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Abstract

Rhythmic actions are characterizable as a repeating invariant pattern of movement together with variability taking the form of cycle-to-cycle fluctuations. Variability in behavioral measures is atypically random, and often exhibits serial temporal dependencies and statistical self-similarity in the scaling of variability magnitudes across timescales. Self-similar (i.e. fractal) variability scaling is evident in measures of both brain and behavior. Variability scaling structure can be quantified via the scaling exponent (a) from detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA). Here we study the task of coordinating thumb-finger tapping to the beats of constructed auditory stimuli. We test the hypothesis that variability scaling evident in tap-to-tap intervals as well as in the fluctuations of cortical hemodynamics will become entrained to (i.e. drawn toward) manipulated changes in the variability scaling of a stimulus’s beat-to-beat intervals. Consistent with this hypothesis, manipulated changes of the exponent a of the experimental stimuli produced corresponding changes in the exponent a of both tap-to-tap intervals and cortical hemodynamics. The changes in hemodynamics were observed in both motor and sensorimotor cortical areas in the contralateral hemisphere. These results were observed only for the longer timescales of the detrended fluctuation analysis used to measure the exponent a. These findings suggest that complex auditory stimuli engage both brain and behavior at the level of variability scaling structures. Ó 2018 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IBRO.
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INTRODUCTION

The organization of context-adapted behaviors arises from the interdependent dynamics of the nervous system, physical body, and environment (Chiel and Beer, 1997). Effective context-adapted behavior is thought to depend upon multi-scaled and nested system organizations (Bernstein, 1996; Diniz et al., 2011; Delignie`res and Marmelat, 2013; Harrison and Stergiou, 2015; Werner, 2010). Accordingly, multi-scaled and nested structure is evident in both the architecture and functional activity of brain (Bassett and Bullmore, 2006; Bassett et al., 2006; Werner, 2010; Bullmore and Sporns, 2012; Di leva et al., 2014) and body (Turvey and Fonseca, 2014). It is also evident in the structure of the environment (Mandelbrot, 1990; Anderson and Schooler, 1991; Blau et al., 2013), such that the organization of both perception (Ulanovsky et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2005; Turvey and Fonseca, 2014) and memory (Brown et al., 2007; Rhodes and Turvey, 2007) appear to be adapted to the spatial and temporal scales and statistical structure of environmental events. We assume here that brain, body movement, and environmental events possess interdependent dynamics with a multi-scaled and nested temporal structure.

Multi-scaled and nested structure can be quantified in behavioral time series with variability scaling measures (Van Orden et al., 2003; Diniz et al., 2011). Variability scaling has been quantified via rescaled range analysis (Hurst, 1951), power spectral analysis (Eke et al., 2000; Delignie`res et al., 2004), and detrended fluctuation analysis (Peng et al., 1993). In DFA, variability scaling is evaluated by plotting the log of the amplitude of fluctuations for various time series window sizes against the size of the measurement window (see Fig. 11). The scaling exponent a is given by the slope of a regression line fitted this data. Scaling exponents distinguish time series with persistent or anti-persistent structure. For persistent structure, a trend (e.g. a positive change) in the past is likely to be followed by a similar trend in the future. Self-similar or fractal structure follows from the nesting of trends.

Measures of behavior exhibiting variability scaling, include exploratory movements of eyes and body (Stephen et al., 2010; Stephen and Anastas, 2011), reaction times in cognitive tasks (Gilden, 2001; Van Orden et al., 2003), and various rhythmic actions (Hausdorff et al., 1995; Chen et al., 1997; Delignie`res et al., 2004; Torre et al., 2007; Wing et al., 2004; Torre and Wagenmakers, 2009; Almurad et al., 2017). Variability scaling is observed in measures of brain dynamics, including in electrophysiological signals measured via MEG and EEG (Novikov et al., 1997; Linkenkaer- Hansen et al., 2001; Be´dard et al., 2006; Hardstone et al., 2012), and blood-oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) signals measured via fMRI (Thurner et al., 2003; He, 2011; Herman et al., 2011) and functional Near-Infrared Spectroscopy (fNIRS) (Eke and Herman, 1999; Khoa and Nakagawa, 2008).

Variability scaling is interpreted to reflect the outcome of interactions of distributed neural (Chen et al., 2008b; Werner, 2010) and mechanical (Turvey and Fonseca, 2014) processes unfolding over multiple temporal scales. Variability scaling
with persistent structure has been interpreted as indicating robust and flexible system organization (Harrison and Stergiou, 2015). In the case of brain dynamics, variability scaling has been interpreted as an index of metastability, where metastability refers to a state in which interacting neural subsystems, each possessing their own intrinsic dynamics, can be flexibly integrated in varied task relevant ways (Freeman and Holmes, 2005; Friston, 1997; Tognoli and Kelso, 2014). The functional significance of variability scaling is suggested by observations that deviations away from optimal values of persistent structure are associated with functional deficits and pathology (Stergiou et al., 2006; van Orden et al., 2009). Moreover, simulation studies have shown the exchange of information between interacting complex dynamical systems can be maximized via complexity matching, that is, when the dynamics of the involved systems possess a similar multi-scaled and nested structure (West et al., 2008; Aquino et al., 2011). On the issue of efficient information exchange, it has been shown that the existence of persistent variability scaling structure in rhythmic auditory stimuli supports a degree of anticipation of—as opposed to reaction to—upcoming fluctuations in variable beat-to-beat intervals (Repp, 2002; Rankin and Limb, 2014; Rankin et al., 2014).

