

University of Nebraska at Omaha DigitalCommons@UNO

Mathematics Faculty Publications

Department of Mathematics

1-29-1999

Composition Operators on Hardy Spaces of a Half-Plane

Valentin Matache University of Nebraska at Omaha, vmatache@unomaha.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/mathfacpub

Part of the Mathematics Commons

Please take our feedback survey at: https://unomaha.az1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/ SV_8cchtFmpDyGfBLE

Recommended Citation

Matache, Valentin, "Composition Operators on Hardy Spaces of a Half-Plane" (1999). *Mathematics Faculty Publications*. 72. https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/mathfacpub/72

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at DigitalCommons@UNO. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNO. For more information, please contact unodigitalcommons@unomaha.edu.



COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON HARDY SPACES OF A HALF-PLANE

VALENTIN MATACHE

The University of Kansas

ABSTRACT. We consider composition operators on Hardy spaces of a half-plane. We mainly study boundedness and compactness. We prove that on these spaces there are no compact composition operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

A lot of work has been done studying composition operators acting on Hardy spaces of functions holomorphic on the open unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Composition operators on Hardy spaces of functions holomorphic on a half-plane enjoyed considerably less attention. This paper considers composition operators on the Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{P}), 0 where <math>\mathbb{P} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im} z > 0\}$ denotes the upper half-plane. Characterizing the compact composition operators acting on Hardy spaces of the open, unit disk was a rather difficult job begun in a 1973 paper by J. H. Shapiro and J. H. Taylor and finished by J. H. Shapiro in a 1987 paper. The details concerning this subject can be found in [1] and [8]. The principal goal of this paper is to solve the same problem for Hardy spaces of a half-plane. The main result is Theorem 3.1 where we prove by contradiction that compact, composition operators acting on these spaces do not exist. The problem was first raised in the late seventies and early eighties in such papers as [9], [12], and [13] but remained unsolved until now. Section 2 in this paper is dedicated to setting up the notations and studying the boundedness of composition operators. Theorem 2.1 is an older result of this author [6], published in a little circulated journal. We decided to review it in this section in order to make this paper as self contained as possible. Section 3 is dedicated to proving the main result.

2. Boundedness

We begin this section by introducing some notations we shall use in the sequel. For each $f : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic on \mathbb{P} , $||f||_p$ is defined by

(1)
$$||f||_{p} = \sup_{y>0} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+iy)|^{p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B38, Secondary 47B10. Key words and phrases. composition operator, Hardy space, compact operator.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{S}\text{-}T_{E} X$

VALENTIN MATACHE

Recall that $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ consists of all functions f, holomorphic on \mathbb{P} for which $||f||_p$ is finite, that for $p \ge 1$, $|| \quad ||_p$ is a Banach space norm (even a Hilbert space norm if p = 2), and that for 0

(2)
$$d(f,g) = ||f - g||_p^p \qquad f,g \in H^p(\mathbb{P})$$

defines a Fréchet space distance on $H^p(\mathbb{P})$. For any fixed selfmapping of $\mathbb{P}, \psi : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ the composition operator induced by ψ, C_{ψ} is the linear operator on the space of all \mathbb{C} -valued functions on $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{P}}$ given by

(3)
$$C_{\psi}f = f \circ \psi \qquad f \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{P}}$$

We are interested in the situation when for some $p, 0 , <math>H^p(\mathbb{P})$ is left invariant by C_{ψ} i.e. $C_{\psi}H^p(\mathbb{P}) \subseteq H^p(\mathbb{P})$. We wish to observe that, due to the closed graph principle and the well-known inequality

(4)
$$|f(w)| \le \left(\frac{2}{\pi \mathrm{Im}w}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} ||f||_p \qquad f \in H^p(\mathbb{P}), w \in \mathbb{P}$$

this means that the restriction of C_{ψ} to $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ is a bounded, linear operator on $H^p(\mathbb{P})$. This restriction operator will be equally denoted by C_{ψ} , and in such a situation we say that ψ induces a bounded composition operator on $H^p(\mathbb{P})$. The conformal mapping γ given by

