Hydrologic exchange and chemical weathering in a proglacial watershed near Kangerlussuaq, west Greenland

Kelly M. Deuerling
Jonathan B. Martin
Ellen E. Martin
Cecilia A. Scribner
Hydrologic exchange and chemical weathering in a proglacial watershed near Kangerlussuaq, west Greenland

Kelly M. Deuerling, Jonathan B. Martin, Ellen E. Martin, Cecilia A. Scribner

Department of Geological Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.11.002

ABSTRACT

The exchange of proglacial river water with active layer pore water could alter water chemical compositions in glacial outwash plains and oceanic solute fluxes. To evaluate effects of this exchange, we sampled Watson River and adjacent pore water during the 2013 melt season at two sandurs in western Greenland; one in Sandflugtdalen and the other near the confluence with Søndre Strømfjord. We measured temperature, specific conductivity, and head gradients between the river and bank over a week-long period at Sandflugtdalen, as well as sediment hydraulic conductivity and chemical compositions of waters from both sites. Specific conductivity of pore water is four to ten times greater than river water as solutes are concentrated from weathering reactions, cryoconcentration, and evaporation. Pore water compositions are predominantly altered by carbonate dissolution and sulfide mineral oxidation. High concentrations of HCO₃ and SO₄ result from solute recycling and dissolution of secondary Ca-Mg carbonate/sulfate salts initially formed by near-surface evaporation in the summer and at depth by freeze-in of the active layer and cryoconcentration in the winter. High hydraulic conductivity (10⁵ to 10⁴ m/s) and diurnal fluctuations of river stage during our study caused exchange of river and pore water immediately adjacent to the river channel, with a net loss of river water to the bank. Pore water >6 m from the river continuously flowed away from the river. Approximately 1–8% of the river discharge through the Sandflugtdalen was lost to the river bank during our 6.75 day study based on calculations using Darcy’s Law. Although not sampled, some of this water should discharge to the river during low river stage early and late in the melt season. Elevated pore water solute concentrations in sandurs and water exchange at
diurnal and seasonal frequency should impact fluxes of solutes to the ocean, although understanding the magnitude of this effect will require long-term evaluation throughout the melt season.
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1. **Introduction**

   High physical weathering rates in glacial terrains produce freshly eroded, fine-grained, reactive sediments that are commonly trapped in large outwash plains (sandurs) along glacial rivers. The reactive characteristics of the sediment result in chemical weathering rates in proglacial watersheds that are similar to those of temperate watersheds with similar specific discharge despite low temperatures (e.g., Anderson et al., 1997). Chemical weathering reactions are dominated by preferential weathering of trace reactive mineral phases (carbonate, biotite) and oxidation of sulfide minerals in subglacial and proglacial watersheds of alpine glaciers (e.g., Anderson, 2007; Tranter, 2003). Rates and magnitudes of weathering depend on which acid causes weathering and which minerals weather, and are important for nutrient and radiogenic isotope fluxes to the ocean (Crocket et al., 2012; Hawkings et al., 2016, 2015; Kurzweil et al., 2010) as well as exchange with atmospheric CO₂ (Berner et al., 1983; Martin, 2017; Spence and Telmer, 2005). Much of the weathering in proglacial rivers may occur in sandurs because they trap comminuted sediment and thereby extend the sediment residence times; however, the impact of weathering in sandurs depends on pore water residence times and thus the rate and direction of exchange between pore water and river water.

   This exchange between sandur pore water and proglacial rivers depends on sediment permeability and head gradients between the river and its bank. It also may occur during flooding of the top of the sandur sediments and from percolation of precipitation (snow and/or rain into the exposed sandur). In this paper we refer to this exchange as “hydrologic exchange flow”, which describes all lateral and vertical exchange of river and subsurface waters rather than the more commonly used, but
more restricted term, hyperheic exchange (Harvey and Gooseff, 2015). Head 
gradients of proglacial streams should vary at diurnal and seasonal timescales as their 
flow varies with alternating freeze and thaw (Graly et al., 2017; Koch et al., 2011; 
Malard et al., 1999). Permeability may also vary in permafrost-affected areas during 
freeze in of groundwater and restrict hydrologic exchange flow. However, streams in the 
Arctic tundra of Alaska were found to contribute bioreactive solutes at rates similar to 
temperate ecosystems (Edwardson et al., 2003). Altered pore water compositions, and 
their contributions to river compositions, should be enhanced by low water-rock ratios 
and long residence times compared to water in the river channel (Nezat et al., 2001) 
that produce steep gradients of biogeochemically reactive solutes (Boulton et al., 1998). 
For example, the proglacial discharge from Finsterwalderbreen, Svalbard, has elevated 
Ca, Mg, SO₄, and HCO₃ concentrations from sulfide oxidation and carbonate and 
gypsum dissolution in the river bank, which enhance in-stream solute concentrations 
by 30–50% (Cooper et al., 2002; Wadham et al., 2001). Delivery of these solutes from 
pore waters to the streams depends on flow conditions and the extent of subsurface 
exchange, which varies over diurnal to seasonal timescales during the ablation season 
(Koch et al., 2011; Malard et al., 1999).

To assess the potential contributions from hydrologic exchange flow to a 
proglacial river draining a continental ice sheet, we evaluate chemical alteration in 
pore waters and hydrologic exchange between proglacial surface and pore waters at 
two locations along the Watson River near Kangerlussuaq, west Greenland. We 
also calculate temporary bank storage (proximal exchange) immediately adjacent to 
the river channel due to diurnal river stage fluctuations. We use these observations 
to speculate on distal exchange caused by seasonal changes in river stage (e.g., 
Gooseff et al., 2003). Our data include hydrologic parameters, major ion, and minor 
element hydrochemistry that provide an assessment of weathering reactions and 
how proglacial hydrologic exchange flows may affect river water chemical 
composition and potential oceanic solute fluxes. These data should be considered a 
reconnaissance study serving to expand our knowledge of hydrologic exchange 
flows in proglacial watersheds draining ice sheets. To our knowledge, this work 
represents the first study of hydrologic exchange flows and pore water chemistry
conducted in a proglacial watershed draining the Greenland Ice Sheet, an actively retreating continental ice sheet. Our results could be important for understanding mechanisms for ocean and atmospheric fluxes during retreat of the northern hemisphere ice sheets since the last glacial maximum, and for making predictions of changes in fluxes as glaciers continue to retreat in a warming world.

