

8-2017

Single-limb landing biomechanics are altered and patellar tendinopathy related pain is reduced with acute infrapatellar strap application

Adam B. Rosen

University of Nebraska at Omaha, arosen@unomaha.edu

Jupil Ko

Northern Arizona University, jupilko@gmail.com

Cathleen N. Brown

Oregon State University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/hperfapub>

 Part of the [Health and Physical Education Commons](#), and the [Kinesiology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Rosen, Adam B.; Ko, Jupil; and Brown, Cathleen N., "Single-limb landing biomechanics are altered and patellar tendinopathy related pain is reduced with acute infrapatellar strap application" (2017). *Health and Kinesiology Faculty Publications*. 32.
<https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/hperfapub/32>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Health and Kinesiology at DigitalCommons@UNO. It has been accepted for inclusion in Health and Kinesiology Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNO. For more information, please contact unodigitalcommons@unomaha.edu.



Single-Limb Landing Biomechanics are Altered and Patellar Tendinopathy Related Pain is Reduced with Acute Infrapatellar Strap Application.

Adam Rosen PhD, ATC^a, University of Nebraska at Omaha, Omaha, NE, USA

Jupil Ko PhD, ATC^b, University of Northern Arizona, Phoenix, AZ, USA

Cathleen N. Brown PhD, ATC^c, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA

^aSchool of Health, Physical Education and Recreation
University of Nebraska at Omaha
6001 Dodge St, HPER 207Y
Omaha, NE, USA

^bDepartment of Physical Therapy and Athletic Training
NAU Phoenix Biomedical Campus
435 N. 5th Street
Phoenix, AZ 85004

^cSchool of Biological and Population Health Sciences
220 Langton Hall
Oregon State University
Corvallis, OR 97331

Corresponding Author

Adam B. Rosen PhD, ATC
School of Health, Physical Education and Recreation
University of Nebraska at Omaha
6001 Dodge St, HPER 207Y
Omaha, NE, USA, 68132
+1 402-554-2057 phone
+1 402-554-3693 fax
arosen@unomaha.edu

1 **Abstract**

2 *Background:* Patellar tendinopathy, a common condition of the knee, is often treated with
3 patellar tendon straps to control pain during dynamic activity. Little is known regarding their
4 effect on pain, landing kinematics and kinetics with their application. The purpose of this study
5 was to determine if patellar tendon straps influenced pain, kinematics at landing and ground
6 reaction forces in individuals with patellar tendinopathy versus healthy controls.

7 *Methods:* 30 participants with patellar tendinopathy and 30 controls participated. They
8 completed single-limb landings with and without patellar tendon straps while pain, three-
9 dimensional kinematics and vertical ground reaction forces were measured. A multivariate
10 analysis of variance was completed to determine the differences in strapping condition and group
11 for the dependent variables.

12 *Results:* Individuals with patellar tendinopathy demonstrated a significant decrease in pain (no
13 strap=37.1±22.1 mm, strap=28.0±18.5 mm). With the strap at landing all participants displayed
14 less hip rotation ($F=7.16, p=.01$), knee adduction ($F=10.20, p=.002$), ankle inversion ($F=4.60,$
15 $p=.04$), and peak vertical ground reaction force ($F=7.30, p=.009$).

16 *Conclusion:* Patellar tendon straps reduced pain in those with patellar tendinopathy.
17 Additionally, with the strap, individuals landed in a more neutral alignment and decreased
18 landing forces which could provide a benefit to those with patellar tendinopathy.

19 *Key Terms:*

20 Kinematics, Kinetics, Alignment, Jumper's Knee

21 *Abbreviations:*

22 MCID Minimum clinically important difference

23 PTA Patellar Tendon Abnormality

24	VAS	Visual Analogue Scale
25	VISA-P	Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment Scale-Patella
26	vGRF	Vertical Ground Reaction Force

27

28 **1. Introduction**

29 Patellar tendinopathy, colloquially known as “jumper’s knee”, is a common degenerative
30 condition of the knee present in upwards of 45% of elite athletes [1-3]. Patellar tendinopathy
31 often results in chronic pain and disability, with long-term symptoms causing frequent absences
32 from physical activity [2]. Consequently, one study reported 53% of athletes ceasing their
33 athletic career due to persistent tendinopathy related symptoms while the rest continued to
34 participate despite their pain [4].