The proposed functional advantages of complexity matching have motivated the prediction that when two complex dynamical systems are coupled, their complexities should become drawn toward one another (Delignie`res et al., 2016). Consistent with this prediction, the variability scaling structures of coordinated actions have been found to be drawn toward the variability scaling of the systems to which they are coupled. When two people are asked to rhythmically coordinate their actions, an entrainment of movement variability scaling is observed in addition to the expected entrainment of movement oscillation periods and phases (Marmelat and Delignie`res, 2012). Entrainment of variability scaling structure is observed with interpersonal conversation (Abney et al., 2014), musical performances (Hennig, 2014), and coordinated actions (Den Hartig et al., 2018; Zapata-Fonseca et al., 2016).

Entrainment of variability scaling is observed in experiments in which participants coordinate their actions to specially created environmental stimuli (Kaipust et al., 2013; Torre et al., 2013; Marmelat et al., 2014). Hunt et al. (2014) tasked participants with walking in synchronization with auditory metronomes possessing heterochronous beat-to-beat intervals with variability scalings of $a = 0.5$ (i.e. no scaling), $a = 1.0$, and $a = 1.5$. The scaling exponent of participants’ stride-to-stride intervals was drawn toward the experimentally manipulated scaling exponent of the stimuli. Here, we extend the paradigm of Hunt et al. (2014) to test the hypothesis that variability scaling evident in both movement dynamics and associated brain activity dynamics can become entrained to the variability scaling of environmental stimuli. The latter prediction, is motivated by the results of simulation studies that suggest complexity matching can emerge from interactions of elements in neural networks (Mafahim et al., 2015). It is also motivated by correlations between the variability scaling of inter-tap intervals and the variability scaling of resting state alpha-band oscillations (Smit et al., 2013).
We test our hypothesis by investigating measures of oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO₂) levels. Time series of HbO₂ levels in regional cerebral blood flow were used as indicators of brain activity dynamics. Activity in the two cortical regions of interest (ROI) was investigated in left and right hemispheres. The selected ROIs were premotor/motor and sensorimotor areas. ROIs were selected given their known sensitivity to the conditions of performance of manual coordinated actions. BOLD activity indicators in primary motor cortex and/or sensory cortex are affected by the intensity (Kuboyama et al., 2004; Shibuya et al., 2008; Shibusawa et al., 2009), frequency (Obrig et al., 1996; Kuboyama et al., 2004, 2005; Brigadoi et al., 2012), and phase (Oullier et al., 2005) of coordinated actions. Premotor cortex is thought to be relevant to the stability of coordinated action. Disruption of premotor cortex via repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation affects the cycle-to-cycle variability of tapping coordinated with isochronous auditory metronomes (Pollok et al., 2008; Bijsterbosch et al., 2011; Del Olmo et al., 2007; Kornysheva and Schubotz, 2011). In the case of the auditory cortex, neural oscillations have been found to become entrained to the structure of periodic stimuli (Will and Berg, 2007; Large, 2008; Lakatos et al., 2005; Nozaradan et al., 2011). The auditory cortex is responsive to the complex nonlinear temporal structure of human voices (Herzel, 1993; Kumar and Mullick, 1996), musical instruments (Fletcher, 1999), and the structure in temporally complex auditory stimuli (Itoh and Nakada, 2013). Premotor/motor and sensorimotor areas were chosen as ROIs of interest, over auditory cortex ROIs, because any observed variability scaling entrainment in these ROIs is not trivially explainable as being directly driven by the external stimulus. Of note, musical rhythms recruit motor and auditory areas of the brain (Chen et al., 2008a), with dorsal premotor cortex observed to mediate auditory–motor interactions (Chen et al., 2008b).

The implication of cortical ROIs in unilateral motor tasks is lateralized, with changes in BOLD indicators of activity most pronounced for areas contralateral to the coordinated effector (Hirth et al., 1997; Obrig et al., 1996; Sato et al., 2007; Holper et al., 2009). For right-handed participants, ipsilateral activation is less pronounced when the task is performed by the dominant effector (Plichta et al., 2006).

To test our hypotheses, we studied performances of thumb–finger tapping produced in isolation at a self-selected comfortable pace (self-paced task), or in coordination with an auditory stimulus (coordination task). In the coordination task, participants coordinated thumb–finger tapping to an isochronous metronome (IM), or to heterochronous metronomes (HM) possessing inter-beat interval (IBI) variability with scaling structures of \( a = 0.5, a = 1, \) and \( a = 1.5 \). IBI time series for these stimuli are shown in Fig. 1. Following Hunt et al. (2014), stimuli are matched for global mean IBI (0.5 s) and each HM is matched for global standard deviation of IBI (0.02 s). Here global mean and global standard deviation refer to statistics calculated over all intervals in a trial. A local standard deviation that is alternatively calculated over a subset of intervals and will differ across HM stimuli (Fig. 1). The magnitude of local variability is significant, as it has been taken to quantify the magnitude of perturbing forces affecting the stability
of the coordination task (Torre and Balasubramanium, 2011). Following Hunt et al. (2014), stimuli were played to the tune of Für Elise.

