

University of Nebraska at Omaha DigitalCommons@UNO

Student Learning

Portfolio/Visit 2016-18

2016

SLO Assessment Report Feedback Communication Studies -Journalism and Media Communication BA and BS 2016

UNO Office of Institutional Effectiveness University of Nebraska at Omaha

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/oiestudentlearning

Part of the Higher Education Administration Commons, Journalism Studies Commons, and the Mass Communication Commons

Please take our feedback survey at: https://unomaha.az1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/ SV_8cchtFmpDyGfBLE

Recommended Citation

Office of Institutional Effectiveness, UNO, "SLO Assessment Report Feedback Communication Studies - Journalism and Media Communication BA and BS 2016" (2016). *Student Learning*. 13. https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/oiestudentlearning/13

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Portfolio/Visit 2016-18 at DigitalCommons@UNO. It has been accepted for inclusion in Student Learning by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNO. For more information, please contact unodigitalcommons@unomaha.edu.



College: Communication, Fine Arts, & Media

Unit: School of Communication-Communication Studies

Degree: B.A. & B.S. in Communication

I. Student Learning Outcomes for this Degree

- 1. Students will demonstrate effective written communication skills.
- 2. Students will demonstrate effective oral communication skills.
- 3. Students will demonstrate effective interpersonal communication skills in dyads, groups, or teamwork.
- 4. Students will demonstrate effective audio and/or visual communication skills.
- 5. Students will demonstrate critical and analytical thinking.
- 6. Students will employ research tools to ethically acquire and apply knowledge.
- 7. Students will demonstrate multicultural and/or global perspectives in the application of knowledge, tools and technologies.
- 8. Students will demonstrate preparedness for a profession or graduate school related to their program of study.

II. Measures Used

A. Data for this report is taken from internship supervisor evaluation forms that span three years (Year 1: Summer 2012, Fall 2012, Spring 2013; Year 2: Summer 2013, Fall 2013, Spring 2014; Year 3: Summer 2014, Fall 2014, Spring 2015). A total of 48 Speech/Communication Studies students were evaluated. Evaluation forms are completed by professionals in the community who supervise student interns. The school's internship coordinator distributes and collects the evaluation forms from the internship supervisors. The supervisor evaluation forms were used to assess all eight goals. Internship evaluations fall under the assessment domain of performance and the proficiency definition and target for all 8 SLO goals was that at least 80% of students be rated as "exceptional" or "satisfactory" on all items included on the evaluation form.

III. Results

Goal 1 – Students will demonstrate effective written communication skills.

Evaluation item -- Communication skills: Consider the ability to express himself/herself in writing.

Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
35 (72.9%)	13 (27.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 100%

Goal 2 – Students will demonstrate effective oral communication skills.

Evaluation item -- Communication skills: Consider the ability to express himself/herself orally.

Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	<u>Unsatisfactory</u>	No response/Not applicable
39 (81.2%)	9 (18.8%)	0 (0.0 %)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 100%

Goal 3 – Students will demonstrate effective interpersonal communication skills in dyads, groups, or teamwork.

Evaluation item -- Interpersonal skills: Consider the ability to act diplomatically and tactfully with internal and external sources.

Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
39 (81.2%)	9 (18.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 100%

Goal 4 – Students will demonstrate effective audio and/or visual communication skills.

Evaluation item – Audio/visual skills: Consider the ability to use effective audio and/or visual communication skills.

Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
28 (58.3%)	17 (35.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (6.3%)

Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 93.7%

Goal 5 – Students will demonstrate critical and analytical thinking.

Evaluation item Critical thinking: Consider the ability to think critically and analytically on the job.					
Exceptional	Satisfactory	<u>Unsatisfactory</u>	No response/Not applicable		
28 (58.3%)	18 (37.5%)	2 (4.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	

Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 95.8%

Goal 6 – Students will employ research tools to ethically acquire and apply knowledge.					
Evaluation item Ethics: Consider ability to use ethical practices when acquiring and applying knowledge on the job.					
Exceptional	onal Satisfactory Improvement Recommended Unsatisfacto			No response/Not applicable	
41 (85.4%)	5 (10.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.2%)	

Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 95.8%

Goal 7 – Students will demonstrate multicultural and/or global perspectives in the application of knowledge, tools and technologies.