(5)
$$\gamma(z) = i \frac{1+z}{1-z}$$

transforms $\mathbb D$ onto $\mathbb P$ and has inverse

(6)
$$\gamma^{-1}(w) = \frac{w-i}{w+i}$$

Observe that if $d\theta$ is the arc-length Lebesgue measure on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and dx is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , then if we denote $\gamma(e^{i\theta}) = i(1 + e^{i\theta})/(1 - e^{i\theta}) = x$, we have $e^{i\theta} = (x - i)/(x + i)$ and hence

(7)
$$d\theta = \frac{2}{1+x^2}dx$$

To each selfmapping ψ of \mathbb{P} we attach a selfmapping ϕ of \mathbb{D} as follows

(8)
$$\phi = \gamma^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \gamma$$

Suppose now that ψ is analytic on \mathbb{P} . For each fixed y > 0 the measurable mapping $\psi_y(x) = \psi(x+iy), x \in \mathbb{R}$ naturally induces a Borel measure on $\mathbb{P}, m\psi_y^{-1}$ called the pull-back measure induced by ψ_y

(9)
$$m\psi_{y}^{-1}(E) = |\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \psi(x+iy) \in E\}|$$

for each Borel subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{P}$. In (9) | | denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . If ψ induces a bounded composition operator on $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ for some p, 0 , we can write

(10)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(\psi(x+iy))|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(f \circ \psi_y)(x)|^p dx =$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}} |f|^{p} dm \psi_{y}^{-1} \leq ||C_{\psi}f||_{p}^{p} \leq ||C_{\psi}||^{p} ||f||_{p}^{p}, \quad f \in H^{p}(\mathbb{P})$$

Above we made use of a well-known theorem in measure theory ([4], pp. 163) in order to change the measure. Observe that (10) implies that the family $\{m\psi_y^{-1}\}_{y>0}$ is a family of Carleson measures on \mathbb{P} with common bound, i.e. there exists c > 0 such that

(11)
$$m\psi_y^{-1}(\{w \in \mathbb{P} : t < \text{Re}w < t + h, 0 < \text{Im}w < h\}) \le ch$$

for any $h > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$, and y > 0, ([3] Theorem II3.9). The converse of this implication is also true because the theorem in [3] refered above gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a measure to be a Carleson measure i.e. if (11) holds then (10) must hold with a constant K instead of $||C_{\psi}||^p$, the same for all y > 0. Therefore $C_{\psi}H^p(\mathbb{P}) \subseteq H^p(\mathbb{P})$. Summarizing these simple facts based on Carleson's theorem we obtain the following theorem which is the main result in [6].

Theorem 2.1 For any $p, 0 an analytic map <math>\psi : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ induces a bounded composition operator on $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ if and only if the pull-back measures $m\psi_y^{-1}, y > 0$ are Carleson measures with common bound c i.e. if and only if there is a c > 0 such that (11) holds for any $h > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$, and y > 0.

The main advantage of this characterization of boundedness is that it proves that an analytic map $\psi : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ simultaneously induces bounded composition operators on all $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ -spaces. We shall denote by $Q_{t,h}$, the open square $\{w \in \mathbb{P} : t < \text{Re}w < t + h, 0 < \text{Im}w < h\}$ which appears in (11). With this notation we prove the following

Corollary 2.2 If ψ is a bounded, analytic selfmapping of \mathbb{P} then ψ does not induce a bounded composition operator on any of the spaces $H^p(\mathbb{P})$, 0 .

Proof. Choose h > 0 big enough, such that $\psi(\mathbb{P}) \subseteq Q_{-h,2h}$, which is possible because ψ is bounded. We have that for any y > 0, $\psi_y^{-1}(Q_{-h,2h}) = \mathbb{R}$, so $m\psi_y^{-1}(Q_{-h,2h}) = \infty$, and therefore (11) doesn't hold.

Example 2.3 The only linear fractional mappings ψ which leave \mathbb{P} invariant and induce bounded composition operators on the $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ -spaces are those of the form $\psi(w) = \alpha w + \beta$ with $\alpha > 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}\beta \ge 0$.