35 Associated with the pathogenesis of the condition, biomechanical changes during landing
36 are also frequently observed in those with patellar tendinopathy [5]. More specifically, changes
37 in frontal and sagittal plane biomechanics have been associated with increased loads on the
38 medial portion of the patellar tendon which is consistent with the pathological degeneration of
39 the tendon [5]. Furthermore, individuals with patellar tendinopathy tend to demonstrate a
40 “stiffer” landing, observed via altered kinematics closer to maximum knee extension and larger
41 ground reaction forces, compared to those who are healthy [5, 6].

42 To combat the symptoms associated with tendinopathy, many clinicians advocate the use
43 of patellar tendon straps for pain control during sports activities. However, few studies to date
44 have demonstrated the effectiveness of patellar tendon straps and mechanisms by which they
45 assist in pain relief during dynamic activity [7-9]. However, several investigations have
46 demonstrated differences in lower extremity three-dimensional landing patterns and mechanics
47 in individuals with patellar tendinopathy during landing [5, 6, 10]. Accordingly, altering landing

48 biomechanics through the use of a patellar tendon strap may assist in moderating pain in
49 individuals with patellar tendinopathy. When wearing a patellar tendon strap, a compressive
50 force is placed on the tendon, which may also manipulate patellar and lower extremity
51 mechanics [8]. However, it is unknown what influence patellar tendon straps may have on three-
52 dimensional kinematics and ground reaction forces during single-limb landings. Thus,
53 mechanical changes with the use of a patellar tendon strap may assist in the pain relief reported
54 in individuals with patellar tendinopathy.

55 Therefore the purpose of this study was to explore pain, initial landing kinematics and
56 vertical ground reaction forces (vGRFs) during a single-leg landing task when wearing a patellar
57 tendon strap in individuals with and without patellar tendinopathy. We hypothesized strapping
58 would decrease pain in those with patellar tendinopathy, while landing kinematics and ground
59 reaction forces would be altered during strapping conditions.

60 **2. Methods**

61 *2.1 Participants*

62 No published data on biomechanical properties of patellar tendon strapping in patellar
63 tendinopathy participants were available to perform an accurately comparable *a-priori* power
64 analysis. However, using data from a previous study [12] on the effectiveness of tendon
65 strapping on pain control during single-limb vertical jump an *a-priori* power analysis was
66 conducted using G*Power™ (Version 3.0.10 Kiel University, Germany). Using those data, with
67 an $\alpha = 0.05$, $1 - \beta = 0.80$ and achieved effect size $d = 0.54$, 23 individuals in the tendinopathy group
68 would be necessary to find differences in pain with use of the strap.

69 Sixty physically active individuals were recruited from the local community to participate
70 in this study, which was approved by the local human subjects review board. Thirty participants

71 with patellar tendinopathy were recruited if they expressed pain only in their patellar tendon,
72 pain throughout their preferred sporting activity for a minimum of the previous 3-months, no
73 limitations in their physical activity due to their pain or were enrolled in a rehabilitation
74 treatment protocol, and Score \leq 80 on the Victorian Institute of Sport Assessment Scale-Patella
75 (VISA-P) indicating decreased function and the presence of patellar tendinopathy [6, 12, 13].
76 The VISA-P is an 8-item questionnaire designed specifically for patellar tendinopathy patients
77 and has a maximum score of 100. It has demonstrated excellent test-retest and interrater
78 reliability [13].

79 Thirty, gender, age, height, and weight, matched participants were recruited for the
80 control group. Control participants had no history of any knee joint injury. All participants were
81 excluded if they had a prior lower extremity surgery or fracture, current signs and symptoms of a
82 lower extremity joint injury, current pregnancy, or a previous diagnosis of a vestibular or balance
83 disorder. Although imaging was not used to definitively identify the presence of patellar
84 tendinopathy, an athletic trainer with 10 years clinical experience evaluated each participant for
85 inclusion criteria. In addition, previous biomechanical research studies assessing PT participants
86 have used similar criteria [10, 12]. As this was part of larger study, portions of data from this
87 cohort of participants have been previously published [10, 14].

88 *2.2 Procedures*

89 Participants initially provided informed consent, then completed health history
90 questionnaires to assess inclusion and exclusion criteria. Sixteen retro-reflective markers were
91 attached based on the kinematic models of Davis et al [15] and Kadaba et al [16] to landmarks of
92 the pelvis and lower limbs used in the Plug-In-Gait software (Workstation, v5.2.4, OMG Plc.,
93 London, UK).