2. Methods
2.1. Site description

The Watson River flows ~40 km from the convergence of Isunnguata Sermia and Russell Glacier at the western margin of the Greenland Ice Sheet into Søndre Strømfjord (Fig. 1A), draining a proglacial area of ~600 km². Average summer discharge to Søndre Strømfjord was 117 m³/s between 2007 and 2010 (Hasholt et al., 2013), correlating with increased ice melt and evolution of an efficient subglacial drainage system (Bartholomew et al., 2010; Chandler et al., 2013). We investigated two portions of the outwash plain: Sandflugtdalen (67.06°N, 50.39°W) and a smaller sandur across the Watson River from the Kangerlussuaq International Science Support (KISS) facility (67.00°N, 50.68°W), hereafter referred to as WR6 and WRK, respectively. Sampling locations at WR6 were located near the middle of the valley, while the sampled sandur at WRK abuts a hill. Near the river bank, glaciofluvial fine to coarse sands with intermittent gravel lenses predominate and give way to eolian sands with increasing vegetation and peat content with distance from the channel (Willemse et al., 2003). The downstream site, WRK, consists of finer, better-sorted sands than WR6 within the depths sampled. The sampling regions in this study have longitudinal gradients of ~1.4 m/km at Sandflugtdalen (WR6), while transverse gradients are ~100 m/km (Howat et al., 2014). These gradients suggest lateral hydrologic exchange flows are likely larger than longitudinal hydrologic exchange flows and therefore we focus this study on lateral exchange.

Long-term (1958–1999) mean annual air temperature is -5.7°C in the Kangerlussuaq region. Mean annual precipitation is ~150 mm (Cappelen et al., 2001), while ~300 mm of evapotranspiration produces a negative water balance (Hasholt and Sogaard, 1978). Continuous permafrost has a seasonal active layer
thickness of up to 1.7 m on non-vegetated portions of the proglacial outwash area (Dijkmans and Tornqvist, 1991; van Tatenhove and Olesen, 1994).

Local bedrock consists of metamorphosed Archean gneisses of the Nagssugtoqidian mobile belt (NMB) (Escher and Watt, 1976). Major mineralogy includes quartz, potassium feldspars, and plagioclase along with minor amounts of biotite, muscovite, sphene, garnet, epidote, magnetite, amphibole, apatite, zircon, pyrite, chlorite, and calcite (Hindshaw et al., 2014; Mowatt and Naidu, 1994; Souchez et al., 1990; Yde et al., 2010). Trace calcite (average = 0.6 g/kg CaCO₃, n = 2) is also present in bedload sediments from the Watson River based on coulometric titration, consistent with carbonate concentrations in felsic rocks collected near Nuuk, Greenland (White et al., 2005). Primary carbonate rocks do not occur in the field region, thus any calcite present must be disseminated in the bedrock as a minor mineral phase (Killawee et al., 1998; White et al., 2005).

2.2. **Field methods**

2.2.1. **Water chemistry**

Pore water samples were collected from WR6 (14–15 July) and WRK (16 July) during the 2013 melt season (Fig. 1A); Watson River water samples were collected at both sites on 8 July. Pore water samples were collected using an AMS vapor probe (Charette and Allen, 2006) at discrete depths 20–96 cm below the water table (cmbwt). The vapor probe was installed in river bank sediments in a transect perpendicular to one of the larger braids in the river channel (Fig. 1B). During sampling, the channel remained straight and stable, although it widened with increased discharge during our sampling period. Both pore and river water were pumped into an overflow cup using a peristaltic pump fitted with tygon tubing. Temperature, pH, specific conductivity (SpC) corrected to 25 °C, and dissolved oxygen (DO) were measured with a YSI ProPlus handheld unit with the sonde installed in the overflow cup. Once readings stabilized, sample water was filtered through a 0.45 µm trace metal grade canister filter into labeled bottles and preserved as required for each solute. Cation and dissolved metal samples were collected in acid-washed 20 mL HDPE bottles and preserved with Optima HNO₃ to pH < 2. Dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) samples were collected in 20 mL
glass vials and preserved with a saturated solution of mercuric chloride. Anion and silica samples were collected in DI-washed 20 mL HDPE bottles with no preservative. Stable isotope samples were collected in 2 mL borosilicate glass vials with no preservative. All bottles were triple rinsed with filtered site water before collecting the sample for analysis. Water isotope and DIC samples were collected to minimize headspace in vials. Silica was analyzed within thirty days of collection at the laboratory facilities at KISS. All other samples were stored at KISS in 4 °C refrigerators. Samples were shipped chilled to the University of Florida and stored at 4 °C until analysis.

Fig. 1. A) Landsat image of Kangerlussuaq region of western Greenland. Watson River locations and pore water transect locations are highlighted for reference. Arrow indicates direction of Søndre Strømfjord. Inset map of southern Greenland with sampling region highlighted in red. Blue line highlights the approximate route of the Watson River. B) Schematic of pore water and CTD deployment locations at WR6 and WRK. Black bars indicate placement of piezometer or vapor probe at each site relative to river channel. .1 indicates river level when piezometers were installed; .2 indicates river level when piezometers were removed.
2.2.2. Hydraulic measurements

Hydraulic measurements were made at WR6, using four piezometers measuring 72 cm with a 23.5 cm screened interval and 3.2 cm internal diameter that were installed several meters upstream of the pore water sampling. The separation limited artifacts that may have results from pumping of pore water. The piezometers were spaced at 2.5, 6.0, 9.5, and 13.5 m from the edge of the channel and a fifth piezometer was installed in the river channel (Fig. 1B). Piezometers were driven with a sledge hammer into pits dug into the sediment to ensure installation below the water table. These piezometers were instrumented with conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) sondes that recorded SpC, temperature and pressure once per minute for one week (7–14 July 2013). Head differences (dh) between river and pore water were calculated by subtracting the atmospheric pressure-corrected (Rennermalm et al., 2014) pressures logged by the river CTD from the CTDs installed in the bank sediment based on a datum of water level dug in a channel from the river to the farthest piezometer at the end of the experiment. The distance between the piezometers (dL) was measured to calculate the head gradient (dh/dL) between the CTDs in the river and the bank sediments.

