

2005

Convergent Sequences of Composition Operators

Valentin Matache

University of Nebraska at Omaha, vmatache@unomaha.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/mathfacpub>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Valentin Matache, Convergent sequences of composition operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, Volume 305, Issue 2, 2005, Pages 659-668, ISSN 0022-247X, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.12.028>.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at DigitalCommons@UNO. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNO. For more information, please contact unodigitalcommons@unomaha.edu.



CONVERGENT SEQUENCES OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS

VALENTIN MATACHE

ABSTRACT. Composition operators C_φ on the Hilbert Hardy space H^2 over the unit disk are considered. We investigate when convergence of sequences $\{\varphi_n\}$ of symbols, (i.e. of analytic selfmaps of the unit disk) towards a given symbol φ , implies the convergence of the induced composition operators, $C_{\varphi_n} \rightarrow C_\varphi$. If the composition operators C_{φ_n} are Hilbert-Schmidt operators, we prove that convergence in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_\varphi\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$ takes place if and only if the following conditions are satisfied. $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, $\int 1/(1 - |\varphi|^2) < \infty$, and $\int 1/(1 - |\varphi_n|^2) \rightarrow \int 1/(1 - |\varphi|^2)$. The convergence of the sequence of powers of a composition operator is studied.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, $\mathbb{U} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ is the open unit disk, and \mathbb{T} its boundary, the unit circle. By m we denote the normalized arc-length measure on \mathbb{T} . We consider the Hilbert Hardy space H^2 , consisting of all analytic functions f on \mathbb{U} for which

$$(1) \quad \|f\|_2 := \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(r\zeta)|^2 dm(\zeta) \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

The quantity in (1) is the norm of H^2 and has the alternative description

$$(2) \quad \|f\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2},$$

where $\{c_n\}$ is the sequence of the Taylor coefficients of f .

Any H^2 -function f has a radial limit function defined as follows

$$f(\zeta) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(r\zeta) \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{T}.$$

It is well-known that the radial limit function is defined m -a.e. on \mathbb{T} . Throughout this paper, it will be denoted by the same symbol f as the function itself. The H^2 -norm of any H^2 -function equals the L^2 -norm of its radial limit function.

The space H^∞ is the space of all bounded analytic functions f on \mathbb{U} endowed with the norm

$$(3) \quad \|f\|_\infty := \sup_{|z| < 1} |f(z)|.$$

Let \mathcal{S} denote the subset of H^∞ consisting of the analytic selfmaps of \mathbb{U} . Each function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$, induces a bounded composition operator C_φ , defined as follows

$$C_\varphi f := f \circ \varphi \quad f \in H^2,$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 47B33; Secondary: 47B38.

Key words and phrases. composition operators, convergence.

and referred to as *the composition operator of symbol φ* .

In this paper, our basic problem can be formulated as follows. Consider all composition operators on the Hilbert Hardy space H^2 . For a sequence of symbols $\{\varphi_n\}$ assume that there is some $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ so that $\{\varphi_n\}$ converges in some sense to φ . We will investigate under what circumstances one can deduce that $C_{\varphi_n} \rightarrow C_\varphi$ in the sense of some usual convergence-concept for sequences of operators.

The problem under investigation is not interesting if the space $\mathcal{L}(H^2)$ of all linear bounded operators on H^2 is endowed with the uniform operator-topology, unless φ satisfies the condition

$$(4) \quad |\varphi(\zeta)| < 1 \quad m - \text{a.e.} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{T}.$$

Indeed, a result by Berkson [1] states that, if $E_\varphi = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} : |\varphi(\zeta)| = 1\}$ is such that $m(E_\varphi) > 0$, then C_φ is an isolated element of the space of all composition operators in $\mathcal{L}(H^2)$ endowed with the uniform operator topology. Hence, we are interested mainly in symbols $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ with the property (4).