94 Participants' maximal vertical jump height was assessed using a Vertec© jump trainer
95 (Sports Imports, Columbus, OH). The jump trainer was then set to 50% of the maximum as a
96 target height. Participants raised one arm to reach the target height after jumping off 2-legs at a
97 distance 70 cm from a force platform (Figure 1) [17, 18]. Participants then landed on a single-
98 limb on the force plate (1200 Hz; Bertec 4060-NC®; Bertec Corporation, Columbus, OH),
99 stabilizing and balancing for 10 seconds. Participants performed five trials for each in a no-strap
100 and strap condition (Universal Matt Strap™; Hely & Weber, Santa Paula, CA). Between each
101 trial, participants had approximately a minute rest period. Strapping conditions were
102 counterbalanced; half of the participants across both groups performed the no-strap condition
103 first while the other half performed the strap condition first. This ensured the same amount of
104 participants in each group were distributed across the testing order equally to wash out the
105 potential effect of fatigue or pain on the strapping conditions. Participants completed a 100 mm
106 visual analogue scale (VAS) for pain with “no pain” and “very severe pain” as anchors after
107 completing each strap condition [19]. Participants were blinded to previous scores.

108 *2.3 Data Reduction and Analysis*

109 Marker trajectories were recorded with a 7-camera motion capture system (120 Hz, mean
110 residual error= ≤ 0.5 mm, Vicon-MX40, Vicon, Oxford, UK). Kinematic and kinetic data were
111 processed through the Vicon Workstation software (Workstation, Oxford Metrics LTD., Oxford,
112 UK), with spatial locations of the retro-reflective markers transformed into three-dimensional
113 coordinates. Segmental positions and joint angles (cardan) of the lower extremity defined the
114 joint angles following the recommendations from the International Society of Biomechanics [20,
115 21]. These kinematic models demonstrate good to excellent, test-retest and interrater reliability
116 (22). Ground-contact was determined with >10 N via the force platform. Three-dimensional hip,

117 knee and ankle joint angles at initial ground contact were extracted as dependent variables of
118 interest and averaged over the first three trials with complete kinematic information over each
119 condition. Initial ground contact joint angles were used due to the nature of the type of landing
120 maneuver performed [23]. Much of the previous research on patellar tendinopathy landing
121 mechanics have focused on double-limb landings of stop-jumps and countermovement [5, 6] As
122 the participants were asked to perform a forward 50% maximum vertical jump, land on a single
123 limb and then balance, it was believed kinematics at initial-contact provided the most robust
124 information. Peak vertical ground reaction forces normalized to body weight (vGRF) were also
125 calculated.

126 *2.4 Statistical Analysis*

127 All statistical analyses were performed using IBM Statistical Package for the Social
128 Sciences software (Version 23.0, IBM, Inc., Armonk, NY). Demographic data and
129 questionnaires were initially inspected for differences utilizing independent samples *t*-tests. A
130 paired-sample *t*-test was used to assess differences in pain among the patellar tendinopathy
131 group. A mixed-model, multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to determine
132 statistical significance between control and patellar tendinopathy groups (between subjects) and
133 strapping conditions (repeated-measures). If significant differences were found among
134 multivariate statistics, univariate tests of significance (analysis of variance) were then inspected
135 for differences. All statistical significance levels were set *a*-priori at .05.

136 **3. Results**

137 No significant differences were observed among demographic data across participant
138 groups (Table 1). Significantly less pain ($t=3.84, p<.01$) was observed between strapping (28.0
139 ± 18.5 mm) and no-strap conditions (37.1 ± 22.1 mm) in the patellar tendinopathy participants.

140 The MANOVA revealed no interaction effect between strapping and tendinopathy group
141 ($F=.98, p=.48$) and no main effect for tendinopathy ($F=0.52, p=.86$). However, a significant
142 main effect for strapping was present ($F=3.20, p=.004$). Furthermore, univariate tests revealed
143 significant differences in the hip transverse plane ($F=7.16, p=.01$, Table 2), knee frontal plane
144 ($F=10.20, p=.002$), ankle frontal plane ($F=4.60, p=.04$) and peak vGRF (no-strap= 4.40 ± 0.79 ,
145 strap= $4.26 \pm .80, F=7.30, p=.009$, Table 3) across all participants in the strapping condition.
146 Specifically, with the strap, participants displayed more neutral hip rotation, knee adduction and
147 ankle inversion as well as lower vGRF.