Thumb–finger tapping was chosen to reduce task complexity and to facilitate participants’ ability to comfortably perform the extended duration trials that benefit the accurate measurement of a. An additional reason for studying a tapping task is that progress has been made in identifying the simple mechanisms supporting sensorimotor synchronization in this task (see Repp, 2005; Repp and Su, 2013).

Marmelat et al. (2014) studied participants walking to the beat of heterochronous metronomes with IBI variability scalings ranging from a 0.6 to a 1.5. A DFA analysis revealed a positive correlation between changes in the a of IBI intervals and associated changes in a of stride-to-stride intervals. Importantly, the performed DFA analysis separately considered variability scaling across shorter (10–31 intervals) and longer (50–128 intervals) timescale ranges, and a significant correlation was only found for the longer timescales of the analysis. This finding, of variability scaling entrainment only for longer timescale ranges, appears to depend upon contextual/task constraints. Deligniéres et al. (2016) reanalyzed the walking data just described, together with data from a bimanual coordinated joystick oscillation task (Torre and Deligniéres, 2008), and data from the task of coordinating the swinging of hand-held pendulums between two people (Marmelat and Deligniéres, 2012). Using a multi-fractal variant of detrended fluctuation analysis, they again observed that in the walking task the correlation of variability scaling broke down when shorter interval ranges were included in the analysis. In contrast, for the other two tasks, a strong correlation of variability scalings was unaffected by whether shorter interval ranges were included in the analysis or not. Deligniéres et al. (2016) interpreted these results to be a consequence of whether simple mechanisms of cycle-to-cycle adjustments were present in the task. Such mechanisms would appear to be implicated when discrete to-be-coordinated-to events (e.g. a distinct metronome) are present in the task constraints. Given the discrete nature of the presently investigated task, we predicted that only the longer timescales (in the DFA analysis) of both tap-to-tap intervals and cortical hemodynamics would show entrainment to the variability scaling of the stimuli.

Fig. 1. Inter-beat interval (IBI) and corresponding inter-tap interval (ITI) time series from one participant performing the tasks of tapping in coordination with an isochronous metronome (IM), and three heterochronous metronomes (HM) that differ in the fractal scaling exponents (a) of their IBIs.
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Participants

Seventeen young healthy adults aged between 19 and 36 years participated in the study. All participants signed an informed consent form. Seven participants were female and ten were male. All participants self-reported that they were right handed. The consent process and study procedures were approved by the University of Nebraska at Omaha Institutional Review Board. This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Tasks and procedure

Four tasks were performed by participants, and were ordered as follows: (1) a tap/no-tap task, (2) a baseline no-tapping (rest) task, (3) a baseline self-paced tapping task, and (4) a coordinated tapping task. For all tasks, participants positioned themselves in a comfortable seated posture in a cushioned backed chair with their right forearm supinated and rested on their right thigh. Across tasks, participants sat either quietly, or quietly while performing a rhythmic index finger-to-thumb tapping motion. Participants were asked to minimize any motions (e.g. head turning or arm repositioning) other than those instructed by the experimenter.

In the tap/no-tap task, ten blocks consisting of 30 s of no-tapping followed by 30 s of self-paced tapping were consecutively performed. In the baseline no-tapping (rest) task, participants sat quietly for 15 min. In the self-paced tapping task, participants performed the tapping motion continuously for 15 min. In the coordinated tapping task, participants coordinated their tapping motions such that contact of the thumb and index-finger was contiguous in time with the beats of a heard rhythmic auditory stimulus. Four coordinated tapping task trials with differing auditory stimuli were performed in random order. Each lasted for 15 min.

Self-paced tapping was instructed to be performed at a rate that could be comfortably maintained for extended periods of time. Tapping was always performed with the right hand. The tapping motion was verbally described (as opposed to visually demonstrated) by the experimenter. This was done to minimize bias upon the self-selected movement frequencies adopted by participants in the tap/no-tap task and the baseline self-paced tapping task.

The experiment lasted approximately 120 min. Breaks in which the participants were free to stand up and to chat to the experimenter were offered approximately every 15 min.

Auditory stimuli

Four metronomic auditory stimuli were created as midi files using MATLAB and played using a midi player (Olympus WS-600S digital voice recorder) through loud
speakers. The duration of each generated beats was 0.125 s. Given that participants typically make about two taps per second when asked to tap at a comfortable rate (Drake et al., 2000), all stimuli were designed to possess an average inter-beat interval of 0.5 s. Following Hunt et al. (2014) pitch changes were imposed upon the stimuli to produce a repetition of the first section (the first 50 notes) of the song Fu´r Elise. Note frequencies ranged from 261.63 Hz (middle C) to 659.26 Hz. The four auditory stimuli included one IM and three HM. All stimuli were designed to possess an IBI mean of 0.5 s. The three HM stimuli matched the design of the stimuli used in Kaipust et al. (2013) and Hunt et al. (2014). HM stimuli were designed to possess an IBI standard deviation of 0.02 s. These stimuli differed in the fractal scaling exponent (a) of their IBI variability. The IBI structure of the HMa=0.5 stimulus was created from a random time series. Following Kaipust et al. (2013), the IBI structure of the HMa=1.5 stimulus was created by integrating a random time series and the IBI structure of the HMa=1.0 stimulus was generated by filtering an integrated random time series.