Howard Schwartz was the first to consider the problem of relating convergence of symbols and convergence of the induced composition operators. In [6] he settled the cases of weak and strong operator-convergence, proving that $C_{\varphi_n} \rightarrow C_\varphi$ in the weak operator topology if and only if $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ weakly in H^2 , respectively that $C_{\varphi_n} \rightarrow C_\varphi$ strongly if and only if $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. He obtained partial results on uniform convergence too. According to [6, Theorem 4.5], $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ if $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ a.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\varphi_n|^2} < \infty \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\varphi_n|^2} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\varphi|^2} < \infty.$$

The operators involved in the theorem above are Hilbert-Schmidt composition operators. It is natural to ask if this theorem holds in the stronger sense of Hilbert-Schmidt norm-convergence.

In Section 2 we show that this is the case and, besides improving Schwartz's result, obtain a necessary and sufficient characterization of the situation when a sequence of Hilbert-Schmidt composition operators $\{C_{\varphi_n}\}$ converges in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm to some composition operator C_φ . More exactly we prove that this happens if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\varphi|^2} < \infty, \quad \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\varphi_n|^2} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\varphi|^2}.$$

Recent results of [5] are also extended in Section 2. In Section 3 we consider the sequence $\{C_\varphi^n\}$ for some non-inner $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ having a fixed point $w \in \mathbb{U}$. We prove that $\|C_\varphi^n - C_w\| \rightarrow 0$. Since C_w is a rank-one idempotent, hence, in particular, a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, it is normal to ask if the previous result can be improved to Hilbert-Schmidt norm convergence, in select cases. We show that this happens if and only if C_φ^k is Hilbert-Schmidt for some k .

In section 4 we prove the norm estimate

$$\|C_\varphi - C_\psi\| \leq 2 \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|\varphi(u) - \psi(u)|}{(1 - |\varphi(u)|)(1 - |\psi(u)|)} dm(u)}$$

and deduce that $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$ if

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|\varphi_n - \varphi|}{(1 - |\varphi|)(1 - |\varphi_n|)} dm \rightarrow 0,$$

which relates to a result in Section 2, where it is proved that, if the condition above holds and C_φ is Hilbert–Schmidt, then C_{φ_n} tends to C_φ in the Hilbert–Schmidt norm.

As a final remark in this introductory section, we would like to observe that, if a sequence of composition operators tends weakly to an operator, then that operator too must be a composition operator. Indeed:

Remark 1 ([6]). *The set of all composition operators is weakly sequentially compact.*

2. HILBERT-SCHMIDT NORM CONVERGENCE

On any Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the Hilbert-Schmidt norm $\|T\|_{\text{HS}}$ of an operator T is defined as follows,

$$(5) \quad \|T\|_{\text{HS}} = \sqrt{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|Te_n\|^2},$$

where $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . The quantity in (5) does not depend on the orthonormal basis chosen [4], thus it is larger than or equal the operator norm $\|T\|$ of T . Therefore, if we can prove that, under certain assumptions on $\{\varphi_n\}$, one has that $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_\varphi\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$, then we can deduce $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$.

Recall that a Hilbert-space operator is called a Hilbert-Schmidt operator if it has finite Hilbert-Schmidt norm. It is well-known [7], that a composition operator C_φ on H^2 has Hilbert-Schmidt norm given by

$$(6) \quad \|C_\varphi\|_{\text{HS}} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\varphi|^2}}.$$

Lemma 1. *The sequence $\{C_{\varphi_n}\}$ of Hilbert-Schmidt composition operators tends toward the composition operator C_φ in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm if $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$, a.e. on \mathbb{T} ,*

$$(7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\varphi|^2} < \infty,$$

and

$$(8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\varphi_n|^2} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\varphi|^2}.$$

Proof. Using formula (5) and the standard orthonormal basis $\{1, z, z^2, z^3, \dots\}$ of H^2 , one gets

$$(9) \quad \|C_{\varphi_n} - C_\varphi\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - |\varphi_n|^2} + \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi|^2} - 2\Re \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\varphi_n}\varphi} \right) dm = \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi_n|^2} dm + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi|^2} dm - 2\Re \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\varphi_n}\varphi} dm.$$

By hypothesis,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\varphi_n|^2} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\varphi|^2}.$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\varphi_n} \varphi} \right| \leq \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi|} \quad m - a.e.,$$

the a.e. convergence hypothesis and the dominated convergence theorem combine to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\varphi_n} \varphi} dm \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{1 - |\varphi|^2} dm.$$