Saturated zone hydraulic conductivity, $K_{\text{sat}}$, was determined ~20 m upstream of hydraulic conductivity calculation sites using a falling head slug test (Bouwer and Rice, 1976). These tests were conducted with piezometers similar to those in the monitoring transect. CTDs recording at 1 Hz were mounted in the piezometers just below the water table and allowed to equilibrate. River water was poured into the piezometer until they overflowed for at least five seconds, then the system was allowed to return to baseline. This process was repeated four times at each site and three sites were measured at both locations. Hydraulic conductivity was calculated assuming an unconfined aquifer with:

$$K_{\text{sat}} = \frac{r_c^2}{2L} \ln \left( \frac{R_e}{r_w} \right) \frac{1}{t} \ln \left( \frac{h_0}{h_t} \right)$$

(Bouwer and Rice, 1976), where $r_c$ and $r_w$ are the internal and external radii of the piezometer, $R_e$ is the effective radial distance over which the increase in head is dissipated, $L$ is the length of the screen in the piezometer, $h_0$ is the maximum head displacement at time $t = 0$, and $h_t$ is the head displacement at time $t$. Because $R_e$ is
not known, we estimate the $R_e/r_w$ ratio based on the method of Bouwer (1989) for partially penetrating wells:

$$\ln \frac{R_e}{r_w} = \frac{1.1}{\ln(L_w/r_w)} + \frac{A + B \ln[(L_b - L_w)/r_w]}{L/r_w}$$

where $L_w$ is the distance from the bottom of the well to the water table, $L_b$ is the distance from the water table to the bottom of the aquifer, here assumed to be the top of the permafrost, and $A$ and $B$ are dimensionless constants based on $L/r_w$. The head gradients and hydraulic conductivity were used to estimate fluxes of river water into and out of the bank sediments based on Darcy’s Law:

$$Q = -AK \frac{dh}{dL}$$

where $Q$ ($L^3/t$) is the recharge into the sediment or discharge to the river depending on the sign of the head gradient and $A$ is a unit area of the bed of the river. We lack head gradient values for the WRK site and thus estimate recharge to the sediment and discharge to the river (Eq. (3)) only at WR6.

2.3. **Laboratory methods**

Silica was analyzed using the low-range Heteropoly Blue method (0–1.6 mg/L SiO$_2$) on a Hach DR/890 portable colorimeter. Samples with measured SiO$_2$ concentrations >1.6 mg/L were diluted and reanalyzed within the range of the method. Duplicate samples and repeated standard measurements agreed within 10%.

Concentrations of DIC were measured on CO$_2$ extracted by acidification using an AutoMate Prep Device coupled to a UIC (Coulometrics) 5011 carbon coulometer. Repeated analyses of a 24.0 µg C/g standard agreed within 1%. Oxygen and hydrogen isotopes were measured on a Picarro L2120-I Isotopic Liquid Water & Certified Continuous Water Vapor Analyzer fit with a Picarro A0211 High Precision Vaporizer and a CTC HTS PAL autosampler. Isotope results are reported in delta notation relative to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water. Repeated analyses of an in-house standard had a relative standard deviation of 4% for $\delta^{18}O$ and 8% for $\deltaD$.

Major ion concentrations (Na, K, Mg, Ca, Cl, and SO$_4$) were measured on a Dionex ICS-1600 (cations) and ICS-2100 (anions) ion chromatograph using custom
multi-element standards. Repeat measurement of a diluted Dionex stock multi-element standard and sample duplicates reproduced within 5%. Minor elemental concentrations (Al, Mn, Fe, Sr, Ba, Pb) were analyzed on a Thermo-Finnigan Element 2 ICP-MS. Samples were diluted with 5% HNO₃ spiked with 8 ppb Re and Rh to a dilution factor of two, then analyzed using custom multi-element standards. Canadian river standard SLRS4 was measured every 5–6 samples to check for instrumental drift and assess long-term reproducibility. The relative standard deviation for all elements based on SLRS4 was <8%. Major and minor element concentrations, temperature, pH, DO, and DIC concentrations were used to calculate solute speciation and mineral saturation states in PHREEQC (Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999). Average charge balance errors calculated using speciated HCO₃ and CO₃ from PHREEQC model were 4% for pore waters and 15% for Watson River water. Greater average charge balance errors in Watson River water are unsurprising given the low ionic strength of the water, which approached the detection limit of the techniques.

2.4. Weathering reactions

We analyze our data in part through comparisons with water compositions expected from the stoichiometry of various chemical reactions. Reactions of interest include dissolution of carbonate minerals through the hydration of CO₂ to carbonic acid or through oxidation of Fe-sulfide minerals to sulfuric acid including carbon- ate weathering by carbonic acid:

\[
Ca_xMg_{(1-x)}CO_3 + H_2O + CO_2 \leftrightarrow XCa^{2+} + (1 - X)Mg^{2+} + 2HCO_3^-
\]

sulfide mineral oxidation:

\[
4FeS_2 + 15O_2 + 14H_2O \leftrightarrow 8SO_4^{2-} + 16H^+ + 3Fe(OH)_3
\]

sulfide mineral oxidation coupled to carbonate dissolution:

\[
4FeS_2 + 16Ca_xMg_{(1-x)}CO_3 + 15O_2 + 14H_2O
\]

\[
\leftrightarrow 16XCa^{2+} + 16(1 - X)Mg^{2+} + 16HCO_3^- + 8SO_4^{2-} + 4Fe(OH)_{3}
\]

And Ca-Mg silicate weathering by carbonic acid:
If carbonate minerals dissolve according to reaction 4 utilizing only carbonic acid, bicarbonate concentrations increase without altering SO₄ concentrations. However, when sulfuric acid produced from sulfide mineral oxidation (reaction 5) dissolves carbonate minerals (reaction 6), the HCO₃:SO₄ ratio should equal 2.