Proof. We consider transforms of the form $\psi(w) = (aw + b)/(cw + d)$. By the previous corollary, we must have c = 0, so ψ must be of the form $\psi(w) = \alpha w + \beta$. Indeed, if $c \neq 0$, and the zero of the denominator has negative imaginary part, then ψ is bounded on \mathbb{P} . If the denominator has its zero on the real line, say at x, observe one can choose $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that t < x < t + h. In that case, there is a neighbourhood of ∞ i.e. a set N of the form $\mathbb{C} \setminus D$ where D is a closed disk, such that $\psi(N) \subseteq Q_{t,h}$. Therefore for some y > 0, big enough we shall have $\psi_y^{-1}(Q_{t,h}) = \mathbb{R}$, so $m\psi_y^{-1}(Q_{t,h}) = \infty$. We deduce ψ must be of the form $\psi(w) = \alpha w + \beta$. α cannot be 0 because in that case ψ would be bounded. Since ψ must leave \mathbb{P} invariant, we must have $\alpha > 0$ and $\mathrm{Im}\beta \geq 0$. The fact that each such mapping satisfies condition (11) is immediate.

3

Consider the branch of \sqrt{w} which maps \mathbb{P} onto the first quadrant.

Example 2.4 $\psi(w) = \sqrt{w}$, does not induce a bounded composition operator on any of the $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ -spaces.

Proof. Fix any $y_0 > 0$ and set $w = s + iy_0/2s$. So $w^2 = s^2 - y_0^2/4s^2 + iy_0$. Therefore if $h = y_0/2t$, $\sqrt{w^2} = w \in Q_{t,h}$ for each s, 0 < t < s < t + h. So $(s^2 - y_0^2/4s^2) \in \psi_{y_0}^{-1}(Q_{t,h})$ if 0 < t < s < t + h and hence

$$\frac{|\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \sqrt{x + iy_0} \in Q_{t,h}\}|}{h} \ge \frac{(t+h)^2 - y_0^2/4(t+h)^2 + y_0^2/4t^2 - t^2}{h} = h + 2t + \frac{y_0^2(h+2t)}{4t^2(t+h)^2} \to \infty$$

if $t \to \infty$, so (11) cannot hold.

The selfmappings ϕ of the unit disk \mathbb{D} induce bounded composition operators on all spaces $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if they are holomorphic on \mathbb{D} , their holomorphy being necessary because $f(z) = z, z \in \mathbb{D}$ is an $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ -function for each p, 0 , and $<math>f \circ \phi = \phi$ must be in $H^p(\mathbb{D})$. The Hardy spaces on \mathbb{P} have worse properties, so far as composition operators are concerned. As we saw, there exist analytic selfmappings of \mathbb{P} , even linear fractional transforms which leave \mathbb{P} invariant, and do not induce bounded composition operators on any of the $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ -spaces, 0 . It is also $unclear if the fact that <math>\psi$ induces a bounded composition operator on $H^p(\mathbb{P})$, for some $p, 0 implies that <math>\psi$ must be analytic on \mathbb{P} . In connection with that we wish to make the following

Remark For any fixed $p, 0 , if a continuous selfmapping of <math>\mathbb{P}, \psi : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ induces a bounded composition operator on $H^p(\mathbb{P})$, then ψ must be holomorphic on \mathbb{P} .

Proof. It will be enough to show that if $C_{\psi}H^p(\mathbb{P}) \subseteq H^p(\mathbb{P})$, then ψ is analytic on \mathbb{P} . It is well-known that $f(w) = 1/(w+i)^{\frac{2}{p}}$, $w \in \mathbb{P}$ is an $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ -function, hence $f \circ \psi \in H^p(\mathbb{P})$, so $f \circ \psi$ is analytic on \mathbb{P} . It is easy to see that $f'(w) \neq 0$, $\forall w \in \mathbb{P}$, so f is locally invertible with holomorphic local iverses, i.e. for each arbitrary $w_0 \in \mathbb{P}$ we can choose an open neighbourhood N of $f(\psi(w_0))$ where f has a holomorphic inverse f^{-1} , and an open neighbourhood V of w_0 , such that $(f \circ \psi)(V) \subseteq N$ so, for each $w \in V$ we have $f^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi(w) = \psi(w)$, which shows that ψ must be holomorphic on V. Since w_0 was arbitrarily chosen we deduce that ψ is analytic on \mathbb{P} .