148 **4. Discussion**

149 The purpose of this study was to determine if pain, three-dimensional landing kinematics
150 and vGRFs were altered when participants with and without patellar tendinopathy wore a patellar
151 tendon strap during a single-limb landing. Based on the results, it appears strapping acutely
152 modifies pain and biomechanical landing characteristics.

153 *4.1 Pain*

154 Strapping had a beneficial effect on pain during a single-limb landing which appears to
155 be statistically and potentially clinically significant. We found an approximate 25% reduction in
156 pain during a single-limb landing with an average decrease of 9 mm on the VAS's. This result
157 is in agreement with previous studies which also found similar decreases pain with strapping in
158 individuals with patellar tendinopathy [12, 14]. While the pain reduction may be considered
159 small, the reduction observed falls in line with studies of similar construct regarding the
160 minimum clinically important difference (MCID) and the percent decrease in pain. Previous
161 literature on MCID's has focused on emergency room visits, and reductions in pain following
162 various treatments appeared to range from between 8-30mm [24-26]. Due to the relatively low

163 baseline response and pain during the non-strapped trials, the threshold for change for a typical
164 MCID for VAS pain may not be appropriate in this task and may underestimate the pain
165 response in our participants [27]. Despite this, one-third of tendinopathy participants
166 demonstrated relatively large pain decreases (>15mm). For clinicians this suggests an
167 individualistic approach to strapping may provide more benefit.

168

169 *4.2 Kinematics*

170 Strapping influenced landing kinematics at initial contact in the single leg landing
171 compared to the no-strap condition. While wearing the strap, all participants landed with less hip
172 internal rotation, knee adduction and ankle inversion, indicating a potentially more neutral
173 landing. As individuals with patellar tendinopathy tend to demonstrate both excessive muscular
174 tension and a load-avoidance strategy during landing movements, any assistance in decreasing
175 tendon tension may provide symptomatic relief [5, 28]. Furthermore, kinematic changes in
176 individuals with patellar tendon abnormality (PTA), a predisposing condition to tendinopathy
177 have been observed during landing [29]. Those with PTA have demonstrated greater hip flexion,
178 hip abduction, knee flexion and knee abduction compared to controls during a stop-jump task
179 [29]. This positioning would put the quadriceps and the patellar tendon in an elongated position,
180 potentially adding strain to an already damaged tendon. Therefore, with the lower extremity in a
181 more neutral frontal and transverse plane positioning with use of the strap, the musculotendinous
182 fibers may also be more aligned, ultimately reducing tendon tension in symptomatic patients.

183 Few studies have reported the influences of patellar tendon straps on biomechanical
184 parameters. Previously, many of the studies to assess the biomechanical differences with
185 strapping are cadaveric, radiographic and electromyographic examinations [7-9]. In a cadaveric

186 study, authors found decreased infrapatellar fat pad pressure, patellofemoral contact area and
187 pressure with the addition of the patellar tendon brace [7]. However, utilizing cadaver specimens
188 may not appropriately account for all internal and external forces placed on the limb during
189 landing [29]. In a radiographic study, the investigators reported a decrease in patellar tendon
190 length as well as a decline in patellar-tendon angle with use of patellar tendon straps [8]. The
191 authors believed this may have led to decreased strain at the site of injury with the use of the
192 straps. While these findings may be contributory to a reduction in patellar tendinopathy
193 symptoms, the patellar tendon angle and length were measured at a single time period, 60° of
194 flexion, not during dynamic movement [8]. In another study on muscle activation strategies
195 while wearing a strap during a squat, investigators found an alteration in quadriceps muscle
196 timing, specifically in the vastus lateralis [9]. The authors thought this was a beneficial finding
197 due to muscular imbalances associated with mal-tracking conditions, but nothing specific was
198 mentioned related to patellar tendinopathy [9]. Comparatively, the alteration in patellar
199 dynamics and muscle activation suggest a potential to impact sagittal plane dynamics. The
200 results of the current study do not necessarily support the previous findings, but provide evidence
201 for small changes in alignment in the frontal and transverse plane. Assessing patellar tendon
202 strap effectiveness in human subjects during dynamic activities such as landing remains
203 paramount, as many of those who suffer from patellar tendinopathy continue to perform their
204 normal recreational activity in spite of the pain.