Water in proglacial landscapes may be concentrated through freezing and evaporation on seasonal timescales leading to the secondary precipitation of evaporite minerals such as gypsum. These salts are quickly re-dissolved by low concentration melt waters during the melt season according to the reaction:

\[ CaSO_42H_2O \leftrightarrow Ca^{2+} + SO_4^{2-} + 2H_2O \]

We calculate Ca + Mg derived from reactions other than carbonate or silicate mineral weathering, (Ca + Mg)_{ex}, in our water samples to quantify the influence of seasonal salts on Watson River surface and pore waters according to:

\[(Ca + Mg)_{ex} = [Ca + Mg] - 0.5[HCO_3]\]

where [Ca + Mg] and [HCO₃] are micromolar concentrations.

3. Results

3.1. River water versus pore water composition

Specific conductivity, pH and temperature show systematic variations with depth in the sediment and distance from the river (Fig. 2). Specific conductivity is greater in pore water than in river water and the pore water SpC increases with distance from the river (Fig. 2A). At sites near the river, the greatest SpC occurs at the greatest depth sampled (~90 cmbwt) but at sites farthest from the river the greatest SpC occurs at the shallowest depth and decreases with depth. Most pore water has greater SpC at WRK than WR6. Most pore water pH is greater than river water pH, with the exception of the distal site at WRK (Fig. 2). The trends in pH with depth and with distance from river are opposite to those seen in SpC. The lowest pH values occur at in the deepest sample at any one site, and decrease away from the river at both WR6 and WRK. Temperature in the pore water is generally greater than
the river water near the surface (20 cmbwt) and decreases with depth and distance from the river (Fig. 2C).

The distribution of major element concentrations follow systematic patterns between river water and pore water at WR6 and WRK (Fig. 3). Anions in river water are dominated by HCO₃ + CO₃, while pore waters have a greater relative abundance of SO₄ (Fig. 3A). WRK is enriched in SO₄ relative to WR6, which has relative concentrations intermediate between WRK and river values. Relative SO₄ concentrations of pore water increase with distance from river. For cations, the Watson River samples have greater relative concentrations of Si and Na + K compared to pore waters, which are enriched in Ca + Mg (Fig. 3B).

![Fig. 2. Depth profiles of A) SpC, B) pH, and C) temperature at WR6 (filled symbols) and WRK (open symbols). Blue and red stars at surface (depth = 0 cm) represent the Watson River composition adjacent to pore water transects. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image)

Relationships between pH, SO₄ and HCO₃ provide information about acid-base reactions in the pore water (Fig. 4). A significant inverse correlation exists between pH and SO₄ ($r^2 = 0.70$, $p < .0001$) in all pore waters and both differ from river water values, which have low SO₄ concentrations and approximately neutral pH (Fig. 4).
Sulfate concentrations increase and pH values decrease with distance from the river channel and depth in the sediment near the river channel. The highest SO$_4$ concentrations and lowest pH values occur in shallow pore water far from the river (Fig. 4A; Appendix 1). River water and most WR6 pore water have excess HCO$_3$ relative to the expected 2:1 molar ratio (reaction 5), with the exception of samples from 20 and 45 cmbwt at the distal location (WR6-PWE) (Fig. 4B). In contrast, WRK pore water sites have excess SO$_4$ except at the 20 cmbwt depth at the proximal site.

Mineral weathering can be identified based on relationships between Ca, Ca + Mg, Sr, and SO$_4$ (Fig. 5). A good correlation exists between Sr and Ca at WR6 ($r^2 = 0.95$, $p < .0001$) and WRK ($r^2 = 0.97$, $p < .0001$) with slopes of 0.78 (lM/nM) and 0.69 (lM/nM), respectively (Fig. 5A). Slopes of the regression indicate average Ca: Sr ratios are lower in WRK compared to WR6 pore waters, particularly far from the river. River water has Ca: Sr ratios of 0.99 (WR6) and 0.95 (WRK), near the intersection of compositions expected by weathering of carbonate and silicate mineral end members.

Watson River water has Ca + Mg: HCO$_3$ ratios consistent with both carbonate and silicate weathering (Fig. 5B), but pore water Ca + Mg concentrations are greater relative to HCO$_3$ concentration than expected from carbonate and silicate weathering. The (Ca + Mg)$_{ex}$ values (e.g., values corrected for a source from carbonate dissolution, eq. 8) correlate significantly with SO$_4$ concentrations at both sites (WR6: slope = 0.79, $r^2 = 0.96$, $p < .0001$; WRK: slope = 0.96, $r^2 = 0.99$, $p < .0001$) (Fig. 5C). Both (Ca + Mg)$_{ex}$ and SO$_4$ concentrations generally increase with distance from the river at both pore water sites.

Watson River d$^{18}$O and dD values are low relative to regional precipitation and most pore waters, which decrease with depth in the sediment (Fig. 6). Pore water, precipitation, and river water are all lower and plot closer to the global meteoric water line relative to waters from a nearby nonglacial watershed.

3.2. **Temperature and specific conductivity of pore water**

Time series measurements show systematic variations away from the river as well as diurnal variations of most chemical and hydrologic variables (Fig. 7). Daily maximum pore water temperature lags daily maximum air temperature at all
piezometers (Fig. 7A) and decreases with distance from the river (Fig. 7C). The
temperature variations are out of phase with the river water variations, but in phase with
the river elevation. The large increase in river elevation on 12 July corresponds with a
drop of ~6 °C to near river values in temperature at piezometer B.

The SpC probe in piezometer B failed, but CTDs at piezometers D and E
recorded the greatest SpC values and greatest variation of all sites: piezometer D
decreases from 172 to 78 IS/cm over the week-long deployment, while piezometer E
increases from 136 to 201 IS/cm over the same time period (Fig. 7D). The increase
in SpC at piezometer E coincides with the increase in river elevation recorded on 12
July (Fig. 7D). Similarly, the river CTD recorded a subtle decrease in average SpC from
15 to 12.5 IS/cm, corresponding with an increase in river elevation. Minor diurnal
variations in SpC are present at all sites (Fig. 7E), although muted compared to the
magnitude of change in SpC over the sampling period. Detrended SpC data (Fig. 7E)
was produced by subtracting the best fit line of unmodified SpC data in MATLAB.
These detrended data show piezometer A varies diurnally from 11 to 17 IS/cm.
Piezometer C varies diurnally from 57 to 76 IS/cm, although the maxima are offset from
the river by ~12 h.