Data acquisition

Thumb–index finger tap events were recorded using a 12.7-mm diameter force-sensitive resistor taped to the pad of each participant’s right thumb. A TrignoTM 4-channel footswitch sensor (Delsys, Boston, MA, USA) was used to synchronously record thumb–index finger contact events (tapping) registered via the force-sensitive resistor, and acoustic events registered by splitting the audio output from the midi player and feeding it into a channel of the footswitch sensor. Data from the Trigno sensor was sampled at 1000 Hz.

A fNIRS system (ETG-4000 Optical System; Hitachi Medical Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was employed to record hemodynamic response measurements of the underlying neural tissues. This system uses two wavelengths (695 and 830 nm). Relative changes in the absorption of near-infrared light between an optode emitter and an optode detector was measured and sampled at 10 Hz. This measure was converted into relative concentration changes of HbO2 and deoxygenated hemoglobin (Cope and Delpy, 1988; Obrig and Villringer, 2003). Our analysis was focused on the study of HbO2. This measure was chosen following evidence that HbO2 is a more sensitive measure (Hoshi et al., 2001; Wilson et al., 2014) and bears a closer correspondence to BOLD fMRI (Toronov et al., 2001; Strangman et al., 2002).

Eight infrared optode emitters and eight optode detectors were arrayed in a 4 x 4 configuration with 3-cm inter-optode spacing (Fig. 2). This configuration supported 24 possible channels of hemodynamic response measurements with each fNIRS channel located midway in between an optode emitter–detector pair. Optodes were housed in a flexible plastic sheet that molded to the surface of the scalp. The optodes and housing were secured in position on the scalp with elastic straps.
The positioning of the optode array on the head followed the International 10/20 scalp-based coordinate system (Jasper, 1958). The intersection of the inion/nasion plane and the periauricle plane define the reference position Cz. The location of Cz on the scalp was identified by determining the midpoint intersection of over-scalp paths between the nasion to the inion and between the left and right periauricles. The optode array was centered over Cz. Following methods for transforming 10–20 system coordinates to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI)-based coordinates (Okamoto et al., 2004; Okamoto and Dan, 2005), the activity of four cortical ROI were identified. Each was associated to groupings of four fNIRS channels (Fig. 2). These ROIs distinguished motor and sensorimotor cortical regions in the left and right hemispheres (Okamoto et al., 2004; Hatakenaka et al., 2007; Leff et al., 2011). Two participant's audio data was lost due to a faulty cable. These participants are not present in analyses involving acoustic events.

Data analysis

We followed the procedures of Wilson et al. (2014) in our analysis of HbO₂ data in the tap/no-tap task. Following Wilson et al. (2014), a 5.0 s moving average and 0.01-Hz high pass filter were applied to the HbO₂ concentration waveforms for each channel. Principal component analyses were then implemented to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (Boas et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2005; Wilson et al., 2014). Components for each trial were compared to a reference waveform, which was a trapezoidal function with a 5-s increase in concentration at the start of tapping, a 25-s sustained peak concentration,
and a 5-s decrease in concentration following the trial. A trapezoidal function has been shown to approximate observed HbO$_2$ dynamics (Buxton et al., 2004; Cui et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 2014). If the correlation between the component and reference waveform was greater than 0.25, the component was incorporated into the final reconstruction of the HbO$_2$ time series for individual channels. Components with a correlation to the reference waveform of less than 0.25 were excluded from reconstruction. Following reconstruction, data were averaged across trials for each channel and participant. Thus, average HbO$_2$ waveforms were generated to represent the average hemodynamic activity for individual channels during finger tapping trials. The average waveforms consisted of a 10-s no-tapping baseline, 30-s tapping, and 20-s no-tapping recovery period. To assess if the production of right-handed finger tapping was associated with a significant change in HbO$_2$ concentration for any ROI, the difference between the means of the no-tapping baseline period and tapping test period was calculated for each channel and participant and was averaged over blocks and channels in each ROI.

For the coordination task, time series of onsets of pressure events (taps), onsets of acoustic events (metronome beats), and changes in the relative concentration of HbO$_2$ were analyzed. Prior to event picking the magnitude of baseline noise was determined in the pressure and audio data signals. These signals were then each filtered with a median filter with a width of 11 samples. Events were identified as the onset times of regions in which the determined baseline noise level was exceeded in the filtered time series. The difference between successive event times was used to calculate inter-beat interval (IBI) and inter-tap interval (ITI) time series. Previous analyses of the task of tapping in time to heterochronous stimuli have produced varied results regarding task performance. Whereas Torre et al. (2013) found that participants were successful in consistently synchronizing their taps to the beats of heterochronous stimuli possessing a range of variability scalings, Stephen et al. (2008) contrastingly found participants unable to reliably maintain synchronization. Given these mixed results, we conservatively assumed in our analysis the possibility that participants’ performances may not be reliably phase locked with the stimuli in the investigated coordination task. Our analysis of coordination task data was designed assuming the possibility that coordination between taps and beats may not be reliable, and that taps and beats may differ in number. Potential causes of taps differing in number from beats include measurement error (i.e. taps not being registered by the force sensor), absence of coordination (i.e. participants not performing the task), and poor coordination (i.e. taps not being phase locked to beats). To remove biases on the calculation of standard deviation (SD) and scaling exponent a due to measurement errors and absences of coordination ITIs greater than 0.75 s (1.5 x mean IBI) and less than 0.25 s (0.5 x mean IBI) were excluded from our analyses. These outliers were removed from the time series and replaced with missing values. The SD of IBIs and ITIs were calculated either globally, for all measured intervals in the trial (SDall), or locally, for a subset of interval time series points. Two measures of the magnitude of local variability were calculated by measuring SD in time series windows of either 30 (SD30) or 100 (SD100)
consecutive points. The calculation was performed at all possible window locations and an average over window locations was evaluated.