By (9), it follows that $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$. \square

The lemma above is an improvement in the framework of H^2 of [6, Theorem 4.5] and allows us to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 1. *If C_{φ} is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator, then $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $\|C_{\varphi_n}\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow \|C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}}$ and $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. First note that if $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$ then clearly $\|C_{\varphi_n}\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow \|C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}}$ and

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_2 = \|C_{\varphi_n}(z) - C_{\varphi}(z)\|_2 \leq \|C_{\varphi_n} - C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Conversely, assume by way of contradiction that $\|C_{\varphi_n}\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow \|C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}} < \infty$, $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, but $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}} \not\rightarrow 0$. Then one can find some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and a subsequence $\{C_{\varphi_{n_k}}\}$ such that

$$(10) \quad \|C_{\varphi_{n_k}} - C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}} \geq \epsilon_0.$$

Since, $\|\varphi_{n_k} - \varphi\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, there is a subsequence of $\{\varphi_{n_k}\}$ that converges a.e. to φ . Applying Lemma 1 to that subsequence one gets a contradiction to (10). \square

Corollary 1. *Let C_{φ} be a Hilbert–Schmidt composition operator and $\{\varphi_n\}$ a sequence in \mathcal{S} . If*

$$(11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|\varphi_n - \varphi|}{(1 - |\varphi|)(1 - |\varphi_n|)} dm \rightarrow 0$$

then $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Clearly

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_1 \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|\varphi_n - \varphi|}{(1 - |\varphi|)(1 - |\varphi_n|)} dm \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

so $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, since $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_2^2 \leq 2\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_1$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|C_{\varphi_n}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 - \|C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|\varphi_n|^2 - |\varphi|^2}{(1 - |\varphi|^2)(1 - |\varphi_n|^2)} dm \right| \leq \\ &2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|\varphi_n - \varphi|}{(1 - |\varphi|)(1 - |\varphi_n|)} dm \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

\square

As another application, we prove the following "dominated convergence principle" for Hilbert–Schmidt norm-convergence of composition operators, which improves a result in [6], where uniform convergence is proved under assumptions slightly more restrictive than the ones below.

Theorem 2. *Let $\varphi, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{S}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. If there exists a measurable function $\chi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ so that, for each n*

$$|\varphi_n| \leq \chi \leq 1 \quad m - a.e.,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\chi|^2} < \infty,$$

and $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, then $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\frac{1}{1 - |\varphi_n|^2} \leq \frac{1}{1 - |\chi|^2}, \quad m\text{-a.e.} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since $\{\varphi_n\}$ has a subsequence $\{\varphi_{n_k}\}$ that converges a.e. to φ , Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem leads to

$$\|C_{\varphi_{n_k}}\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow \|C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{dm}{1 - |\chi|^2} < \infty.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, $\|C_{\varphi_{n_k}} - C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$. Based on that, one can prove by contradiction that $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_{\varphi}\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$ exactly as in the proof of that theorem. \square

As a corollary, we obtain Theorem 1 of [5]:

Corollary 2. *The map $\varphi \rightarrow C_{\varphi}$ is continuous from the open unit ball of H^{∞} endowed with $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ into the set of Hilbert–Schmidt composition operators.*

Proof. Indeed, one may choose a positive constant r so that $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} + r < 1$, set $\chi := \|\varphi\|_{\infty} + r$, and apply the previous theorem, (which is possible, since $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ implies that $\|\varphi_n\|_{\infty} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\infty} + r$ for all values of n large enough). \square

Actually, in [5], the statement above is deduced as a consequence of the fact that the map $\varphi \rightarrow C_{\varphi}$ is Lipschitz continuous from each ball of H^{∞} of radius r , $0 < r < 1$, endowed with $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ into the set of Hilbert–Schmidt composition operators, (a fact the authors of [5] establish). As a last remark in this section, we would like to note that a simple upper norm estimate for the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of a difference of two composition operators proves that the map $\varphi \rightarrow C_{\varphi}$ is Lipschitz continuous on subsets of the unit ball of H^{∞} larger than the balls above.