### 3.3 Hydraulic conductivity and discharge/recharge to banks

Average $K_{sat}$ is greater by an order of magnitude at WR6 (aver- age = 2.2 ±
0.64 x 10^{-4} m/s) than at WRK (average = 4.9 ± 3.7 x 10^{-5} m/s) (Table 1). These
values lie within the range of $K_{sat}$ reported in other glaciofluvial environments and
moraine sediments (Cooper et al., 2002; Schwartz and Zhang, 2003). No pattern exists
between distance from river and magnitude of $K_{sat}$.

Head gradients were oriented from the Watson River channel toward the
bank during the week-long CTD deployment for all locations except piezometer B
where head gradients changed orientation on a diurnal basis (Fig. 7B). In the
following discussion, head gradients oriented toward the river channel will be
positive and indicate discharge from the sediment and away from the river will be
negative and indicate recharge to the bank. The diurnal variation in head gradients is
out of phase with the river elevation: daily minimum river water elevation corresponds
to daily maximum head gradient. The maximum head gradients lead the minimum river elevation, while the minimum (negative) head gradients lead the maximum river elevations by several hours up to the large negative dh/dL deflection and rise of ~15 cm in river elevation on 12 July (Fig. 7)

Fig. 3. Ternary diagrams showing relative abundances of A) anions calculated from milliequivalents, and B) cations and silica calculated from millimolar values.
Based on Darcy’s law (Eq. (3)), estimated average flow away from the river is $9.8 \times 10^{-8}$, $4.2 \times 10^{-8}$, and $7.6 \times 10^{-8}$ m$^3$/sec at piezometers C, D, and E, respectively over the sample period. At piezometer B, the average net flow (recharge plus discharge) is $8.3 \times 10^{-8}$ m$^3$/sec into the river bank, with a recharge value of $1.4 \times 10^{-7}$ m$^3$/sec and discharge of $5.4 \times 10^{-8}$ m$^3$/sec. Multiplying these average discharge and recharge values by the length of observation, around 6.7 days, yields the total flux into the sediment per m$^2$ of the river bottom: $5.6 \times 10^{-2}$, $2.4 \times 10^{-2}$, and $4.4 \times 10^{-2}$ m$^3$ per m$^2$ of river channel at piezometers C, D, and E, respectively. At piezometer B, the total net flow per m$^2$ of the river bottom is $4.8 \times 10^{-2}$ m$^3$, with total recharge of $7.9 \times 10^{-2}$ m$^3$ and discharge of $3.1 \times 10^{-2}$ m$^3$. Assuming net flow at piezometer B is similar across the Sandflugtdalen, with an approximate wetted river bottom of around 5.2 km$^2$, the total flow into the river bank over the study period is $2.5 \times 10^5$ m$^3$.

4. **Discussion**

Specific conductivity values, which reflects solute concentrations, are four to ten times greater in pore water relative to river water (e.g., Fig. 2A), indicating extensive diagenetic reactions occur in sandur sediments. Reactions that alter the pore water chemistry could control fluxes of solutes to the river depending on the reaction and exchange rates. Consequently, fluxes to the river will also depend on the hydrogeologic characteristics of the sediments and head gradients, which control hydrologic exchange flows between river and pore water (e.g., Harvey and Gooseff, 2015). Therefore, in the following discussion, we evaluate potential sources of water to the active layer adjacent to the river, define reactions that could alter the pore water compositions, estimate potential exchanges between the river and pore waters, and speculate on potential changes in solute fluxes that may result from this exchange.

4.1. **Sources of water to the active layer**

Sources to sandur pore water in addition to Watson River water could include flow from deep groundwater or proximal non-glacial watersheds (i.e., those watersheds separated from the ice sheet by hydrologic divides; Scribner et al., (2015)), active layer
melt, and precipitation. Deep groundwater exists along fractures in bedrocks, but is separated from active layer groundwater and surface water by continuous permafrost (Nielsen, 2010). Small non-glacial (deglaciated) tributaries enter the Watson River along its length, but the magnitude of this discharge is minimal compared to ice-derived drainage (Aebly and Fritz, 2009; Ryu and Jacobson, 2012). Numerous lakes surround the Watson River watershed, but all are separated from the watershed by topographic highs preventing their discharge to the river. Therefore, the most likely source of pore water, other than the Watson River, is direct recharge from annual precipitation, despite the negative water balance.

Fig. 4. Geochemical relationships between river and pore waters. A) pH versus SO4 concentrations, B) SO4 versus HCO3 concentrations (solid line is the 2:1 molar relationship expected from sulfide oxidation coupled to carbonate dissolution). Blue star with red outline indicates overlapping Watson River data. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
Given high $K_{\text{sat}}$ values compared to other media (Table 1; Schwartz and Zhang, 2003), direct recharge by precipitation should be rapid when it occurs, thereby limiting immediate evaporation. Mixing between water recharged through the land surface and exchanged with the Watson River is shown by stable isotope ratios that are bracketed by Watson River water and precipitation (Fig. 6). Pore water $^{18}$O and $\delta$D values in sediment near the river trend toward Watson River values, while pore water farther from the river has values closer to those of precipitation, reflecting a gradient in mixing proportions of river water and recharged precipitation. Additional precipitation could recharge the sandur from surrounding highlands because the orthogonal land surface slope to the river along the sandurs is two orders of magnitude greater than the longitudinal slope.

