose power and autonomy as they become accountable to those who pay their stipends or award them course grades for their involvement. If a student government association officer receives a stipend from the administration, it is easy to see how his or her effectiveness as an advocate for students could be compromised.

After establishing some of the reasons why offering campus volunteers academic credit or stipends might not be desirable, we must recognize that it may be in everyone's best interest to do so. If students really cannot afford to donate their time and energy, the supply of campus volunteers will dwindle. Campus departments whose operations depend on volunteers will suffer, as will the many individuals who rely on the services of campus volunteers. Perhaps those with the most to lose are the students who cannot or will not volunteer without incentive. Campus volunteerism presents a unique developmental opportunity that may never again present itself after the college years are over.

While student affairs professionals must be prepared to grapple with the many issues around volunteer incentives and compensation, empirical research can facilitate informed

decision-making in this area. The purpose of this study was two-fold:

- (1) to assess interest in specific volunteer opportunities among incoming students; and
- (2) to assess the degree to which the level of interest in specific volunteer opportunities is influenced by the incentives of a monetary award or course credit.

Method

Participants were 850 randomly-sampled incoming students attending summer orientation at a large, eastern university, representing 92% of the 917 students surveyed. More than 90% of incoming students attend orientation each year.

Participants were randomly assigned to one of three forms of the "Campus Involvement Interest Survey" (CIIS), which was patterned after the Situational Attitude Scale (Schwalb & Sedlacek, 1990; Sedlacek & Brooks, 1972). On the CIIS, students were asked to rate on a five-point Likert scale their level of interest in each of eight campus volunteer opportunities. The three forms were identical except that the first referred to "serving as a volunteer," the second referred to "earning academic credit as a volunteer," and the third referred to "earning a monetary award as a volunteer."

Because students were not aware that more than one form of the survey existed, it can be assumed that they responded genuinely to the level of incentive described on their respective forms. A comparison of group means clarified the degree to which interest in campus volunteer involvement was influenced by type of incentive offered.

Data were analyzed using a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) at an .05 level of significance, with incentive as the independent variable (3 levels) and the specific volunteer opportunities as the dependent variables.

Results

Form

The MANOVA was not significant for form. This indicates that generally, students did not differentiate between "serving as a volunteer," "earning academic credit," or "earning a monetary award" when they rated their level of interest in the eight volunteer activities described on the survey.

Volunteer Interest Areas

Based on a rank ordering of group means, Table 1 shows levels of interest in the eight volunteer opportunities presented

Table 1
A Rank Ordering of Interest Levels in Eight Campus Volunteer Opportunities, Including Means and Standard Deviations

Volunteer Opportunity	Rank	M	SD
Homecoming Committee	1	2.90	1.18
Campus Recruitment (conducting campus tours)	2	2.92	1.16
Co-ed Service Fraternity	3	2.95	1.09
Campus Hotline/Crisis Intervention	4	3.03	1.23
Student Union Programming Board	5	3.08	1.10
Athletic Department (tutoring)	6	3.13	1.21
Counseling Center (research; student services)	7	3.18	1.11
Health Center (peer education; administrative support)	8	3.28	1.17

Means are based on the following scale: 1 = very interested; 2 = somewhat interested; 3 = don't know; 4 = somewhat disinterested; 5 = very disinterested. N = 850 (52% male, 48% female).

on the survey. While the homecoming committee and the campus recruitment organization appeared to be the most popular options among incoming students, the counseling center and the health center elicited the least interest.

Discussion

When incoming students were asked to rate their level of interest in volunteering for various campus organizations, they did not display increased willingness to volunteer with the incentives of course credit or a monetary award. This finding suggests that incentives do not have a significant effect on volunteer interest among incoming students.