Coordination between beats and taps was quantified using an analysis of discrete relative phase (DRP) (Jeka, 1992; Diedrich and Warren, 1995). Importantly, DRP analysis does not assume a 1:1 mapping between beats and taps, or require the determination of a unique beat-to-tap mapping when the number of taps is not equal to the number of beats. In DRP the timing of taps is evaluated as a fraction of the time between the preceding and succeeding beats. This fraction is expressed in the range 0°–360°. To identify regions of poor coordination DRP values were unwrapped (Byblow and Chua, 1998) so that the rate of change in DRP could be continuously evaluated. For this analysis the unwrapped DRP time series was smoothed using a seven-point moving average, interpolated using a cubic spline fit, and low pass filtered with a cutoff of 0.1 Hz (solid gray line in Fig. 4B). Regions where the absolute rate of change of this time series exceeded 30°/s were identified and counted as instances of loss of coordination.

HbO$_2$ time series were measured relative to pre-task HbO$_2$ values. This value was determined by averaging 5 s of data prior to the start of each trial. Following Eke and Hermaʾn (1999), the HbO$_2$ time series were not filtered so as not to affect the temporal structure contained in the signal.

For DFA each time series was integrated (i.e., cumulatively summed) prior to analysis. This step is performed as DFA works on the diffusive property of fractional Brownian motions. In the DFA of each time series, the time series was broken into bins of a size (n). Local linear trends were computed within each bin, and the root mean square variation around this linear trend was calculated. The root mean square variation was then averaged across all bins yielding a summary score F. The process was repeated for a ranges of bin sizes allowing the magnitude of fluctuations for each bin size (F(n)) to be plotted as a function of bin size in log–log coordinates. The slope of this function is the scaling exponent a and was estimated by calculating the slope of regression line fitted to the data across a particular range of bin sizes (Fig. 7).

In the analysis of IBIs and ITIs, DFA was performed on 210 intervals taken from the middle of each trial. Following Damouras et al. (2010), small and large bin sizes were excluded from the estimates of the exponent a. Bin size ranges of 10–51, 51–256, and 10–256 intervals were used to produce estimates of a for each trial spanning shorter (a_short), longer (along), and shorter and longer (a_all) timescales respectively. In the analysis of ITIs, identified outliers were replaced with missing values in an attempt to preserve the temporal order of the time series. This method has been shown to produce reliable estimates of the exponent a even under extreme dilution of samples (Mirzayof and Ashkenazy, 2010). In DFA, persistent structure yields a > 0.5. Anti-persistent structure yields a < 0.5, while a = 0.5 denotes an absence of nested temporal dependencies. In the analysis of HbO$_2$, DFA was performed on 213 intervals taken from the middle of each trial. Bin size ranges were selected to approximately match the
scaling ranges selected in the DFA analysis of IBIs and ITIs. This resulted in bin size ranges of 50–255, 255–1280, and 50–1280 samples that were used to produce estimates of the exponent a spanning shorter (a\text{short}), longer (along), and shorter and longer (a\text{all}) timescales. The a exponents of HbO2 time series were calculated for each trial and for each fNIRS channel. The a exponents in ROIs were calculated by averaging the a’s of the four channels in each ROI.

**Statistical analysis**

Each of the separate variables involved in each planned statistical analysis were inspected. Histograms were used to assess the consistency of the data in each variable, and to detect outliers that might suggest that errors in measurement had occurred, or that subjects were not actually performing the task. A team member with expertise in Biostatistics, reviewed tests of normality (e.g. Shapiro–Wilk tests) and normality plots (e.g. Q–Q plots), to determine departures from normality that would make the use of general linear model analyses inappropriate. In such cases, appropriate non-parametric tests were performed, and histograms are presented in the figure.

During our inspections of mean ITI data we discovered evidence suggesting that one participant was not performing the task (i.e. they were not coordinating their tapping with the beats of the metronomes). This participant had mean ITIs of 0.36, 0.35, 0.36, and 0.41 s in the IM, HMa=0.5, HMa=1.0, and HMa=1.5, coordination task conditions respectively that markedly differed from the other participants (compare Fig. 6A). We removed this participant from all analyses.

**RESULTS**

**Were task-specific changes in cortical HbO2 concentrations detectible?**

For the tap/no-tap task, contralateral side measurements of HbO2 concentrations were greater during the tapping test periods than the no-tapping baseline periods. This was the case for both the sensorimotor ROI, $F(1, 15) = 17.50, p < .001, gp = .54$ and the motor ROI, $F(1, 15) = 17.50, p < .001, gp = .54$ (Fig. 3A). No such changes were observed on the ipsilateral side for either the sensorimotor ROI, $F(1, 15) = 1.31, p = .27, gp = .27$, or the motor ROI, $F(1, 15) = 2.84, p = .11, gp = .16$ (Fig. 3B). These results confirm that the measurement of cortical HbO2 concentrations at the chosen ROIs were sensitive to the performance of thumb to index finger tapping and to the laterality of the task (i.e. right-handed tapping).