4.2. Geochemical processes in river versus pore water

Pore water solute concentrations generally increase with distance from the river (Fig. 7D) and depth (Fig. 2A), consistent with other permafrost-affected rivers (e.g., Cooper et al., 2002; Gooseff et al., 2013, 2003; Ward et al., 1999). This distribution of solutes could reflect two end-member conditions: either little hydrologic exchange coupled with long pore water residence times that allow extensive weathering reactions, or active hydrologic exchange associated with rapid weathering. Both of these end-member processes likely occur and their relative magnitudes likely evolve through the melt season, depending on temperature and river discharge conditions. The rates of weathering reactions depends on mineralogical compositions of outwash sediments, which reflect comminuted and homogenized bedrock. Bedrock of the NMB consists predominantly of quartz and feldspars along with minor amounts of mica, calcite, pyrite, and heavy minerals (Hindshaw et al., 2014). Although sediment compositions are dominated by silicate minerals, the dominant pore waters reactions are carbon-ate dissolution and sulfide oxidation (e.g., reactions (4)–(6)) based on low relative Si concentration and elevated $\text{SO}_4$ concentrations (Fig. 3), similar to alpine proglacial catchments (e.g., Anderson, 2007) and surrounding deglaciated watersheds (Scribner et al., 2015). Other secondary mineral precipitates (e.g., reaction (8)) must also be considered in
the pore water chemistry because summer evaporation and winter cryoconcentration can lead to precipitation of evaporite minerals (Barrett et al., 2009; Cooper et al., 2002; Killawee et al., 1998; Tranter, 2003).

4.2.1. **Preferential weathering**

Both sulfuric and carbonic acid may drive weathering. Watson River water HCO₃:SO₄ ratios >2 indicate carbonic acid weathering dominates in the river e.g., (Tranter et al., 2002). Hydration of atmospheric CO₂ is the most likely source of the carbonic acid as little dissolved organic carbon is available for remineralization in Greenland Ice Sheet proglacial rivers (Bhatia et al., 2013; Lawson et al., 2014). Carbonic acid also controls weathering in the pore water at WR6 where HCO₃:SO₄ ratios are 22. At WRK, however, where HCO₃:SO₄ ratios are <2, sulfide mineral oxidation and production of sulfuric acid or dissolution of sulfate salts may contribute SO₄ (Fig. 4B). The inverse correlation between pH and SO₄ concentrations supports oxidation of sulfide minerals and production of sulfuric acid (Figs. 3A and 4A), which could enhance both carbonate and silicate mineral weathering (e.g., Tranter, 2003).

At WRK, low pH and HCO₃:SO₄ ratios (Fig. 4) suggest the loss of easily weathered carbonate minerals that would buffer pH. This loss of carbonate could occur as sediments pass through multiple sandurs on their journey downstream, increasing their residence time in the system. A similar shift from predominately carbonate dissolution to sulfide oxidation occurs with increasing exposure age and rainfall in deglaciated watersheds in a transect from Kangerlussuaq to Sisimiut in western Greenland (Scribner et al., 2015). The preferential removal of trace carbonate minerals from the sandur sediment reflects the large scale weathering evolution across glacial landscapes (Anderson et al., 2000; Scribner et al., 2015) and suggests that much of this weathering could occur in pore water of sandur sediments of proglacial rivers.
Fig. 5. A) Sr versus Ca concentrations. Endmember silicate (dotted line, slope = 0.18) and carbonate (dashed line, slope = 0.88) trends for the region are included. B) HCO₃⁻ versus Ca + Mg concentrations. Solid line is the molar relationship expected from Ca- Mg carbonate or silicate dissolution (slope = 0.5). C) Dissolved SO₄²⁻ versus excess Ca + Mg concentrations present in excess of carbonate or silicate weathering. Solid line is the 1:1 Ca:SO₄ molar ratio associated with the dissolution of gypsum. Dashed lines are regression lines for WR6 pore waters (slope = 0.97) and WRK pore waters (slope = 0.79). Blue star with red outline indicates overlapping Watson River data.
The relative impact of silicate versus carbonate mineral weathering can be assessed by comparing Ca:Sr ratios because these two mineral groups have distinct proportions of Ca:Sr (Scribner et al., 2015). We compare Sr and Ca ratios with regional end-members for silicate and carbonate mineral weathering derived from analysis of Watson River bedload material. Silicate minerals in the region have an average Ca:Sr ratio of 0.18 mmol/nmol, while carbonate minerals, as inferred from a weak acid leachate of bedload sediments, have an average Ca:Sr ratio of 0.88 mmol/nmol. Carbonate weathering appears to dominate the Watson River considering Ca:Sr ratios are 0.99 at WR6 and 0.95 at WRK (Appendix 2), which is consistent with alpine glacial systems (e.g., Anderson, 2007). These findings are also consistent with Ryu and Jacobson (2012), who estimate 28–68% of cations in Watson River water are due to carbonate weathering. However, Wimpenny et al. (2011) estimated only 2–14%
of solutes in the Watson are due to carbonate weathering based on d26Mg composition, while Yde et al. (2014) dismiss carbonate weathering as a major source of solutes based on Ca:Na and Mg:Na that cluster near silicate rock compositions. In contrast with Watson River water, WR6 and WRK pore waters have average Ca:Sr ratios of 0.84 ± 0.05 μM/nM and 0.65 ± 0.06 μM/nM, respectively (Appendix 1), reflecting both more silicate weathering in the pore water than river water and a downstream increase in pore water silicate weathering. Both of these processes reflect the loss of easily weathered carbonate minerals with long residence times in the river. In addition, the increase in silicate weathering at WRK over WR6 could reflect hydrologic control because the order of magnitude greater average Ksat values at WR6 than WRK (Table 1) could slow hydrologic exchange flows.

![Fig. 7](image)

Fig. 7. Hydrologic data from week-long CTD deployment at WR6. A) Relative river stage calculated from pressure reading in piezometer A (dark blue) and local air temperature (orange) from Cappelen (2014); B) head gradient (dh/dL) indicating direction of flow: positive from stream bank to channel, negative from channel to stream bank; C) temperature; D) specific conductivity (SpC); E) linear detrend (see text) of specific conductivity data to better show diurnal variation. Specific conductivity sonde for piezometer B failed prior to deployment, thus no data are presented. Pore water sampling occurred several meters upstream shortly after CTDs were removed. Large ticks with dates indicate midnight.
Table 1 Hydraulic conductivity by location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Distance from channel (m)</th>
<th>$K_{sat}$ (m/s)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation (m/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sandflugtdalen*</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT6-PWC</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR6 PWD</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>$2.9 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$3.4 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR6-PWE</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KISS*</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4.9 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRK-PWA</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>$9.1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$2.1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRK-PWB</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$5.6 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WRK-PWC</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>$3.2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$3.6 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bold values represent average.