Remark 2. *For any pair of distinct symbols $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}$, let $\chi := \max\{|\varphi|, |\psi|\}$. The following upper estimate of $\|C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}\|_{\text{HS}}$ holds*

$$(12) \quad \|C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 + \chi^2}{(1 - \chi^2)^3} dm} \|\varphi - \psi\|_{\infty}.$$

Hence for each $R > 0$, the map $\varphi \rightarrow C_{\varphi}$ is Lipschitz continuous on $\mathcal{S}_R := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{S} : \int_{\mathbb{T}} dm/(1 - |\varphi|)^3 \leq R\}$, that is there is some $M > 0$ such that

$$\|C_{\varphi} - C_{\psi}\|_{\text{HS}} \leq M \|\varphi - \psi\|_{\infty} \quad \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}_R.$$

Proof. By [3, pp. 339], one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\psi - C_\varphi\|_{\text{HS}}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{\psi - \varphi}{1 - \bar{\psi}\varphi} \right|^2 \left(\frac{1}{1 - |\varphi|^2} + \frac{1}{1 - |\psi|^2} - 1 \right) dm \leq \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\varphi - \psi|^2 \frac{1 + \chi^2}{(1 - \chi^2)^3} dm, \end{aligned}$$

hence (12) holds. \square

3. THE POWERS OF A COMPOSITION OPERATOR

In this section we treat the norm convergence of the operator sequence $\{C_\varphi^n\}$, where $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ has a fixed point $w \in \mathbb{U}$ and is not an inner function. Recall that an inner function is an analytic selfmap of \mathbb{U} whose radial limit-function is unimodular m -a.e. on \mathbb{T} .

For each $w \in \mathbb{U}$, C_w denotes the composition operator of constant symbol w . Denote $\varphi^{[n]} = \varphi \circ \dots \circ \varphi$, n times for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Clearly $C_{\varphi^{[n]}} = C_\varphi^n$.

Theorem 3. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$ be a non-inner symbol. If for some $w \in \mathbb{U}$, $\varphi(w) = w$, then $\|C_\varphi^n - C_w\| \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Assume first that $w = 0$. Let $H_0^2 = \{f \in H^2 : f(0) = 0\}$. Recall that $\|C_\varphi|_{H_0^2}\| = \delta < 1$, [8]. Consider any $f \in H^2$, $\|f\|_2 = 1$, and note that $\|C_\varphi f - C_0 f\|_2 = \|C_\varphi(f - f(0))\|_2 \leq \delta \|f - f(0)\|_2$. Hence $\|C_\varphi^n f - C_0 f\|_2 = \|C_\varphi^n(f - f(0))\|_2 \leq \delta^n \|f - f(0)\|_2 \leq \delta^n$. Iterating, one gets $\|C_\varphi^n - C_0\| \leq \delta^n \rightarrow 0$. A conformal conjugation argument takes care of the case $w \neq 0$. Indeed, consider the selfinverse conformal automorphism $\alpha_w(z) = (w - z)/(1 - \bar{w}z)$, and set $\psi = \alpha_w \circ \varphi \circ \alpha_w$. Note that $\psi(0) = 0$, hence $\|C_\psi^m - C_\psi^n\| \rightarrow 0$ if $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. This fact implies $\|C_\varphi^m - C_\varphi^n\| \rightarrow 0$ if $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, for each k one has $C_\varphi^k = C_{\alpha_w} C_\psi^k C_{\alpha_w}$ and hence $\|C_\varphi^m - C_\varphi^n\| \leq \|C_{\alpha_w}\|^2 \|C_\psi^m - C_\psi^n\|$, $m, n = 1, 2, \dots$. We established that the sequence $\{C_\varphi^n\}$ is norm-convergent. Let T denote its limit. It is well-known that $\varphi^{[n]} \rightarrow w$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{U} , hence also weakly in H^2 , (see [7, the Denjoy–Wolff Theorem]). Since $C_\varphi^n(z) = \varphi^{[n]}$, it follows by Remark 1 that $T = C_w$. \square

The argument used to prove Theorem 3, occurs, with minor changes, in [2]. There it is used to show that the iterates of φ converge to w in the H^2 norm. We included the proof of Theorem 3 for the sake of completeness.