Evaluation nem	maniculturul perspectives. Consider sensitivity to maniculturul perspectives us they relate to the job.				
Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable	
38 (79.1%)	8 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.2%)	

Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 95.8%

Goal 8 – Students will demonstrate preparedness for a profession or graduate school related to their program of study.

Evaluation item -- Ability to use technology: Consider technology use as it applies to this job.

Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
34 (70.8%)	13 (27.1%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 97.9%

		te preparedness for a profession or grad			
Exceptional 33 (68.6%)	<u>Satisfactory</u> 14 (29.2%)	xills: Consider ability to use knowledge an Improvement Recommended 1 (2.2%)	<u>Unsatisfactory</u> 0 (0.0%)	<u>No response/Not applicable</u> 0 (0.0%)	
		al or satisfactory: 97.8%	0 (0.070)	0 (0.070)	
Evaluation item –	Preparedness: Conside	er preparedness for a job in the field of h	is/her internship.		
Exceptional	<u>Satisfactory</u>	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable	
29 (60.4%)	16 (33.3%)	3 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Percentage of stu	idents rated exception	al or satisfactory: 93.7%			
		r accuracy, comprehensiveness, and orde			
Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	<u>Unsatisfactory</u>	No response/Not applicable	
33 (68.6%)	14 (29.2%)	1 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Percentage of stu	idents rated exception	al or satisfactory: 97.9%			
Evaluation item –	Work quantity: Consid	ler speed and volume of work.			
Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	<u>Unsatisfactory</u>	No response/Not applicable	
31 (64.6%)	15 (31.2%)	2 (4.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 95.8%					
Evaluation item –	Initiative: Consider the	ability to be a self-starter & work indepe	endently		
Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	<u>Unsatisfactory</u>	No response/Not applicable	
34 (70.8%)	12 (25.0%)	2 (4.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 95.8%					

Evaluation item – Planning & Organizational Skills: Consider the ability to establish priorities, maintain schedules, & manage time effectively.							
Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	<u>Unsatisfactory</u>	No response/Not applicable			
31 (64.6%)	15 (31.2%)	2 (4.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)			
Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 95.8%							
Evaluation item	– Dependability: Consi	der the ability to complete work under a	leadlines, follow throug	h on assignments, & be reliable & flexible.			
Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable			
37 (77.1%)	10 (20.8%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)			
Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 97.9%							
Evaluation item – Punctuality: Consider adherence to the work schedule & promptness in notifying supervisor of absence							
Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable			

Percentage of students rated exceptional or satisfactory: 93.7%

3 (6.3%)

11 (22.9%)

IV. Decisions and Actions

34 (70.8%)

Communication Studies interns were evaluated very highly by internship supervisors, with 90-plus percent of the supervisors rating their interns as either "exceptional" or "satisfactory" on all evaluation items. At this point, it appears that students are adequately prepared for an internship and are fulfilling the expectations of internship supervisors. In order to more fully assess the SLO goals set by the School of Communication, faculty in the Communication Studies division will begin assessing student portfolios over the next few semesters. All communication studies students are required to complete a student portfolio in their capstone class. The portfolio includes creating a resume, giving a professional research presentation, and writing a senior thesis. Along with internship evaluations, assessing the portfolios will give us a more comprehensive insight as to whether or not we are meeting our SLO goals.

0 (0.0%)

0 (0.0%)

Appendix A

SLO # 1: Students will demonstrate effective written communication skills.

Evaluation item-Communication Skills I-Consider the ability to express himself/herself in writing

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Spring 2013; N=5	3	2	0	0	0
Summer 2013; N=4	3	1	0	0	0
Fall 2013; N=10	7	3	0	0	0
Spring 2014; N=6	2	4	0	0	0
Summer 2014; N=9	8	1	0	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	2	1	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	6	1	0	0	0
Total N=48	35	13	0	0	0

SLO # 2: Students will demonstrate effective oral communication skills.

