

University of Nebraska at Omaha DigitalCommons@UNO

Service Learning, General

Service Learning

11-1-2005

Does the Service Matter? Comparative Benefits of Direct and Indirect Service Learning Experiences

Susan M. Coomey University of Massachusetts, Boston

Felicia L. Wilczenski University of Massachusetts, Boston

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/slceslgen
Please take our feedback survey at: https://unomaha.az1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/
SV_8cchtFmpDyGfBLE

Recommended Citation

Coomey, Susan M. and Wilczenski, Felicia L., "Does the Service Matter? Comparative Benefits of Direct and Indirect Service Learning Experiences" (2005). *Service Learning, General.* 248. https://digitalcommons.unomaha.edu/slceslgen/248

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Service Learning at DigitalCommons@UNO. It has been accepted for inclusion in Service Learning, General by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@UNO. For more information, please contact unodigitalcommons@unomaha.edu.



Does the Service Matter?

Comparative Benefits of Direct and Indirect Service Learning Experiences

Susan M. Coomey

Felicia L. Wilczenski

University of Massachusetts Boston

Paper presented at the International Service Learning Research Conference, Michigan State University, November, 2005.

Please address correspondence to: Felicia L. Wilczenski, Ed.D., Graduate College of Education, Department of Counseling and School Psychology, University of Massachusetts, Boston, MA 02125.

Abstract

This pilot study compared students' perceptions of their experiences in direct versus indirect service-learning. Middle through high school students in a suburban school district in the Northeast were surveyed about their direct and indirect service-learning experiences along personal, social, and academic dimensions. In this study, direct service experiences had a stronger positive impact on students' perceptions of their social growth than indirect service experiences. Female students rated personal and social outcomes higher than their male counterparts. Female students rated personal and social outcomes higher than their male counterparts.

Related Studies

- Growing evidence of the social, emotional, and academic benefits of servicelearning.
 - Billig, S.H. (2004). Heads, hearts, and hands: The research on K-12 service-learning. In J. Kielsmeier, M. Neal, & M. McKinnon (Eds.), *Growing to greatness: The state of service-learning project* (pp. 12-25). St. Paul, MN: National Youth Leadership Council.
- Students who engaged in direct service are more attached to their communities whereas students who engaged in indirect service show higher levels of academic engagement.
 - Billig, S., Root.S., & Jesse, D. (May, 2005). The impact of participation in service-learning on high school students' civic engagement. Denver, CO: RMC Corporation: Circle working paper 33. Retrieved: August 1, 2005 from http://www.civicyouth.org/PopUps/WorkingPapers/WP33Billig.pdf.
- Two aspects of K-12 service-learning most closely associated with positive academic results are linkage with the curriculum and direct contact with those being served.
 - Billig, S.H. (2003). Using evidence to make the case for service-learning as an academic achievement intervention in K-12 schools. Retrieved August, 1, 2005 from http://www.seanetonline.org/images/UsingEvidencetoMaketheCaseforService.doc.
- Middle school girls consistently expect more positive personal growth such learning to care for others, better understanding of people, and improvements in the community than boys. Middle school boys are more motivated by grades and course credit than are middle school girls.
 - Hecht, D., & Fusco, D.R. (1995). Gender differences among early adolescents' motivations and expectations for service-learning. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No.ED 417 009).

Operational Definitions

Direct service

- Serving food
- Visiting a nursing home
- Tutoring

Indirect service

- Fundraising
- Recycling
- Advocacy
- Delve, C.I., Mintz, S.D., & Stewart, G.M. (1990). Promoting values development through community service: A design. In C.I. Delve, S.D. Mintz, & G.M. Stewart (Eds.), *Community service as values education: New directions for student services*, no. 50 (pp. 7-29). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Dunlap, N.C., Drew, S.F., & Gibson, K. (1994). Serving to learn: High school manual and lesson plans. Columbia, SC: South Carolina State Department of Education.

Purpose

• Program evaluation for a school district

Research Questions

- What are the psychometric properties of a developed service learning scale for grades 5 - 12?
- Are there different personal and social as well as academic outcomes for students engaged in direct vs. indirect service learning?

