

2017

A Not-So “Radical” Urban Political-Economic Narrative: Transforming Failed Liberal and Conservative Approaches to Poverty

R. K. Piper
University of Nebraska at Omaha

Minshuai Ding
University of Nebraska at Omaha

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/cparpresentations>

 Part of the [Demography, Population, and Ecology Commons](#), and the [Public Affairs Commons](#)

Please take our feedback survey at: https://unomaha.az1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_8cchtFmpDyGfBLE

Recommended Citation

Piper, R. K. and Ding, Minshuai, "A Not-So “Radical” Urban Political-Economic Narrative: Transforming Failed Liberal and Conservative Approaches to Poverty" (2017). *Presentations*. 9.
<https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/cparpresentations/9>

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for Public Affairs Research at DigitalCommons@UNO. It has been accepted for inclusion in Presentations by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNO. For more information, please contact unodigitalcommons@unomaha.edu.




A Not-So “Radical” Urban Political-Economic Narrative: Transforming Failed Liberal and Conservative Approaches to Poverty

R. K. Piper
Minshuai Ding
2017




Dr. Peter Suzuki



Problems in Political Economy: An Urban Perspective

1971 (1st Edition)
1977 (2nd Edition)



David. M. Gordon



Three Theoretical Narratives

- Conservative
- Liberal
- “Radical”



Research Question:
Which theoretical narrative is most valid?



Think **BIG!**



7 Fields of Social Problems

- Poverty
- Unemployment
- Race and Class Disparity
- Education
- Crime
- Health
- Housing



Look Small!

60 Variables

Gordons' Era (1977) vs. Today (2017)



Found Patterns

Major Worsening Social Problem Outcomes and Conditions

Magnitude of Change	Positive or Improving (+) Conditions	Negative or Worsening (-) Conditions	No Significant Change (Ø)	Net Total Change
Modest Change or No Change (+, -, Ø)	14 (+)	16 (-)	5 (Ø)	2 (-)
Major Changes (++, --)	7 (++)	18 (--)	n/a	11 (--)



Found Patterns (30 Variables)

Major Worsening Social, Economic and Class Divides and Disparities

Grouping Category	Magnitude of Change	Positive or Improving (+) Conditions	Negative or Worsening (-) Conditions	No Significant Change (0)	Net Total Change
Overall Social-Problem Outcome Changes	Major Changes (++, --)	3 (++)	3 (--)	n/a	0
Race/Ethnic Group Outcome Changes	Major Changes (++, --)	2 (++)	0 (--)	n/a	2 (++)
Outcome Changes by Socio-Economic (Income & Wealth) Class	Major Changes (++, --)	0 (++)	4 (--)	n/a	4 (--)



Figure 7. College Degree Attainment by Income Class







Figure 4a. Life Expectancy Comparison of Males at 50 Years Old In U.S. 1980 and 2010



Life Expectancy Comparison of Females at 50








Figure 9. Proportion of Families in Income Neighborhood, 1970-2009, 117 Metropolitan Areas with Population > 500,000





Intersectionality






Macro-Economics Trends and Historical Patterns

Think **BIGGER!**

Thomas Piketty
Capital in the Twenty-First Century
(2014)



Figure 12 Income Inequity, Top Inheritance Tax Rate, and Per Capita Output Growth 1910-2012





Which theoretical narrative is most valid?

Which gives us the best explanation and understanding of the conditions and “reality” we can observe today?

The totality of evidence supports Gordon’s contention of 40 years ago.....the “radical” narrative is the most valid.....and more relevant and necessary than ever.



Lift the fog!

Have the debate that has never occurred!

Take an academic stand! Actively advocate!

Thanks !