If φ is inner, then for any n : $\|C_\varphi^n - C_w\| \geq \|(C_\varphi^n - C_w)(z)\| \geq 1 - |w|$, so that Theorem 3 cannot be extended to this case. In fact, by a result of Berkson ([1], see also [9]) $\|C_\varphi^n - C_w\| \geq 1$ in this case.

The situation when $\|C_\varphi^n - C_w\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$ is characterized in the following.

Theorem 4. *Let φ be a non-inner function with a fixed point w in \mathbb{U} . Then $\|C_\varphi^n - C_w\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$ if and only if there is some positive integer k such that C_φ^k is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.*

Proof. The necessity is evident, given that obviously C_w is Hilbert-Schmidt. To prove the sufficiency, assume first that $w = 0$. Note that $\varphi^{[n]} \rightarrow 0$, m -a.e. Indeed,

using the notation in the proof of Theorem 3, observe that, in that proof, we obtained that

$$\|C_\varphi^n - C_0\| \leq \delta^n \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(C_\varphi^n - C_0)(z)\|_2^2 < \infty,$$

that is

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi^{[n]}\|_2^2 < \infty,$$

so, by Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi^{[n]}|^2 \right) dm < \infty,$$

which implies $\varphi^{[n]} \rightarrow 0$, m -a.e.

Now, by the Schwarz lemma in classical complex analysis,

$$|\varphi^{[n]}| \leq |\varphi^{[k]}| \quad m - \text{a.e.} \quad n \geq k$$

so, setting $\chi := |\varphi^{[k]}|$ in Theorem 2 leads to the desired conclusion when $w = 0$. A standard conformal conjugation argument takes care of the general case like in the proof of Theorem 3. Indeed, for w arbitrary, one can associate to φ the conformal conjugate ψ as in that proof and note that $\|C_\varphi^m - C_\varphi^n\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \|C_{\alpha_w}\|^2 \|C_\psi^m - C_\psi^n\|_{\text{HS}}$, $m, n = 1, 2, \dots$, by [4, pp. 1012, Corollary 5]. Thus, by the first part of this proof, the sequence $\{C_{\varphi_n}\}$ tends to an operator T in the Hilbert–Schmidt norm. One shows that $T = C_w$ exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3. \square

In the argument above we needed the fact that, if φ fixes a point w in \mathbb{U} and is not an inner function, then its iterates tend a.e. to w . This was first established in [2]. For the sake of the self-sufficiency of the current paper, we decided to include the proof, rather than just refer the reader to [2].

The situation when the assumptions in Theorem 3 hold but those in Theorem 4 don't, may occur, as we show in the following.

Example 1. Let $\varphi(z) = (z^3 + 1)/2$. This symbol satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 3, hence there is $w \in \mathbb{U}$ so that $\|C_\varphi^n - C_w\| \rightarrow 0$, but $\|C_\varphi^n - C_w\|_{\text{HS}} \not\rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Clearly φ is not inner. Indeed, by the triangle inequality, $|(z^3 + 1)/2| \leq 1$, for all z in the closed unit disk, and equality occurs only if z is a cube root of 1. The fixed points of φ are the zeros of $z^3 - 2z + 1$, a polynomial that is real on the real line, positive at .5 and negative at .7. Therefore, φ has a fixed point $w \in \mathbb{U}$ and hence satisfies the assumptions in Theorem 3. On the other hand, all the iterates of φ have finite angular derivatives at 1. Thus, C_φ^n is not compact, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, (see [3] or [7]), and hence, C_φ^n cannot be Hilbert-Schmidt. \square

By Remark 1, the power-sequence $\{C_\varphi^n\}$ of a composition operator with symbol without fixed points in \mathbb{U} is weakly divergent, since in that case, there is a unimodular constant function ω toward which $\{\varphi^{[n]}\}$ tends weakly, (by the Denjoy–Wolff theorem, [3], [7]).