In the sequel we shall always consider holomorphic selfmappings of \mathbb{P} . For any $f \in H^p(\mathbb{P})$ $f(x) = \lim_{y \to 0_+} f(x+iy)$ is known to exist a.e. on \mathbb{R} , and will be referred to as the boundary function of f. In connection with that we wish to prove

Proposition 2.5 If $\psi : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ is holomorphic, the boundary function of ψ , $\psi(x) = \lim_{y \to 0_+} \psi(x + iy)$ exists and is finite for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Suppose ϕ is the function in (8) and $\phi(e^{i\theta})$ is its boundary function which exists a.e. on the unit circle. Since γ^{-1} transforms the vertical line through x into

a circle passing through 1 and $e^{i\theta} = (x-i)/(x+i)$, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the boundary function $\psi(x)$ exists for each $e^{i\theta} = (x-i)/(x+i)$ for which $\phi(e^{i\theta})$ exists. Therefore, if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ where $\psi(x)$ does not exist then the set $\gamma^{-1}(E) \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ must have measure 0. Hence we can write

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \chi_{\gamma^{-1}(E)}(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = \int_0^{2\pi} \chi_E \circ \gamma(e^{i\theta}) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = 0$$

which, by (7) implies $1/\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_E(x)/(1+x^2)dx = 0$. This means $\chi_E = 0$ a.e. i.e. $\psi(x)$ exists a.e. on \mathbb{R} . If for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\psi(x) = \infty$, then $\phi(e^{i\theta}) = 1$. So if E denotes the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for which this happens, then $\gamma^{-1}(E) \subseteq \{e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} : \phi(e^{i\theta}) = 1\}$, hence $\gamma^{-1}(E)$ must have measure 0 because ϕ is not constantly equal to 1, since it is a selfmapping of \mathbb{D} . By the same computation as above we obtain that E must have measure 0 i.e. $\psi(x)$ is finite for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

For each mapping $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$, the multiplication operator induced by φ , M_{φ} is the linear mapping

(12)
$$M_{\varphi}f = \varphi f \qquad f \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{D}}$$

where $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{D}}$ is the linear space of all \mathbb{C} -valued mappings on \mathbb{D} . For each selfmapping ψ of \mathbb{P} , attach ϕ to ψ as in (8), and denote by ω_p the function

(13)
$$\omega_p(z) = \frac{(1-\phi(z))^{\frac{2}{p}}}{(1-z)^{\frac{2}{p}}} \qquad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

We denote by A_p the linear mapping

(14)
$$A_p f = M_{\omega_p} C_{\phi} f \qquad f \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{D}}$$

For each p, 0 we make the notation

(15)
$$\varphi_p(z) = (1-z)^{\frac{2}{p}} \qquad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

a simple computation shows that

(16)
$$M_{\varphi_p}A_pf = C_{\phi}M_{\varphi_p}f \qquad f \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{D}}.$$

With this notation we can prove the following theorem which extends a result in [11].

Theorem 2.6 If ψ is a selfmapping of \mathbb{P} and p is fixed, 0 , the following are equvalent.

(17)
$$C_{\psi}H^{p}(\mathbb{P}) \subseteq H^{p}(\mathbb{P})$$

(18)
$$A_p H^p(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq H^p(\mathbb{D})$$

(19)
$$C_{\phi}M_{\varphi_{p}}H^{p}(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq M_{\varphi_{p}}H^{p}(\mathbb{D})$$

(20)
$$A_2 H^2(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq H^2(\mathbb{D})$$

Proof. The equivalence $(17) \Leftrightarrow (18)$ is proven in [11] for p = 2. The same proof works for any p i.e. the linear transforms

(21)
$$Vf(w) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{p}}(w+i)^{\frac{2}{p}}} f\left(\frac{w-i}{w+i}\right) \qquad w \in \mathbb{P}, f \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{D}}$$

and

(22)
$$Wg(z) = \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi}{p}}(4\pi)^{\frac{1}{p}}g(i\frac{1+z}{1-z})}{(1-z)^{\frac{2}{p}}} \qquad z \in \mathbb{D}, g \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{P}}$$