205

206 *4.2 Ground Reaction Forces*

207 There was a significant main effect for strapping, where all participants experienced
208 decreases in vGRFs with the strap compared to the no-strap condition. Although no previous

209 studies have identified differences in GRFs with patellar tendon strapping, previous studies on
210 knee taping and bracing do support our results. One study on patellar taping found decreases in
211 peak vGRFs during fast paced walking compared to no tape [32]. Another on healthy
212 participants using a custom fitted functional knee brace during 70 cm single limb drop landings
213 reported decreases in peak vGRFs compared to non-braced conditions [33]. Although the
214 findings in our study were significant, similar to the previous studies differences, they were
215 relatively small. This is indicated by a relatively small mean difference (*Cohen's d*=0.18) and
216 therefore may not be clinically relevant. However, if the decreased vGRFs noted are consistently
217 compounded over time during physical activity, such as basketball, it could have significant
218 implications on lower extremity loading and tissue quality of the lower extremity. Considering
219 training volume and excessive sport participation are believed to be primary extrinsic factors
220 related to tendinopathy development [34, 35], even small decreases in vGRFs could provide a
221 significant benefit to individuals with tendinopathy.

222

223 *4.3 Limitations*

224 The authors must acknowledge several limitations. As this was an observational study, a
225 double-blinded randomized control trial combined with a sham treatment for strapping would
226 likely be a better design to assess the strapping effectiveness. Similarly, because this was an
227 observational study, it is difficult to determine and speculate on the mechanism by which patellar
228 tendon straps both decrease pain and influence landing characteristics. Due to this and the non-
229 inclusion of a sham treatment it is also plausible a psychological and placebo effect was present.
230 In addition, we had relatively functional patients, characterized by the low to moderate pain
231 levels reported, and patients with more severe tendinopathy may portray different responses to

232 patellar tendon strapping. Imaging such as diagnostic ultrasound to definitively diagnose
233 tendinopathy was not completed. Despite this, we are confident in our inclusion criteria and
234 previous work has used similar constructs [10, 12, 23]. Another possible limitation is the use of a
235 50% maximum jump height as opposed to a maximum jump height. The relatively small
236 changes observed may potentially be attributed to this discrepancy and perhaps a maximum
237 vertical jump may have elicited greater changes in kinematics and vGRFs. Future studies may
238 want to incorporate maximum vertical jumps or other dynamic maneuvers which may elicit
239 different biomechanical responses. Additionally, as this study only observed kinematics at initial
240 landing, it would may be beneficial to identify possible changes during the entire landing phase
241 with use of a strap. Thus, additional investigations may want to observe these variables to
242 potentially provide more insight into the effectiveness of strapping during a single-limb landing.
243 Lastly, there was fairly large variability in the landing patterns across individual participants, and
244 although statistically significant most of the effects were interpreted as small, therefore limiting
245 the overall impact of the findings. However, this variability appears consistent with previous
246 research studies [10, 23], and further investigations may want to perform advanced, non-linear
247 analyses to identify more subtle changes in biomechanical data.

248 **5. Conclusions**

249 The major results of this study indicate patellar tendon straps are effective at reducing
250 pain acutely in individuals with patellar tendinopathy and they alter landing characteristics
251 during a single-limb landing. As some individuals reported greater decreases in pain with the
252 strap, clinicians may want to take an individualistic approach to strapping. Participants landed in
253 a more neutral alignment and changing biomechanical alignment could potentially assist in
254 reducing strain to the tendon. While wearing the strap individuals also demonstrated decreased

255 vGRFs, which could provide benefits for individuals participating in high volumes of practice or
256 training. Future studies may want to determine the effectiveness with more robust designs,
257 advanced symptoms and for longer periods.

258

259 **Acknowledgment**

260 The authors received no research funding and have no financial affiliation or involvement with
261 any commercial organization that has a direct financial interest for this study. The authors wish
262 to thank the student research assistants who aided with data collection and processing.