Method

Participants

- 268 surveys administered
- Random sample of middle, junior high, and high school students
- Suburban community in Northeast

Procedures

- Direct and indirect service determined by two raters
- 100% inter-rater agreement
- 20 surveys were unusable
- N = 248

Results

Service Learning Outcome Survey Development

- Initial 22 item service learning survey
- Principal component analysis
- Items selected based on highest factor loadings
- Three-factor solution with 14 items
- Factors: academic, social, and personal

Survey

Survey #				
Check one: Boy_	Girl			
What was your ser	vice project?			MANAGAMA CANA
				what you did. Tell us how much you er that goes with your answer.
Personal				
1. I did something	helpful for the co	ommunity.		
4	3	2	1	0
strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	don't know
2. I liked the purpo	ose of the project.			
4	3	2	1	0
strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	don't know
3. I liked doing the	project.			
4 strongly	3	2	l strongly	0 don't
agree	agree	disagree	disagree	know
4. I learned what I	can do well.			
4	3	2	1	0
strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	don't · · know
Social				
5. I learned about p	eople who were o	lifferent from m	e.	
4 strongly	3	2	1	0
agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	don't know
6. I learned how to	get along with oth	hers.		
4	3	2	1	0
strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	don't know

4	3	2	1	0
strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	don't know
8. I had a char	nce to be a leader.			
4	3	2	1	0
strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	don't know
9. I learned ab	out problems in r	ny community.		
4	3	2	1	0
strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	don't know
10. I learned to	hat I have a respo	nsibility to help ot	hers in my comi	munity.
4 .	3	2	1	0
strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	don't know
Academic				
	nings that helped	me with math.		
4 strongly	3	2	l strongly	0 don't
agree	agree	disagree	disagree	know
12. I learned th	nings that helped	me with reading.		
4	3	2	Ι .	0
strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	don't know
13. I learned th	nings that helped i	ne with writing.		
4	3	2	1	0
strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	don't know
14. I learned th	ings that helped r	ne with science.		
4	3	2	1	0
strongly agree	agree	disagree	strongly disagree	don't know

7. I liked working with others on the project.

Varimax Rotated Factor Matrix Showing Factor Loadings, Reliabilities, and Summary Statistics for Service Learning Outcome Survey

	Factor Loadings						
Item Topics	I	II	III	Total			
Academic				•			
Writing	.904	.137	.055				
Reading	.896	.184	001				
Math	.825	.097	.078				
Science	.825	.073	.121				
Social							
Diversity	.124	.724	113				
Collaboration	.256	.696	.152				
Responsibility	.077	.634	.215				
Community	014	.566	.101				
Enjoyable	.066	.476	.446				
Leadership	.150	.393	.327				
Personal							
Rewarding	019	.200	.788				
Purposeful	.098	.011	.771				
Helpful	.005	.049	.660				
Achievement	.175	.329	.453				
Eigenvalues	4.163	2.263	1.317				
Percent of Variance	29.736	16.164	9.407	55.307			
Alpha Coefficients	.898	.695	.677	.810			
Mean	6.934	17.194	12.850	36.980			
Standard Deviation	3.256	3.872	2.464	7.205			
Fotal Scale and Factor Intercorrelations	ř						
I		.31	.20	.69			
II			.49	.85			
III				.69			
Total							

Descriptive Statistics and Multivariate/Univariate Analyses of Variance for Measures of Academic, Social, and Personal Outcomes of Service Learning According to Service Type

Variables	Dir Serv M		Indi Serv M		N Lambda		OVA df	р	AN F	NOV df	A p
					.88	10.4	3,217				
Academic	6.7	3.5	7.1	2.9					.87	1	ns
Social	18.5	3.4	16.3	3.7		***************************************			20.43	1	<.01
Personal	13.0	2.4	12.8	2.1					.29	1	ns

Direct vs. Indirect Service Learning

• Students participating in direct service learning rated the social benefits significantly higher than students participating in indirect service learning.

Descriptive Statistics and Multivariate/Univariate Analyses of Variance for Measures of Academic, Social, and Personal Outcomes of Service Learning According to Gender

Variables	Female		Ma		MANOVA			ANOVA			
	M	SD	M	\underline{SD}	Lambda	F`	df	<u>p</u>	F	df	p
					.91	7.0	3,216	<.01			
Academic	7.2	3.0	6.6	3.6					2.23	. 1	ns
Social	18.0	3.6	16.1	4.0					14.95	1	<.01
Personal	13.4	1.9	12.0	3.0					15.30	1	<.01

Gender Differences

• Females rated the social and personal benefits of service learning significantly higher than males.

Other Findings

- No grade level differences were found among middle, junior high, and high school students on the three factors.
- All participants rated the academic benefits lowest of the 3-factors.

Conclusions, Caveats, and Questions

- Direct service learning experiences seem to promote comparatively greater social than personal or academic benefits.
- Limited generalizability.
- Further exploration needed in regard to gender differences in personal and social outcomes.
- Need to investigate reason for low academic ratings.
- Survey instrument needs further conceptual and psychometric development.

Implications

- Personal, social, career, and academic goals of service learning need to be made clear to students.
- Personal, social, career, and academic goals of service learning may have different saliency for males and females.
- Benefits of service learning may increase with adequate preparation of students and opportunities for reflection.