**What were the properties of uncoordinated (self-paced) tapping?**
The mean ITI in the self-paced tapping baseline condition was 0.505 s (SD = 0.128). As anticipated, the chosen mean IBI of the stimuli (0.5 s) approximated the participants self-selected ITIs. The magnitudes of global variability (SDall), and local variability over window sizes of 100 (SD100) and 30 (SD30) intervals was 0.110 s (SD = 0.126), and 0.046 s (SD = 0.020) and 0.044 s (SD = 0.019) respectively. The fractal scaling of ITIs, measured as $a_{all}$, $a_{short}$, and along exponents, was 0.752 (SD = 0.153), 0.694 (SD = 0.149), and 0.802 (SD = 0.225) respectively. No difference was observed between $a_{short}$ and along ($F(1, 16) = 4.16, p = .06$). All observed a exponents exhibited a persistent fractal scaling structure ($a > 0.5$) consistent with previous investigations of observed scaling structures for it is during self-paced tapping (Gilden et al., 1995; Delignie`res et al., 2004; Torre et al., 2011).

**Fig. 3.** Differences in mean HbO$_2$ concentrations for the tapping test period relative to the no-tapping baseline period (test – baseline) observed in the tap/no-tap task for a contralateral ROIs and B) Ipsilateral ROIs.

**Was tapping coordinated with the auditory stimuli?**

In the coordinated tapping task, the number of taps produced by participants did not consistently equal to the number of presented beats. As shown in Fig. 4, instances of both the number of taps exceeding the number of beats and the number of taps being fewer than the number of beats was observed. The percentage of total trial duration with ITIs greater than 0.75 s (1.5 x mean IBI) was 1.62%. ITIs greater than 0.75 s were classified as absences of coordination due to either an absence of tapping or a failure in
the measurement of tapping. To minimize the biasing on investigated measures resulting from absences of coordination, ITIs greater than 0.75 s were replaced by missing values in the analysis of ITI a exponents and variability magnitudes.

To quantify poor beat–tap coordination (as opposed to an absence of task performance) instances of smooth phase wrapping were identified by evaluating a criterion rate of change of unwrapped DRP (Fig. 5A). On average, 4.4 instances of phase wrapping were identified on each experimental trial. Of the identified wraps 86.4% possessed a negative rate of change of DRP (Fig. 5A, top graph), suggesting the frequency of taps was greater than the frequency of beats during these episodes.

![Fig. 4. The distribution of ratios of the number of produced taps (#taps) to number of stimulus beats (#beats) in coordination task trials.](image)

The measure of percentage of each trial exhibiting phase wrapping was not normally distributed (Fig. 5B). Median percentages were 0.64%, 1.24%, 1.17%, and 0.70% across the IM, HMa=0.5, HMa=1.0, and HMa=1.5, coordination task conditions respectively. Although no significant effect of coordination task condition was observed, $\chi^2(3) = 0.56, p = .91$, it is worthy of note that the pattern of these results matches that of the local variability of IBI and ITI (Fig. 5). This hints at a relationship between the magnitude of local variability and the stability of coordination.

**What were the properties of the auditory stimuli?**

The audio signal played to participants was analyzed to determine if the designed properties of the stimuli were preserved in playback during the coordination task trials. Analysis of the audio signal revealed the mean IBIs were not normally distributed (Fig. 6A). Medians values for the IM, HMa=0.5, HMa=1.0, and HMa=1.5, conditions were 0.500, 0.500, 0.501, and 0.489 s respectively, suggesting that the mean IBIs for each of the auditory stimuli were close to designed value of 0.5 s. Numerically small, yet significant, differences in mean IBI were observed, $\chi^2(3) = 45.00, p < .001$. Dunn’s pairwise post hoc tests with Bonferroni’s corrections for multiple testing revealed that HMa=1.5 differed from each of the other conditions.
The standard deviations of IBIs in each trial were not normally distributed (Fig. 6B, C). In the IM condition, the median SDall of IBIs was 0.005 s. In the HMa=0.5, HMa=1.0, and HMa=1.5, conditions, median values were, 0.021, 0.021, and 0.021 s respectively. An effect of auditory stimulus condition was observed, \( \chi^2(3) = 28.84, p < .001 \). Dunn’s pairwise post hoc tests with Bonferroni’s corrections for multiple testing revealed that the IM condition differed from each of the HM conditions. Effects of auditory stimulus condition were observed for both measures of local variability magnitude, SD30, \( \chi^2(3) = 45.00, p < .001 \), and SD100, \( \chi^2(3) = 45.00, p < .001 \). For SD30, median values were 0.005, 0.021, 0.014, and 0.007 s, in the IM, HMa=0.5, HMa=1.0, and HMa=1.5 conditions respectively. For SD100, median values were 0.005, 0.021, 0.015, and 0.009 s, in the IM, HMa=0.5, HMa=1.0, and HMa=1.5 conditions respectively. For both SD30 and SD100 measures, Dunn’s pairwise post hoc tests with Bonferroni’s corrections for multiple testing revealed that IM differed from HMa=0.5, HMa=1.0 conditions, and that HMa=0.5 and HMa=1.5 conditions differed. These results capture the reduction in local, but not global, variability across the HM stimuli with increasing degree of persistent structure.