263

264 References:

- 265 1. Foss KDB, Myer GD, Chen SS, Hewett TE. Expected Prevalence From the Differential
266 Diagnosis of Anterior Knee Pain in Adolescent Female Athletes During Preparticipation
267 Screening. *J Athl Train.* 2012;47(5):519-24. DOI: 10.4085/1062-6050-47.5.01
- 268 2. Taunton JE, Ryan MB, Clement DB, McKenzie DC, Lloyd-Smith DR, Zumbo BD. A
269 retrospective case-control analysis of 2002 running injuries. *Br J Sports Med.* 2002;36(2):95-101
- 270 3. Lian OB, Engebretsen L, Bahr R. Prevalence of jumper's knee among elite athletes from
271 different sports: a cross-sectional study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2005;33(4):561-7. DOI:
272 10.1177/0363546504270454
- 273 4. Kettunen JA, Kvist M, Alanen E, Kujala UM. Long-term prognosis for jumper's knee in male
274 athletes. A prospective follow-up study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2002;30(5):689-92
- 275 5. Van der Worp H, de Poel HJ, Diercks RL, van den Akker-Scheek I, Zwerver J. Jumper's knee
276 or lander's knee? A systematic review of the relation between jump biomechanics and patellar
277 tendinopathy. *Int J Sports Med.* 2014;35(8):714-22. DOI: 10.1055/s-0033-1358674

- 278 6. Rosen AB, Ko J, Simpson KJ, Kim S-H, Brown CN. Lower Extremity Kinematics During a
279 Drop Jump in Individuals With Patellar Tendinopathy. *Orthop J Sports Med.* 2015;3(3). DOI:
280 10.1177/2325967115576100
- 281 7. Bohnsack M, Halcour A, Klages P, Wilharm A, Ostermeier S, Ruhmann O, et al. The
282 influence of patellar bracing on patellar and knee load-distribution and kinematics: an
283 experimental cadaver study. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2008;16(2):135-41. DOI:
284 10.1007/s00167-007-0428-3
- 285 8. Lavagnino M, Arnoczky SP, Dodds J, Elvin N. Infrapatellar Straps Decrease Patellar Tendon
286 Strain at the Site of the Jumper's Knee Lesion: A Computational Analysis Based on
287 Radiographic Measurements. *Sports Health.* 2011;3(3):296-302.
- 288 9. Straub RK, Cipriani DJ. Influence of infrapatellar and suprapatellar straps on quadriceps
289 muscle activity and onset timing during the body-weight squat. *J Strength Cond Res.*
290 2012;26(7):1827-37. DOI: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e318234e81d
- 291 10. Fietzer AL, Chang YJ, Kulig K. Dancers with patellar tendinopathy exhibit higher vertical
292 and braking ground reaction forces during landing. *J Sports Sci.* 2012;30(11):1157-63. DOI:
293 10.1080/02640414.2012.695080
- 294 11. Richards DP, Ajemian SV, Wiley JP, Zernicke RF. Knee joint dynamics predict patellar
295 tendinitis in elite volleyball players. *Am J Sports Med.* 1996;24(5):676-8.
- 296 12. de Vries A, Zwerver J, Diercks R, Tak I, van Berkel S, van Cingel R, van der Worp H, van
297 den Akker-Scheek I. Effect of patellar strap and sports tape on pain in patellar tendinopathy: A
298 randomized controlled trial. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2015. [E-pub ahead of print]. DOI:
299 10.1111/sms.12556

300 13. Visentini PJ, Khan KM, Cook JL, Kiss ZS, Harcourt PR, Wark JD. The VISA score: an
301 index of severity of symptoms in patients with jumper's knee (patellar tendinosis). *J Sci Med*
302 *Sport*. 1998;1(1):22-8.

303 14. Rosen AB, Ko J, Simpson KJ, Brown CN. Patellar tendon straps decrease pre-landing
304 quadriceps activation in males with patellar tendinopathy. *Phys Ther Sport*. 2016. [E-pub ahead
305 of print]. DOI: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2016.09.007

306 15. Davis RB, Ounpuu S, Tyburski D, Gage JR. A Gait Analysis Data-Collection and Reduction
307 Technique. *Hum Mov Sci*. 1991;10(5):575-87. DOI: 10.1016/0167-9457(91)90046-Z

308 16. Kadaba MP, Ramakrishnan HK, Wootten ME. Measurement of lower extremity kinematics
309 during level walking. *J Orthop Res*. 1990;8(3):383-92. DOI10.1002/jor.1100080310

310 17. Brown CN, Ko J, Rosen AB, Hsieh K. Individuals with both perceived ankle instability and
311 mechanical laxity demonstrate dynamic postural stability deficits. *Clin Biomech*. 2015;
312 30(10):1170-4. DOI: 10.1016/j.clinbiomech.2015.08.008

313 18. Wikstrom EA, Tillman MD, Chmielewski TL, Cauraugh JH, Borsa PA. Dynamic postural
314 stability deficits in subjects with self-reported ankle instability. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*.
315 2007;39(3):397-402. DOI: 10.1249/mss.0b013e31802d3460

316 19. Huskisson EC. Measurement of pain. *Lancet*. 1974 Nov 9;2(7889):1127-31.