4. UNIFORM CONVERGENCE

In this section we establish an upper norm estimate for the norm of a difference of two composition operators and show that if condition (11) holds, but one drops the requirement that C_φ be Hilbert–Schmidt, one can still prove that $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$. We begin with the norm estimate.

Theorem 5. *For any $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}$ the following inequality holds*

$$(13) \quad \|C_\varphi - C_\psi\| \leq 2 \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{|\varphi(u) - \psi(u)|}{(1 - |\varphi(u)|)(1 - |\psi(u)|)} dm(u)}.$$

Proof. First we prove a simple inequality involving the usual Poisson kernel $P(z, \zeta)$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$, namely

$$|P(z, \zeta) - P(w, \zeta)| \leq 2 \frac{|z - w|}{|\zeta - z||\zeta - w|}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{U}, \zeta \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Indeed,

$$|P(z, \zeta) - P(w, \zeta)| = \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} - \frac{\zeta + w}{\zeta - w} \right) \right| \leq \left| \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} - \frac{\zeta + w}{\zeta - w} \right| = 2 \frac{|z - w|}{|\zeta - z||\zeta - w|}.$$

Next, note that the above inequality can be used to show that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(z) - f(w)|^2 &\leq 4|z - w| \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{1}{|\zeta - z||\zeta - w|} \right) \|f\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4|z - w| \|f\|_2^2}{(1 - |z|)(1 - |w|)} \quad z, w \in \mathbb{U}, f \in H^2. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - f(w)|^2 &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |P(z, \zeta) - P(w, \zeta)| |f(\zeta)| dm(\zeta) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} |P(z, \zeta) - P(w, \zeta)|^2 dm(\zeta) \|f\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}} |P(z, \zeta) - P(w, \zeta)| \int_{\mathbb{T}} |P(z, \zeta) - P(w, \zeta)| dm(\zeta) \|f\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 4|z - w| \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}} \left(\frac{1}{|\zeta - z||\zeta - w|} \right) \|f\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4|z - w| \|f\|_2^2}{(1 - |z|)(1 - |w|)} \quad z, w \in \mathbb{U}, f \in H^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substitute z by $\varphi(u)$, w by $\psi(u)$, and integrate $dm(u)$ to obtain (13). \square

Corollary 3. *If condition (11) holds then $\|C_{\varphi_n} - C_\varphi\| \rightarrow 0$.*

Clearly, inequality (13) is interesting only if $\varphi \neq \psi$, $|\varphi| < 1$, and $|\psi| < 1$, m -a.e. Indeed, the integral involved in it is infinite if $\varphi \neq \psi$ and any of these functions has unimodular radial function on a measurable subset of \mathbb{T} having positive measure.

The paper [9] contains an upper norm-estimate for the difference of two composition operators. The methods used in [9, Theorem 3.2] can be adapted to show that, if the integral in estimate (13) is finite, then the operator $C_\varphi - C_\psi$ must be compact.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank the referee for his valuable comments on the current paper, especially for those that led to the current, elegant, proof of Lemma 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Berkson, *Composition Operators Isolated in the Uniform Operator Topology*, Proceedings of the Amer. Math. Soc., 81(1981), 230–232.
- [2] P. S. Bourdon, V. Matache, and J. H. Shapiro, *On Convergence to the Denjoy-Wolff Point*, to appear, Illinois J. Math., 2005.
- [3] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, New York, London, Tokyo, 1995.
- [4] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part II*, Wiley, Interscience, New York, 1963.
- [5] D. B. Pokorny and J. E. Shapiro, *Continuity of the Norm of a Composition Operator*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 45(2003), 351–358.
- [6] H. G. Schwartz, *Composition Operators on H^p* , Dissertation, Univ. of Toledo, 1969.
- [7] J. H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993.
- [8] J. H. Shapiro, *What Do Composition Operators Know about Inner Functions?*, Monatsh. Math. 130(2000), 57–70.
- [9] J. H. Shapiro and C. Sundberg, *Isolation Amongst the Composition Operators*, Pacific J. Math. 145(1990), 119–152.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, OMAHA, NE 68182-0243
E-mail address: vmatache@mail.unomaha.edu