Fig. 5. Phase wrapping. (A) Time series plots of the discrete relative phase (DRP) of taps relative to beats revealing instances of negative phase wrapping (top) and positive phase wrapping (bottom). Correspondence in time of beats (gray circles) and taps (black crosses) during the phase wraps are shown below each DRP time series plot. (B) Distributions of the percentages of each trial that phase wrapped was observed.

The measures of IBI variability scaling were not normally distributed (Fig. 7). For all, median values were 0.021, 0.505, 0.992, and 1.401, in the IM, HMa=0.5, HMa=1.0, and HMa=1.5 conditions respectively. An effect of auditory stimulus condition was observed, \( \chi^2(3) = 45.00, p < .001 \). Dunn’s pairwise post hoc tests with Bonferroni’s corrections for multiple testing revealed differences between IM and HMa=1.0, IM and HMa=1.5, and HMa=0.5 and HMa=1.5 stimulus conditions. This pattern of results was reproduced for both short and along measures. For short, median values were 0.040, 0.483, 0.918, and 1.347, in the IM, HMa=0.5, HMa=1.0, and HMa=1.5 conditions respectively. An effect of auditory stimulus condition was observed, \( \chi^2(3) = 45.00, p < .001 \). Dunn’s pairwise post hoc tests with Bonferroni’s corrections for multiple testing
revealed differences between IM and HMa=1.0, IM and HMa=1.5, and HMa=0.5 and HMa=1.5 stimulus conditions. For $q_{\text{long}}$, median values were 0.006, 0.533, 1.111, and 1.436, in the IM, HMa=0.5, HMa=1.0, and HMa=1.5 conditions respectively. An effect of auditory stimulus condition was observed, $\chi^2(3) = 45.00$, $p < .001$. Dunn’s pairwise post hoc tests with Bonferroni’s corrections for multiple testing revealed differences between IM and HMa=1.0, IM and HMa=1.5, and HMa=0.5 and HMa=1.5 stimulus conditions. Measures of $q_{\text{short}}$ and $q_{\text{along}}$ were found to differ, $Z = 3.41$, $p < .001$. These analyses suggest that while the differences in the $q$’s of the auditory stimuli appear to be respectively compressed and enlarged in the measurement of lower and higher scaling ranges, the designed pattern of the scaling exponents was reliably preserved within each of the two scaling ranges considered.

Fig. 7. Distributions of the variability scaling exponents of inter-beat intervals.
Did the properties of tapping match the properties of the auditory stimuli?

The measure of mean ITI was not normally distributed (Fig. 8). For mean ITI, median values were 0.500, 0.500, 0.501, and 0.489, in the IM, HMa=0.5, HMa=1.0, and HMa=1.5 conditions respectively. Differences in mean ITI were observed across auditory stimulus conditions, v2(3) = 38.78, p < .001. Dunn’s pairwise post hoc tests with Bonferroni’s corrections for multiple testing revealed that HMa=1.5 differed from each of the other conditions. The observed pattern of the mean ITIs mirrors the pattern of small but consistent differences in mean IBIs observed in the stimuli.

All measures of the ITI variability magnitudes differed as function of IM and HM conditions (Fig. 9B), SDall, F(3, 45) = 6.71, p < .001, gp = .31, SD30, F(3, 45) = 7.14, p < .001, gp = .32, SD100, F(3, 45) = 6.10, p < .001, gp = .29. For SDall, direct comparison of the means (Bonferroni-adjusted for multiple comparisons, p < .05) revealed that the IM condition differed from each of the HM conditions. For both SD30 and SD100, IM differed from HMa=0.5 and HMa=1.0 conditions. For the SDall measure, HM conditions did not differ from each other. By contrast for SD30, a difference between HMa=0.5 and HMa=1.5 was observed. The general pattern of results for ITI variability magnitudes matched what was observed in the analysis of IBI variability magnitudes (Fig. 9A).

Fig. 8. Distribution of the variability scaling exponents of inter-tap intervals.

The all values for ITIs differed as a function of auditory stimulus condition, F(1.45, 21.77) = 100.39, p < .001, gp = .87 (Fig. 10B). The ordering of the means mirrored that of the IBIs of the stimuli (Fig. 10A). Direct comparison of the means (Bonferroni-adjusted for multiple comparisons, p < .05) revealed that all IM and HM conditions differed from one another. A combined analysis of ashort and along (see) revealed an interaction effect of the scaling range (i.e. ashort vs. along) and auditory stimuli, F(3, 45) = 84.67, p < .001, gp = .85, as well as main effects of both the scaling
range $F(1, 15) = 313.27.18, p < .001, gp = .95$, and the auditory stimulus, $F(1.42, 21.25) = 96.16, p < .001, gp = .87$.

The observed interaction effect captures the expected compression of differences across auditory stimulus conditions for $a$short, as well as an ordering of means that does not match that of the stimuli. Separate analyses for $a$short and along revealed main effects of auditory stimulus condition for both the $a$short, $F(3, 45) = 30.53, p < .001, gp = .67$, and along, $F(1.69, 25.34) = 113.32, p < .001, gp = .88$. For $a$short, a direct comparison of the means revealed all auditory stimulus conditions to differ. In contrast for $a$long, all IM and HM conditions were observed to differ, and the ordering of the means mirrored that of the stimuli.