If incoming students are not more likely to volunteer with incentives, there are a number of possible explanations. First, the issue of incentives might not be salient to new students, who have not yet experienced the shortages of time and money that make many students feel compelled to spend their time either studying or working at a part-time job, rather than becoming involved on campus. Related to this, students may take incentives into account only when they are aware that a volunteer position is going to require a large commitment of time and energy. In this study, there was no indication to the students of how demanding the volunteer positions actually would be. Another explanation for the finding is that students may be less concerned with incentives than they are with other aspects of the volunteer experience (i.e., how such experience might help them get a job after graduation). Finally, there may be a discrepancy between students' stated interest in volunteering and what they will actually do, the resolution of which is determined partly by incentives offered. To further investigate this possibility, we need to study the degree to which volunteer positions that offer a stipend or course credit attract more students than similar volunteer opportunities that do not offer any incentives.

The finding that incentives did not influence volunteer interest should be interpreted cautiously until more research is conducted on the topic. In this study, no attempt was made to

differentiate students who had some interest in volunteering from those who had none. Because a large random sample of students was surveyed, the responses of the many who were not at all inclined to volunteer may have obscured significant differences among the students who were potentially interested in campus volunteerism. Thus, a replication of this study should include a grouping of students on some overall measure of interest in volunteering. Within the group of the students who do plan to volunteer on campus, there may be observable differences by type of incentive.

The ranking of interest in specific volunteer opportunities has both theoretical and practical implications. That the homecoming committee, the campus recruitment organization, and the co-ed service fraternity generated the most interest suggests an Enterprising (Holland, 1985) orientation among incoming students. Sergent and Sedlacek (1990) found significant differences in the vocational interests of students volunteering for different campus organizations. Specifically, they found that students in the campus recruitment organization were most often Enterprising, and that students in the co-ed service fraternity were very often Enterprising. Although the homecoming committee was not part of the Sergent and Sedlacek study, this volunteer opportunity seems similar to the campus recruitment organization in its "promotional" bent. To the extent that the incoming students in our study do tend to be Enterprising types, such a finding might be viewed as support for Garland's (1985) conclusion that vocationalism prevails among students of today.

There was apparently some sense of "social interest" (Adler, 1964) among the incoming students we surveyed. The campus hotline/crisis intervention center, which Sergent and Sedlacek found to be comprised of mainly Social type (Holland, 1985) volunteers, ranked fourth as an interest area. However, two other "helping" organizations, the counseling center and the health center, were at the bottom of the ranking. Students were also less interested in tutoring student athletes than they were in most of the other volunteer opportunities.

While it is interesting to speculate about the vocational orientations of students based upon their volunteer interests, our findings in this area are also of practical value. As student affairs professionals concerned with promoting student involvement, we can ask ourselves, "What is it about the homecoming committee and the campus recruitment organization that makes them more attractive to students than the counseling center and the health center?" Aside from the different vocational interests implied, there are more "superficial" differences that may warrant our attention. For example, the homecoming committee and the campus recruitment organization offer volunteers high visibility that may not be characteristic of other campus volunteer positions. If students are motivated by high visibility, they may be more inclined to volunteer for helping organizations that incorporate volunteers in their campus outreach efforts (e.g., health fairs; communication workshops in the residence halls).

Another notable aspect of the volunteer opportunities most popular with incoming students is that they directly or indirectly pertain to promoting the university. The campus recruitment organization provides tours to prospective students and their parents; the homecoming committee plans an annual event whose expressed purpose is generating pride and enthusiasm (and money!) for the university; and the co-ed service fraternity performs community service in the name of the university. To the extent that students identify with the university and want to promote its interests, they might volunteer for organizations that exhibit "school spirit." For helping organizations that put most of their emphasis on direct service out of necessity, this may imply a challenge to become more involved in campus events like homecoming. In fact, such organizations would do well to mobilize the positive energy of student volunteers in these efforts (e.g., making a health center float for the homecoming parade).

Finally, the volunteer organizations that generated the most interest among incoming students were those that offer the opportunity to meet and interact with a large number of people. In fact, the homecoming committee may have been

the most popular volunteer opportunity precisely because it is associated with one of the biggest social events on campus. Student affairs professionals charged with recruiting for other campus organizations might do well to highlight whatever opportunities exist for volunteers to meet people and socialize.

