Responses to course evaluations tend to remain well above neutral (Mean = 4.18 on a 5 point scale).

The average response rate for 2015 course evaluations (all terms) was 54.63%. Instructors’ response rates vary a great deal:

- Response rate for instructors in the top third: 82.84%
- Response rate for instructors in the middle third: 55.60%
- Response rate for instructors in the bottom third: 34.91%

Response rates tend to vary with students’ course grades:

- A/B student: 61.35% response rate
- C student: 47.96% response rate
- D/F student: 27.68% response rate

Evaluation ratings vary somewhat based on course grade. Contrary to popular belief, however, students receiving a D or F still tend to give positive ratings (i.e., above neutral).

Course evaluation ratings did not vary based on response rate, response adequacy, course academic level (undergrad or grad course), student academic level (freshman, sophomore, etc.), college, or course type (required or elective).

Course evaluation ratings did reveal patterns of results based on a student’s prior interest in the course subject, course pace, course workload, and course difficulty. However, average ratings across all variables still remained above neutral.

Summary of Findings

2015 Course Evaluations (All Terms)
Response Rate for 2015 Course Evaluations by Grade Received in the Course (All Terms)

Overall Response Rate: 54.63%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Response Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A/B</td>
<td>61.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>47.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D/F</td>
<td>27.68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average High, Middle, and Low Response Rates for Instructors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Response Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top 1/3</td>
<td>82.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle 1/3</td>
<td>55.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom 1/3</td>
<td>34.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q#</td>
<td>Domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Enthusiasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Enthusiasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Enthusiasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Enthusiasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Group Interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Group Interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Group Interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Group Interaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Individual Rapport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Individual Rapport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Individual Rapport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Individual Rapport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Breadth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Breadth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Breadth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Breadth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Assessment &amp; Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Assessment &amp; Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Assessment &amp; Evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Assignments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Assignments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Overall</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2015 Course Evaluation – All Terms
Differences in Evaluation Answers by Response Rate Tier
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2015 Course Evaluation – All Terms
Differences in Evaluation Answers by Adequacy of Response
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2015 Course Evaluation – All Terms
Differences in Evaluation Answers by Course Academic Level
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Differences in Evaluation Answers by College
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2015 Course Evaluation – All Terms
UNO Office of Institutional Effectiveness
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Course Workload
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Course Difficulty

(UG Courses with Adequate Response Rates Only)

![Course Difficulty Graph]
Overall, compared with other instructors I have had at UNO, this instructor is:

- Very Good: 47.77%
- Good: 29.10%
- Average: 15.46%
- Poor: 4.67%
- Very Poor: 3.00%

(Mean = 4.14, N = 63,706)

Overall, compared with other courses I have taken at UNO, this course is:

- Very Good: 38.51%
- Good: 34.84%
- Average: 18.82%
- Poor: 5.10%
- Very Poor: 2.74%

(Mean = 4.01, N = 63,846)