Both the change in minerals weathered and acids involved in weathering could alter how proglacial river systems affect the global carbon cycle. Silicates weathered by sulfuric acid do not play a role in the global carbon cycle, but silicates weathered by carbonic acid represent a net sink of atmospheric CO$_2$ (Berner et al., 1983), while carbonate minerals weathered by sulfuric acid represent a net source of CO$_2$ to the atmosphere (Galy and France-Lanord, 1999; Martin, 2017; Ryu and Jacobson, 2012). Downstream carbonic acid weathering of silicate and carbonate minerals in the Watson River sequesters 75% of dissolved CO$_2$ (Ryu and Jacobson, 2012). Even in granitoid terrains where carbonates are rare, carbonates weather preferentially relative to silicates (Anderson, 2007; White et al., 2005, 1999). The increase in SO$_4$ and decrease in pH suggest that sulfuric acid weathering of carbonate in the pore water would increase pCO$_2$ of the pore water, and that the generated CO$_2$ could be transferred to the river with hydrologic exchange flow.

4.2.2. **Influence of evaporation/cryoconcentration**

In addition to mineral weathering reactions, pore water compositions can be modified by evaporation and cryoconcentration. Evaporative concentration, which is enhanced by the negative water balance in the region (Hasholt and Sogaard, 1978;
Nielsen, 2010), increases solute concentrations. This concentration mechanism affects the portion of the water table near the land surface where capillary action moves shallow groundwater toward the land surface (Barrett et al., 2009; Tranter, 2003). During the winter, cryoconcentration increases solutes at depth by surface-down and permafrost-up active layer freeze-in (Cooper et al., 2002; Tranter, 2003). Both evaporation and cryoconcentration could precipitate secondary minerals including calcite and sulfate salts in water contained in the active layer, regardless of whether such precipitation occurs in the phreatic zone or meniscus water in the vadose zone (Cooper et al., 2002; Killawee et al., 1998; Tranter, 2003). The region lacks primary evaporite deposits at the surface to contribute to water compositions (Ryu and Jacobson, 2012). Although gypsum has been found at depths >300 m (Pere, 2014), continuous permafrost limits the interaction with active layer pore waters (Nielsen, 2010). Therefore, the primary source of SO₄ in these systems must be the oxidation of sulfide minerals in the sub- or pro-glacial environments. Precipitation of sulfate salts by freezing or evaporation could then concentrate the sulfate during subsequent dissolution by melt waters during the summer thaws (Tranter, 2003).

Dissolution of secondary Ca-Mg sulfate salts is reflected in pore water with Ca + Mg concentrations in excess of those expected from silicate or carbonate weathering (Fig. 5B) assuming a (Ca + Mg)_{ex}:SO₄ ratio of 1 (Fig. 5C). Dissolution is likely as pore water samples are undersaturated with respect to both calcite and gypsum (Appendix 1 and 2). Excess Ca + Mg concentrations increase away from the river (Fig. 5C), similar to the SpC values (Fig. 7D), indicating alteration of pore water compositions by evaporation and cryoconcentration. The (Ca + Mg)_{ex} concentration in the pore water represents 2–56% of the total cation concentration, making it a substantial contributor to its composition. Assuming all excess Ca and Mg present in pore water is due to the dissolution of secondary gypsum, 2–165 mg of gypsum per liter of water must dissolve to reach current pore water concentrations. Solute concentrations that increase with distance from the river (Figs. 2A and 7D) suggest that hydrologic exchange flow diminishes with distance from the channel, at least over the sampling period, and evapoconcentration increases solute concentrations similar to streams in the dry valley of Antarctica (Gooseff et al.,
and at Finsternwalderbreen in Svalbard (Cooper et al., 2002). However, the relationship between increased solute concentrations in pore waters with distance from the river could also depend on the river stage. As sandurs flood with increased melting and discharge, hydrologic exchange flows could alter pore water concentrations. Because we sampled only over a short period, we are unable to quantitatively assess the impact of seasonal flooding of the sandurs.

4.3. Evidence for river-pore water exchange and storage

Glacial meltwater streams have unsteady flow due to pulses of glacial melt at diurnal frequencies (e.g., Koch et al., 2011; Runkel et al., 1998) and through the melt season (e.g., Hasholt et al., 2013). This variable flow alters head gradients and direction and magnitudes of hydrologic exchange flows. Peak Watson River discharge at Søndre Strømfjord lags peak ice sheet melt by ~10 h (Hasholt et al., 2013) and causes the ~6 h lag in river stage and peak air temperature at WK6 (Fig. 7A). The diurnal variations in river stage result in periodic changes between positive and negative head gradient values at piezometer B, and indicate water alternates between flow into and out of the bank. This periodic reversal of flow ceased on 12 July when river stage rose over a ~6 h period and increased the head gradient by more than the diurnal head gradient fluctuations (Fig. 7B). This change was not from local precipitation as <1 mm of rain fell during 7–14 July (Cappelen, 2014). Rather, rising stage resulted from changes in the magnitude of regional glacial melt and/or the reorganization of the subglacial hydrological system (e.g., Bartholomew et al., 2011; Chandler et al., 2013; Graly et al., 2017). This increase was documented by increased discharge at an upstream gauging station (Rennermalm et al., 2014). The increase in stage caused flow into the bank for ~30 h and flow of river water into the bank is supported by a simultaneous decrease in temperature at piezometer B from ~8°C to near river values of ~3 °C (Fig. 7C). Although this event occurred near the end of our record, it appears the system equilibrated and returned to diurnal positive head gradients at piezometer B while at a higher overall stage (Fig. 7).