are inverse to each other. Checking this is a routine computation. One can use (7) to check that the restrictions of V and W to $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ and $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ respectively, are isometric, so regarded as mappings between $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ and $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ V and W are onto isometries, inverse to each other. It is routine to check that $WC_{\psi}Vf = A_pf$, for any $f \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$ so ψ induces a bounded composition operator on $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ if and only if A_p restricted to $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ is a bounded operator on $H^p(\mathbb{D})$ which, by the closed graph principle happens if and only if $A_pH^p(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq H^p(\mathbb{D})$ i.e. $(17) \Leftrightarrow (18)$. Since an analytic selfmapping of \mathbb{P} simultaneously induces bounded composition operators on all $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ -spaces, we deduce $(17) \Leftrightarrow (20)$. If (18) holds, then by (16) $C_{\phi}M_{\varphi_p}H^p(\mathbb{D}) = M_{\varphi_p}A_pH^p(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq M_{\varphi_p}H^p(\mathbb{D})$ i.e. $(18) \Rightarrow (19)$. If (19) holds, this means that for each $f \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$ there is some $g \in H^p(\mathbb{D})$ such that $C_{\phi}(\varphi_p f) = \varphi_p g$ i.e. $(1 - \phi(z))^{2/p}(f \circ \phi)(z) = (1 - z)^{2/p}g(z), z \in \mathbb{D}$ i.e. such that $A_pf = g$, which means that $A_pH^p(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq H^p(\mathbb{D})$ i.e. $(19) \Rightarrow (17)$.

Clearly, since a selfmapping of \mathbb{P} simultaneously induces bounded composition operators on all $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ -spaces, the number 2 in (20) can be replaced by any q, $0 < q < \infty$. However, since $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a Hilbert space it is more confortable for us to work with 2 rater than a different q.

Corollary 2.7 If $\psi : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ induces a bounded composition operator on $H^p(\mathbb{P})$, for some $p, 0 , then <math>\omega_2 \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$. If ω_2 is bounded then ψ induces a bounded composition operator on each $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ -space.

Proof. If C_{ψ} is bounded on $H^{p}(\mathbb{P})$, observe that the constant function 1 is an $H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ -function and $A_{2}1 = \omega_{2}$ so $\omega_{2} \in H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$. If ω_{2} is bounded then both $M_{\omega_{2}}$ and C_{ϕ} are bounded operators on $H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$, therefore so is A_{2} .

In Example 2.4, $\psi(w) = \sqrt{w}$ was shown not to induce a bounded composition operator. Observe that, in that case ψ' is not bounded below. This is significant as we can see from the following

Theorem 2.8 If $\psi : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ is analytic on \mathbb{P} , and the function $\eta(w, z) = |\operatorname{Re}\psi'(w) + i\operatorname{Im}\psi'(z)|$, $z, w \in \mathbb{P}$ is bounded below, then ψ induces a bounded composition operator on the $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ -spaces.

 $\mathbf{6}$

Proof. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $|\eta(w, z)| \geq \epsilon$ for all $z, w \in \mathbb{P}$. For $\psi = u + iv$, this means $|\partial u(w)/\partial x + i\partial v(z)/\partial x| \geq \epsilon$, $\forall z, w \in \mathbb{P}$. Take $t \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0, h > 0$ and observe that if $\psi(x_1 + iy), \psi(x_2 + iy) \in Q_{t,h}$, then $|\psi(x_1 + iy) - \psi(x_2 + iy)| \leq \sqrt{2}h$. On the other hand, by the mean value theorem, there exist s_1, s_2 between x_1 and x_2 such that

$$|\psi(x_1+iy)-\psi(x_2+iy)| = |\frac{\partial u(s_1+iy)}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial v(s_2+iy)}{\partial x}||x_1-x_2||$$

so $|x_1 - x_2| \leq \sqrt{2}h/\epsilon$ hence

$$m\psi_y^{-1}(Q_{t,h}) \le \sup\{|x_1 - x_2| : x_1, x_2 \in \psi_y^{-1}(Q_{t,h})\} \le \frac{\sqrt{2h}}{\epsilon}$$

which by Theorem 2.1 proves that C_{ψ} is bounded.

Example 2.9 For any $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Im}\beta \ge 0$ the function $\psi(w) = \alpha w + \beta + \sqrt{w}$, $w \in \mathbb{P}$ induces a bounded composition operator on the $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ -spaces.