Evaluation item-Communication Skills II-Consider the ability to express himself/herself orally

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	1	1	0	0	0
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Spring 2013; N=5	5	0	0	0	0
Summer 2013; N=4	3	1	0	0	0
Fall 2013; N=10	8	2	0	0	0
Spring 2014; N=6	3	3	0	0	0
Summer 2014; N=9	9	0	0	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	3	0	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	5	2	0	0	0
Total N=48	39	9	0	0	0

SLO # 3: Students will demonstrate effective interpersonal communication skills in dyads, groups, or teamwork.

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not			
			Recommended		applicable			
Summer 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0			
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0			
Spring 2013; N=5	5	0	0	0	0			
Summer 2013; N=4	4	0	0	0	0			
Fall 2013; N=10	8	2	0	0	0			
Spring 2014; N=6	4	2	0	0	0			
Summer 2014; N=9	6	3	0	0	0			
Fall 2014; N=3	3	0	0	0	0			
Spring 2015; N=7	5	2	0	0	0			
Total N=48	39	9	0	0	0			

Evaluation item-Interpersonal Skills-Consider the ability to act diplomatically & tactfully with internal & external contacts

SLO #: 4 Students will demonstrate effective audio and/or visual communication skills.

Evaluation item-Audio/Visual Skills-Consider the ability to use effective audio and/or visual communication skills

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	1	0	0	0	1
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Spring 2013; N=5	2	2	0	0	1
Summer 2013; N=4	3	1	0	0	0
Fall 2013; N=10	7	3	0	0	0
Spring 2014; N=6	1	4	0	0	1
Summer 2014; N=9	5	4	0	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	2	1	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	5	2	0	0	0
Total N=48	28	17	0	0	3

SLO # 5: Students will demonstrate critical and analytical thinking.

Evaluation item-Critical Thinking-Consider the ability to think critically & analytically on the job

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Spring 2013; N=5	3	2	0	0	0
Summer 2013; N=4	3	1	0	0	0
Fall 2013; N=10	6	4	0	0	0
Spring 2014; N=6	2	3	1	0	0
Summer 2014; N=9	5	4	0	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	2	1	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	3	3	1	0	0
Total N=48	28	18	2	0	0

SLO # 6: Students will employ research tools to ethically acquire and apply knowledge.

Evaluation item-Ethics-Consider ability to use ethical practices when acquiring & applying knowledge on the job

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Spring 2013; N=5	5	0	0	0	0
Summer 2013; N=4	2	1	0	0	1
Fall 2013; N=10	9	1	0	0	1
Spring 2014; N=6	3	2	0	0	0
Summer 2014; N=9	8	1	0	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	3	0	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	7	0	0	0	0
Total N=48	41	5	0	0	2

SLO # 7: Students will demonstrate multicultural and/or global perspectives in the application of knowledge, tools and technologies.

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Fall 2012; N=2	1	0	0	0	1
Spring 2013; N=5	5	0	0	0	0
Summer 2013; N=4	2	1	0	0	1
Fall 2013; N=10	9	1	0	0	0
Spring 2014; N=6	3	3	0	0	0
Summer 2014; N=9	6	3	0	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	3	0	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	7	0	0	0	0
Total N=48	38	8	0	0	2

Evaluation item-Multicultural Perspectives-Consider sensitivity to multicultural perspectives as they relate to the job

SLO # 8: Students will demonstrate preparedness for a profession or graduate school related to their program of study.

Evaluation item-Ability to Use Technology-Consider technology use as it applies to the job

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Spring 2013; N=5	4	1	0	0	0
Summer 2013; N=4	3	1	0	0	0
Fall 2013; N=10	7	3	0	0	0
Spring 2014; N=6	1	4	1	0	0
Summer 2014; N=9	8	1	0	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	3	0	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	4	3	0	0	0
Total N=48	34	13	1	0	0

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	1	1	0	0	0
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Spring 2013; N=5	4	1	0	0	0
Summer 2013; N=4	3	1	0	0	0
Fall 2013; N=10	8	2	0	0	0
Spring 2014; N=6	3	2	1	0	0
Summer 2014; N=9	8	1	0	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	2	1	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	2	5	0	0	0
Total N=48	33	14	1	0	0