317 20. Grood ES, Suntay WJ. A joint coordinate system for the clinical description of three-
318 dimensional motions: application to the knee. *J Biomech Eng*. 1983;105(2):136-44

319 21. Wu G, Siegler S, Allard P, Kirtley C, Leardini A, Rosenbaum D, et al. ISB recommendation
320 on definitions of joint coordinate system of various joints for the reporting of human joint
321 motion--part I: ankle, hip, and spine. International Society of Biomechanics. *J Biomech*.
322 2002;35(4):543-8

- 323 22. Tsushima H, Morris ME, McGinley J. Test-retest reliability and inter-tester reliability of
324 kinematic data from a three-dimensional gait analysis system. *J Jpn Phys Ther Assoc.*
325 2003;6(1):9-17. doi: 10.1298/jjpta.6.9.
- 326 23. Bisseling RW, Hof AL, Bredeweg SW, Zwerver J, Mulder T. Relationship between landing
327 strategy and patellar tendinopathy in volleyball. *Br J Sports Med.* 2007;41(7):e8. DOI:
328 10.1136/bjism.2006.032565
- 329 24. Gallagher EJ, Liebman M, Bijur PE. Prospective validation of clinically important changes in
330 pain severity measured on a visual analog scale. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2001;38(6):633-8. DOI:
331 10.1067/mem.2001.118863
- 332 25. Kelly AM. Does the clinically significant difference in visual analog scale pain scores vary
333 with gender, age, or cause of pain? *Acad Emerg Med.* 1998;5(11):1086-90.
- 334 26. Lee JS, Hobden E, Stiell IG, Wells GA. Clinically important change in the visual analog
335 scale after adequate pain control. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2003;10(10):1128-30
- 336 27. Kersten P, White PJ, Tennant A. Is the pain visual analogue scale linear and responsive to
337 change? An exploration using Rasch analysis. *PloS one.* 2014;9(6):e99485. DOI:
338 10.1371/journal.pone.0099485
- 339 28. Zhang ZJ, Ng GY, Lee WC, Fu SN. Increase in passive muscle tension of the quadriceps
340 muscle heads in jumping athletes with patellar tendinopathy. *Scand J Med Sci Sport.* 2016. doi:
341 10.1111/sms.12749.
- 342 29. Cook JL, Khan KM, Kiss ZS, Purdam CR, Griffiths L. Prospective imaging study of
343 asymptomatic patellar tendinopathy in elite junior basketball players. *J Ultrasound Med.*
344 2000;19(7):473-9

345 30. Edwards S, Steele JR, McGhee DE, Beattie S, Purdam C, Cook JL. Landing strategies of
346 athletes with an asymptomatic patellar tendon abnormality. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.*
347 2010;42(11):2072-80. DOI: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181e0550b

348 31. Sharkey NA, Hamel AJ. A dynamic cadaver model of the stance phase of gait: performance
349 characteristics and kinetic validation. *Clin Biomech.* 1998;13(6):420-33.

350 32. Bennell K, Duncan M, Cowan S. Effect of patellar taping on vasti onset timing, knee
351 kinematics, and kinetics in asymptomatic individuals with a delayed onset of vastus medialis
352 oblique. *J Orthop Res.* 2006;24(9):1854-60. DOI: 10.1002/jor.20226

353 33. Rishiraj N, Taunton JE, Lloyd-Smith R, Regan W, Niven B, Woollard R. Functional knee
354 brace use effect on peak vertical ground reaction forces during drop jump landing. *Knee Surg*
355 *Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2012 20(12):2405-12. DOI: 1.10.1007/s00167-012-1911-z

356 34. Ferretti A, Puddu G, Mariani PP, Neri M. Jumper's knee: an epidemiological study of
357 volleyball players. *Phys Sportsmed.* 1984;12(10):97-9;101;4;6

358 35. Visnes H, Bahr R. Training volume and body composition as risk factors for developing
359 jumper's knee among young elite volleyball players. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2013;23(5):607-13.
360 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.2011.01430.x

361