Proof. Since \mathbb{P} is an additive semigroup and both $\alpha w + \beta$ and \sqrt{w} , $w \in \mathbb{P}$ are selfmappings of \mathbb{P} , we deduce $\psi(\mathbb{P}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}$. Observe that $\psi'(w) = \alpha + 1/2\sqrt{w}$ so, since $1/2\sqrt{w}$, $w \in \mathbb{P}$ transforms \mathbb{P} onto the 4-th quadrant, and addition of α shifts the 4-th quadrant to the right, we deduce that $|\operatorname{Re}\psi'(w)| \ge \alpha > 0$ for any $w \in \mathbb{P}$. Observe that $|\eta(w, z)| \ge |\operatorname{Re}\psi'(w)| \ge \alpha > 0$, $\forall w, z \in \mathbb{P}$, which means that ψ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.8, hence C_{ψ} is bounded.

The good thing about condition (20) in Theorem 2.6 is that it reduces the problem of deciding if ψ induces a bounded composition operator on the $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ -spaces to checking if the weighted composition operator A_2 leaves $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ invariant. In connection with the mapping ϕ which appears in the definition of A_2 , the authors of [10] observe that ϕ has angular derivative at 1 if ω_2 is bounded which is a particular situation when ψ induces a bounded composition operator (by Corollary 2.7). The following is a generalization of this statement.

Theorem 2.10 If $\psi : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ induces a bounded composition operator on the $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ -spaces, then ϕ has angular derivative at 1, and the angular limit of ϕ at 1 equals 1.

Proof. According to Theorem 2.6, ψ must induce a bounded composition operator on $H^2(\mathbb{P})$ and in that case, the quantity $\delta = \sup\{\operatorname{Im} w/\operatorname{Im} \psi(w) : w \in \mathbb{P}\}\$ must be finite. Indeed, this is a simple fact, first observed by the authors of [12]. By the Cauchy formula for $H^2(\mathbb{P})$ -functions ([2], Theorem 11.8), the reproducing kernel of the reproducing kernel Hilbert space $H^2(\mathbb{P})$ consists of the functions $k_\alpha(w) =$ $i/2\pi(w - \bar{\alpha}), w \in \mathbb{P}$. By the reproducing property, the norm of such a function is $||k_\alpha||_2^2 = k_\alpha(\alpha) = 1/\sqrt{4\pi \operatorname{Im} \alpha}$. If we consider now the normalized reproducing kernel functions $g_\alpha = k_\alpha/||k_\alpha||_2$, use the well known relation $C_{\psi}^*k_\alpha = k_{\psi(\alpha)}$, ([1], Theorem 1.4) and write $||C_{\psi}^*g_\alpha||_2 \leq ||C_{\psi}^*||, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{P}$, we get $\delta \leq ||C_{\psi}^*||^2 < \infty$. This implies

(23)
$$\psi(\mathbb{P}+i\lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{P}+i\lambda/\delta \quad \forall \lambda > 0$$

VALENTIN MATACHE

The Julia-Carathéodory theorem ([8], pp 57 or [1], Theorem 2.44) gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the angular derivative. Relation (23) is condition $(JC1\frac{1}{2})$ in the proof given to this theorem in [8], pp.69, transported in the upper half-plane instead of the right half-plane, and is equivalent to the existence of the limit $\lim_{z\to 1}(1-\phi(z))/(1-z)$ as z tends to 1 inside a nontangential approach region vith vertex at 1. Indeed, if \mathbb{H} is the right, open half-plane and we define $\Psi(s) = i\psi(is), s \in \mathbb{H}$, then $\Psi = \tau^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \tau$, where $\tau(s) = (s-1)/(s+1), s \in \mathbb{H}$, and (23) is equivalent to

$$\Psi(\mathbb{H} + \lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{H} + \lambda/\delta \qquad \forall \lambda > 0$$

which is condition $(JC1\frac{1}{2})$. The existence of this angular derivative, obviously implies that the angular limit of ϕ at 1 must exist and be equal to 1. This last fact is also proved separately in [10], by a different method, but in full generality, i.e. for all ψ which induce bounded composition operators on $H^2(\mathbb{P})$

3. Compactness

In this section we prove there are no compact composition operators on any of the spaces $H^p(\mathbb{P})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. The proof is by contradiction.

Theorem 3.1 If $\psi : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ induces a bounded composition operator C_{ψ} on $H^p(\mathbb{P})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ then C_{ψ} is never compact.