Evaluation item-Job Knowledge & Skills-Consider ability to apply knowledge& skills effectively on the job

Evaluation item-Preparedness-Consider preparedness for a job in the field of his/her internship

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	1	1	0	0	0
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Spring 2013; N=5	2	2	1	0	0
Summer 2013; N=4	2	2	0	0	0
Fall 2013; N=10	6	4	0	0	0
Spring 2014; N=6	2	2	2	0	0
Summer 2014; N=9	7	2	0	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	2	1	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	5	2	0	0	0
Total N=48	29	16	3	0	0

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not		
			Recommended		applicable		
Summer 2012; N=2	1	1	0	0	0		
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0		
Spring 2013; N=5	0	0	0	0	0		
Summer 2013; N=4	2	2	0	0	0		
Fall 2013; N=10	7	2	1	0	0		
Spring 2014; N=6	2	4	0	0	0		
Summer 2014; N=9	7	2	0	0	0		
Fall 2014; N=3	2	1	0	0	0		
Spring 2015; N=7	5	2	0	0	0		
Total N=48	33	14	1	0	0		

Evaluation item-Work Quality-Consider accuracy, comprehensiveness, and orderliness of work

Additional Data Collected Beyond 8 SLO Goals

Evaluation item-Work Quantity-Consider speed & volume of work

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	1	1	0	0	0
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Spring 2013; N=5	4	1	0	0	0
Summer 2013; N=4	3	1	2	0	0
Fall 2013; N=10	7	3	0	0	0
Spring 2014; N=6	2	4	0	0	0
Summer 2014; N=9	8	1	0	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	1	2	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	5	2	0	0	0
Total N=48	31	15	2	0	0

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Unsatisfactory		No response/Not
			Recommended		applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	1	1	0	0	0
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Spring 2013; N=5	5	0	0	0	0
Summer 2013; N=4	2	2	0	0	0
Fall 2013; N=10	7	3	0	0	0
Spring 2014; N=6	4	1	1	0	0
Summer 2014; N=9	8	0	1	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	1	2	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	4	3	0	0	0
Total N=48	34	12	2	0	0

Evaluation item-Initiative-Consider the ability to establish priorities, maintain schedules & manage time effectively

Evaluation item- Planning & Organizational Skills-Consider the ability to establish priorities, maintain schedules, & manage time effectively.

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	1	1	0	0	0
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Spring 2013; N=5	3	2	0	0	0
Summer 2013; N=4	2	1	1	0	0
Fall 2013; N=10	8	2	0	0	0
Spring 2014; N=6	2	3	1	0	0
Summer 2014; N=9	7	2	0	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	1	2	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	5	2	0	0	0
Total N=48	31	15	2	0	0

Evaluation reent Dependability	consider the ability to complete work and e acadimes, jonow through on assignments, and be reliable							
	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not			
			Recommended		applicable			
Summer 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0			
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0			
Spring 2013; N=5	5	0	0	0	0			
Summer 2013; N=4	3	1	0	0	0			
Fall 2013; N=10	6	3	1	0	0			
Spring 2014; N=6	2	4	0	0	0			
Summer 2014; N=9	8	1	0	0	0			
Fall 2014; N=3	2	1	0	0	0			
Spring 2015; N=7	7	0	0	0	0			
Total N=48	37	10	1	0	0			

Evaluation item-Dependability- Consider the ability to complete work under deadlines, follow through on assignments, and be reliable & flexible.

Evaluation item-Punctuality-Consider adherence to the work schedule & promptness in notifying supervisor of absence

	Exceptional	Satisfactory	Improvement Recommended	Unsatisfactory	No response/Not applicable
Summer 2012; N=2	1	1	1	0	0
Fall 2012; N=2	2	0	0	0	0
Spring 2013; N=5	4	1	0	0	0
Summer 2013; N=4	4	0	0	0	0
Fall 2013; N=10	7	2	1	0	0
Spring 2014; N=6	1	5	0	0	0
Summer 2014; N=9	8	0	1	0	0
Fall 2014; N=3	2	1	0	0	0
Spring 2015; N=7	6	1	0	0	0
Total N=48	34	11	3	0	0