Research on volunteer interests among incoming students moves us toward a better understanding of how to get students involved in general. The results of this study suggest that incentives do not play an important role in motivating students to volunteer. However, this issue deserves further attention. What we did learn was that students tended to be interested in volunteer positions that allow them to interact with people in an "enterprising" manner, as opposed to serving in a more direct helping capacity. Finally, we demonstrated how certain features of the more popular volunteer opportunities could be incorporated into those campus organizations attempting to generate greater student interest.

References

- Adler, A. (1964). *Social interest: A challenge to mankind*. New York: Capricorn Books.
- Astin, A.W. (1975). *Preventing students from dropping out*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Astin, A.W. (1977). *Four critical years*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Blackburn, R.D. (1986, March). College unions on road to stability, prosperity. *ACU-I Bulletin*, 54 (2), 4-7.
- Boatman, S. (1987). A model for communication in volunteer organizations. *Programming*, 20 (1), 30-35.
- Delve, C.I., Mintz, S.D., & Stewart, G.M. (1987). *Volunteer service learning as a dimension of student development: A model for the delivery of volunteer services on college campuses*. Presented at ACPA/NASPA Celebration, Chicago, Illinois.
- Ellis, S.J. (1985). Research on volunteerism: What needs to be done. *Journal of Voluntary Action Research*, 14 (2-3), 11-14.
- Garland, P.H. (1985). *Serving more than students: A critical need for college student personnel services*. (ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report No. 7). Washington, D.C.: Association for the Study of Higher Education.
- Holland, J.L. (1985). *The Self-Directed Search Professional Manual*. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources, Inc.

- Mallinckrodt, B., & Sedlacek, W.E. (1987). Student retention and the use of campus facilities by race. *NASPA Journal*, 24 (3), 28-32.
- Miller, R. (1987). The elixir of leadership. *Programming*, 20, (2) 9-35.
- Miller, M.R., & Galey, D. (1988). *Administration and operation of the college union*. Bloomington, IN: Association of College Unions - International. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 295 515).
- Parker, M.A. (1988, March). Student volunteers: An endangered species? *Programming*, 20 (8), 49-51.
- Pearce, J.L. (1983). Participation in voluntary associations: How membership in a formal organization changes the rewards of participation. In Smith, D.H. and Van Til, J. (Eds.), *International perspectives on voluntary action research* (pp. 148-156). Washington, D.C.: University Press of America, Inc.
- Schwalb, S.J., & Sedlacek, W.E. (1990). Have college students' attitudes toward older people changed? *Journal of College Student Development*, 31 (2), 127-132.
- Sedlacek, W.E., & Brooks, G.C., Jr. (1972). *Situational Attitude Scale Manual*. Chicago: Natresources, Inc.
- Sergent, M.T., & Sedlacek, W.E. (1990). Volunteer motivations across student organizations: A test of person-environment fit theory. *Journal of College Student Development*, 31 (3), 255-261.
- Study Group on the Conditions of Excellence in American Higher Education (1984). *Involvement in learning: Realizing the potential of American higher education*. Washington, DC: National Institute of Higher Education.
- Tinto, V., (1975). Dropouts from higher education: A theoretical synthesis of recent research. *Review of Educational Research*, 45, 89-125.
- University presidents urged to boost the quality of student volunteerism as well as the amount (1986, January). *Chronicle of Higher Education*, p. 17.
- Upcraft, M.L., Finney, J.E., & Garland, P.H. (1984). Orientation: A context. In M.L. Upcraft (Ed.), *Orienting students to college*. New Directions for Student Services, No. 25 (pp. 5-26). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Reader may respond: Ms. Victoria J. Balenger
Graduate Research Assistant
Counseling Center
Shoemaker Hall
University of Maryland at College Park
College Park, MD 20742-8111
(301) 314-7689