Proof. Suppose first that $1 . By the Cauchy formula, ([2], Theorem 11.8), the function in <math>\overline{H}^q(\mathbb{P})$, $q = (1 - 1/p)^{-1}$, corresponding to the point evaluation functional

$$\hat{\alpha}f = f(\alpha) \qquad f \in H^p(\mathbb{P}), \alpha \in \mathbb{P}$$

under the usual identification of the dual of $H^p(\mathbb{P})$ with $\overline{H}^q(\mathbb{P})$ ([3] Theorem VI 4.2) is $k_{\alpha} = \overline{i/2\pi(w-\bar{\alpha})}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{P}$. This means that there is a bounded, invertible operator $T: (H^p(\mathbb{P}))^* \to \overline{H}^q(\mathbb{P})$ such that $T\hat{\alpha} = k_{\alpha}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{P}$. Let's observe that

$$||k_{\alpha}||_{q} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dt}{|t - \bar{\alpha}|^{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \frac{1}{2\pi (\operatorname{Im}\alpha)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dt}{(1 + t^{2})^{\frac{q}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \frac{c}{(\operatorname{Im}\alpha)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \quad \text{where} \quad c = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dt}{(1 + t^{2})^{\frac{q}{2}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Set now $\alpha_n = in, n \ge 1$. Observe that if we define $g_{\alpha_n} = k_{\alpha_n}/||k_{\alpha_n}||_q$, then this is a norm-bounded sequence which converges to 0 pointwise on \mathbb{P} . Indeed, for each $w \in \mathbb{P}$ we have $g_{\alpha_n}(w) = (n^{\frac{1}{p}}/c)(\overline{i/2\pi(w+in)})$. Since 1/p < 1 it is obvious that (g_{α_n}) tends to 0 pointwise. For $1 , <math>H^p(\mathbb{P})$ is a reflexive space so, Corollary 1.3 in [1] works in these spaces, and hence $g_{\alpha_n} \to 0$ weakly. If C_{ψ} is compact, then C_{ψ}^* is compact, so $TC_{\psi}^*T^{-1}$ is compact and, by a well known property of composition operators ([1], Theorem 1.4) $TC_{\psi}^*T^{-1}g_{\alpha_n} = k_{\psi(\alpha_n)}/||k_{\alpha_n}||_q$. This sequence must tend to 0 in the norm i.e. $\mathrm{Im}\alpha_n/\mathrm{Im}\psi(\alpha_n) \to 0$. We wish to show that this generates a contradiction, and deduce that C_{ψ} cannot be compact. Denote $r_n = (n-1)/(n+1)$. We have $\alpha_n = i(1+r_n)/(1-r_n)$, i.e. $\alpha_n = \gamma(r_n)$. So $\text{Im}\alpha_n = ((1+r_n)/(1-r_n)) = (1-r_n^2)/(1-r_n)^2$, and

$$\operatorname{Im}\psi(\alpha_n) = \operatorname{Re}\frac{1+\phi(r_n)}{1-\phi(r_n)} = \frac{1-|\phi(r_n)|^2}{|1-\phi(r_n)|^2}$$

We deduce

$$\frac{1-r_n^2}{(1-r_n)^2} / \frac{1-|\phi(r_n)|^2}{|1-\phi(r_n)|^2} \to 0$$

that is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|1 - \phi(r_n)|^2}{|1 - r_n|^2} \frac{1 - r_n^2}{1 - |\phi(r_n)|^2} = 0$$

Denote by $\phi'(1)$ the angular derivative of ϕ at 1, which must exist (by Theorem 2.10) and be a nonzero number (see [1], the remark following Corollary 2.40 and Theorem 2.44 or [8], pp. 57). We obtained that

$$|\phi'(1)|^2 \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - r_n^2}{1 - |\phi(r_n)|^2} = 0$$

i.e. $\lim_{n\to 0} (1-r_n^2)/(1-|\phi(r_n)|^2) = 0$. Since $(1-r_n^2)/(1-|\phi(r_n)|^2) \ge (1-r_n)/2(1-|\phi(r_n)|)$, we deduce