Negative head gradients at the distal piezometers (C, D, and E) indicate a flow direction always away from the river outside of the zone with hydrologic exchange
flows (Fig. 7B). These head gradients reflect the overall increase in river stage during the sampling period. The distal piezometers also did not record the overall decrease in temperature corresponding with the rise in river stage on 12 July, further indicating river water did not reach these piezometers (Fig. 7C). Furthermore, SpC values recorded at the distal piezometers never approach river water values (Fig. 7D). Instead, the SpC records reflect flow away from the river consistent with the negative head gradients. Over the period of record, SpC at piezometer D decreases from ~175 μS/cm to near piezometer C values of ~50 μS/cm and piezometer E increases from ~150 μS/cm to values similar to the initial piezometer D value of ~175 μS/cm. These trends suggest low conductivity water flowed from piezometer C to D, while high conductivity water flowed from D to E. However, flow away from the river is modified by diurnal variations in river stage, as reflected in dh/dL values that vary in phase with dh/dL observed at piezometer B (Fig. 7B).

The Watson River is ungauged at the Sandflugtdalen so we lack information on the discharge during our observations. Although average discharge into Søndre Strømfjord is 117 m³/sec (Hasholt et al., 2013) which includes discharge from a proglacial drainage of about equal size to the Watson River and suggests that discharge upstream of the confluence would be around half the total discharge or around 60 m³/sec. Watson River discharge was measured upstream of Sandflugtdalen to be around 9 m³/sec (Rennermalm pers. comm, 2017). Using these two values as bounds on flow through Sandflugtdalen, the total volume of river water discharged was between 5.2 and 35 × 10⁶ m³. Comparing this value with estimates of total water lost to the sediment during our period of observation; approximately 1–8% of the river flow recharged the river bank.

### 4.4. Implications for hydrologic exchange flows and solute fluxes

Over the study period, hydrologic exchange flow between river and pore water was limited to within a few meters of the river channel, and depends on river flow. River discharge varies diurnally driving proximal exchange in (Fig. 8A) and out (Fig. 8B) of the bank near the channel.

Rapid changes in discharge, such as the event on 12 July, temporarily flood the
river bank providing dilute river water recharge to distal pore waters that evolve by carbonate dissolution, sulfide oxidation, and further dissolution of secondary salts. Farther from the river, the flow direction is always away from the channel, which isolates the water (e.g., Gooseff et al., 2003) and alters the pore water compositions through carbonate dissolution, sulfide oxidation, and dissolution of secondary salts. The isolation of this water is reflected in increasingly altered compositions with distance from the river (Fig. 2A).

While the present data set cannot constrain hydrologic exchange flows and geochemical evolution of pore and river waters on a seasonal timescale, over the course of the melt season, changes in discharge could drive conditions similar to those we observed at diurnal frequency (Fig. 8). However, as changing melt conditions change river channel location (e.g., channel migration, curvature, etc.) could also affect the timing and location of dilute river water infiltration into the subsurface that cannot be predicted by our data. Since river discharge depends on ice sheet conditions, areas of the sandur could be inundated one year but not in subsequent years, effectively trapping water in the subsurface despite the high $K_{sat}$ of sediment in the outwash plain. Thus, low water-rock ratios, relatively high $K_{sat}$, and long subsurface flow paths allow weathering to alter the pore water compositions.

The diurnal variations in head gradients and known variations in discharge also allow us to predict that distal exchange could be seasonally important to the solute load of the Watson River. Seasonal variations in river stage should allow surface water to infiltrate the subsurface during high discharge and pore waters to flow to the river during low discharge (e.g., Koch et al., 2011). Lower river discharge occurs early and late in the melt season and correlates to cooler temperatures and a decrease in glacial melt. At these times, regional head gradients should be oriented toward the river channel, causing flow of pore waters into the river. This flow will be modified by the extent of thaw-out or freeze-in of the active layer and the heterogeneity of sandur sediment. The discharge could be on the same order of magnitude as the recharge, estimated here to be around 1–8% of the total river flow, although pore water volumes could be modified by evaporation or direct recharge.
Because the chemical compositions of the pore water are four to ten times greater than the river, even this small discharge relative to the river flow could impact the river water chemistry and solute fluxes.

Currently, proglacial watersheds provide ~0.6–1% of global runoff to the ocean; the Greenland Ice Sheet is responsible for approximately one-third of modern proglacial runoff (Tranter, 2003). As the climate warms and enhanced melting continues, solute fluxes to the ocean are also expected to increase. For example, discharge from ice sheets provides a significant source of bioavailable and particulate iron to coastal oceans, and is also expected to increase as discharge increases (Bhatia et al., 2013; Hawkings et al., 2014). As active layer thaw depths increase in a warming climate, the volume of the subsurface available for reaction will also increase, though the hydrologic exchange flow is not limited by active layer depth during peak melt (Edwardson et al., 2003). The extent of hydrologic exchange flow should increase until a threshold depth is reached as determined by head gradients and stream morphology (Zarnetske et al., 2008). However, the significance of these fluxes will be modulated by the magnitude of discharge in the Watson River as melting becomes more extensive.

5. **Conclusions**

This study provides an initial assessment of hydrologic exchange flows in a proglacial river draining the Greenland Ice Sheet and potential solute fluxes based on geochemistry of surface and pore waters and hydrogeologic observations. The relative importance of sulfuric acid compared to carbonic acid to weathering increases downstream and solute compositions indicate carbonate weathering dominates relative to silicate weathering in both river and pore water. These shifts in weathering acid and weathered minerals will impact drawdown of atmospheric CO₂. Although this study only represents a snapshot of the dynamics of river-pore water exchange, pore water solute concentrations that are elevated over those in the river, and high K_{sat} of bank sediments highlight the potential for solute fluxes from the bank, through the river, and ultimately to the ocean from the proglacial watershed. Hydrologic exchange flows were limited to within ~6 m of the river channel at the time of observation, and narrowed with increasing discharge. This flow reversed
direction at diurnal periodicity because of diurnal variations in the river stage. In contrast, head gradients were always oriented away from the river, although with diurnal variation in magnitude, indicating continuous flow away from the river channel. Pore water far from the river should therefore flow to the river only during periods of low river stage. These preliminary observations indicate that weathering of extensive sediment deposits in sandurs are likely important contributors to proglacial river water compositions. Weathering in sandur pore waters could thus have an important impact on ocean water chemistry during continental ice sheet melting and may impart a seasonal signal in the discharge of solutes.

Fig. 8. Conceptual model of exchange between Watson River and adjacent pore waters through the melt season during the study period. See text for detail. A) Diurnal high discharge conditions and B) diurnal low discharge conditions.
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