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1 - |\phi(r_n)|}{1 - r_n} = \infty$$

which is a contradiction because the limit above should be equal to $|\phi'(1)|$ ([1] Theorem 2.44). This proves the result for 1 . For <math>p = 1 now, we start by choosing a sequence $(g_n)_n$ in the closed unit ball of $H^2(\mathbb{P})$ which converges pointwise to 0 and such that $||C_{\psi}g_n||_2 \ge \epsilon > 0$, $\forall n \ge 1$. Such a sequence exists because we have just proved that C_{ψ} is not a compact operator on $H^2(\mathbb{P})$. Set now $f_n = g_n^2$, $\forall n \ge 1$. We obtain a sequence in the unit ball of $H^1(\mathbb{P})$, since $||f_n||_1 = ||g_n||_2^2$, $\forall n \ge 1$. Suppose now that C_{ψ} is a compact operator on $H^1(\mathbb{P})$. In that case, $(C_{\psi}f_n)_n$ must contain a norm convergent subsequence $(C_{\psi}f_{n_k})_k$. Norm convergence in $H^1(\mathbb{P})$ implies pointwise convergence (by (4)), and $C_{\psi}f_{n_k}(w) = g_{n_k}^2(\psi(w)) \to 0$, $\forall w \in \mathbb{P}$. Therefore $(C_{\psi}f_{n_k})_k$ must tend to 0 in the norm, which is a contradiction because $||C_{\psi}f_{n_k}||_1 = ||C_{\psi}g_{n_k}||_2^2 \ge \epsilon^2 > 0$, $\forall k \ge 1$.

We finish this paper by raising the following

Problem Give a nice characterization in terms of the symbol ϕ of those composition operators C_{ϕ} on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ which leave invariant the operator range $(1-z)H^2(\mathbb{D})$.

Some comments are in order here. Observe that for p = 2, the mapping defined in (15) is $\varphi_2(z) = 1 - z, z \in \mathbb{D}$. The operator range above is the range of I - S where S is the unilateral forward shift of multiplicity 1 i.e. the multiplication operator with the coordinate function acting on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. By condition (19) in Theorem 2.6, solving this problem means characterizing those selfmappings ϕ of \mathbb{D} associated by condition (8) to the selfmappings ψ of \mathbb{P} which induce bounded composition operators on the spaces $H^p(\mathbb{P})$. By the term "nice characterization" in the statement of this problem we mean a necessary and sufficient condition easier to work with than the necessary

9

VALENTIN MATACHE

and sufficient conditions contained by Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.6. Observe that, by Theorem 2.10 such a ϕ must have angular derivative at 1 and the angular limit at 1 should be equal to 1 (i.e. 1 should be a boundary fixed point for ϕ). By Corollary 2.7 another necessary condition ϕ needs to satisfy is that $\omega_2(z) = (1 - \phi(z))/(1 - z)$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$ be an $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ -function.

References

- C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions, CRC Press Boca Raton, New York, London, Tokyo, 1995.
- 2. P. L. Duren, Theory of H^p Spaces, Academic Press, New-York, 1970.
- 3. J. B. Garnett, Bounded Analytic Functions, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- 4. P. R. Halmos, Measure Theory, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1950.
- 5. K. Hoffman, Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1962.
- V. Matache, Composition Operators on H^p of the Upper Half-Plane, An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Stiint. Mat. 119 (1989), 63–69.
- E. A. Nordgren, Composition Operators in Hilbert Spaces, Hilbert Space Operators, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 693, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1978, pp. 37– 63.
- J. H. Shapiro, Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1993.
- S. D. Sharma, Compact and Hilbert-Schmidt Composition Operators on Hardy Spaces of the Upper Half-Plane, Acta Sci. Math. (Széged) 46 (1983), 197–202.
- S. D. Sharma and R. K. Singh, Composition Operators on Hardy Spaces of the Upper Half-Plane, preprint (1996).
- R. K. Singh, A Relation Between Composition Operators on H²(D) and H²(Π⁺), Pure Appl. Math. Sci. 1 (1974), 1–5.
- R. K. Singh and S. D. Sharma, Composition Operators on a Functional Hilbert Space, Bull. Austral Math. Soc. 20 (1979), 377–384.
- R. K. Singh and S. D. Sharma, Noncompact Composition Operators, Bull. Austral Math. Soc. 21 (1980), 125–130.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, LAWRENCE, KS 66045-2142 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ matache@math.ukans.edu$