![Fig. 9. Coordination task standard deviations (SDs) for auditory stimulus conditions. SD is calculated globally over the whole trial (SDall), and locally over window sizes of 100 intervals (SD100) and 30 intervals (SD30) for (A) inter-beat intervals (IBIs) and (B) inter-tap interval (ITIs).](image)

![Fig. 10. Scaling exponent $\alpha$ from DFA for (A) inter-beat intervals (IBIs) and (B) inter-tap intervals (ITIs). $\alpha$ is evaluated for scaling regions of 10–255 ($\alpha$all), 10–51 ($\alpha$short), and 51–255 ($\alpha$short) intervals.](image)
As hypothesized, the matching of ITI variability scaling to that of the auditory stimuli was only clearly observed for the longer timescale ranges of the DFA analysis (compare Fig. 6A, B). This pattern of results is also shown in Fig. 11 which depicts the fitting of regression lines to the DFA diffusion plots from each condition for a single participant.

**Did the properties of neural dynamics match those of tapping and auditory stimuli?**

Given the absence of reliable baselines for each trial in the coordination task, the effect of conditions on mean HbO₂ concentrations was not investigated. Variability magnitudes of HbO₂ concentration calculated over the whole trial (SDall), and within local windows matched for temporal length to 30 IBIs (SD30), and 100 IBIs (SD100), revealed a main effect of ROI, F(1, 15) = 6.86, p < .05, gp = .31, F(1, 15) = 5.10, p < .05, gp = .25 and F(1, 15) = 6.57, p < .05, gp = .30 respectively. In each case magnitude of variability for the sensorimotor ROI was greater than the motor ROI. An interaction of ROI side and auditory stimulus condition was observed for SDall, F(3, 45) = 3.409, p < .05, gp = .19 (Fig. 12A), but not for SD30 or SD100 (Fs < 1). This increase in global variability magnitude without a corresponding increase in local variability is consistent with increased time series persistence.

Given the results observed for ITIs (Fig. 10B), we predicted that variability scaling entrainment between HbO₂ concentrations and the auditory stimuli would only be observable on long scaling ranges of the DFA analysis. Separate analyses for both a short and along revealed an interaction of ROI side and auditory stimulus condition for a long, F(3, 45) = 5.10, p < .01, gp = .25 (Fig. 12C), but not for a short, F < 1 (Fig. 12B). Inspection of Fig. 12B suggests that there was a difference between those auditory stimulus conditions possessing persistent variability scaling structure (HMa=1.0 and HMa=1.5) and those not possessing persistent variability scaling structure (IM and HMa=0.5), for the contralateral ROIs. Separate analyses for both a short and along with ROI side and persistence as independent variables revealed an interaction of ROI side and persistence for a long, F(1, 15) = 7.21, p < .05, gp = .33, but not for ashort, F(1, 15) = 1.33, p = .27, gp = .08.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study the task of tapping finger and thumb to a variable beat was investigated. We tested the hypothesis that the scaling of variability magnitudes in the fluctuations of tap-to-tap intervals and the fluctuations of associated neural dynamics, would become drawn toward (i.e. entrained to) the variability scaling structure of the auditory stimuli. The IBIs of the auditory stimuli were matched for mean and global standard deviation but varied in variability scaling structures. Participants tasked with
coordinating to these stimuli became entrained not only to the frequency of stimulus, but also to the variability scaling structure of the stimulus. Consistent with the findings of Marmelat et al. (2014), the entrainment of ITI variability scaling to the IBI variability scaling of the stimulus was dependent upon the scaling range evaluated in the DFA analysis. Specifically, only ITI variability scaling evaluated within longer scaling ranges was found to mirror the pattern of changes in variability scalings that varied across the auditory stimuli, as well as to approximate the variability scaling values of the auditory stimuli. The dependence of our results upon the timescales evaluated in the DFA analysis suggests the existence of distinct scaling regions. This interpretation is reinforced by the pattern evident in the diffusion plots in Fig. 7. Distinct scaling regions have been observed for varied measures of human activity including the fluctuations of postural control (Collins et al., 1995), heart beats (Peng et al., 1993; Pittman-Polletta et al., 2013), and cerebral hemodynamics (Eke et al., 2006). In each of these cases, the observation of distinct scaling values, and scaling regions, has been interpreted as resulting from the interaction of control processes/mechanisms operating at particular scales.

Event-based models of temporal synchronization propose mechanisms of cycle-to-cycle adjustments, made with respect to either the IBIs and beat–tap asynchronies of external event stimuli (Torre et al., 2013), or with respect to internally generated beats (i.e. rhythmic neural dynamics) such as in the case of continuation tapping tasks (Wing...
and Kristofferson, 1973; Vorberg and Wing, 1996; Vorberg and Schulze, 2002). Anti-persistent scaling structure present for shorter time-scales of variability scaling analyses is taken to be indicative of short range event-based corrective mechanisms (Torre and Delignie`res, 2008; Marmelat et al., 2014). In the case of continuation tapping tasks, variability scaling is observed to possess anti-persistent scaling structure at shorter timescales, and persistent structure at longer timescales (Yamada, 1995; Chen et al., 2002; Delignie`res et al., 2004; Madison, 2004). In tasks in which tapping is synchronized to the beat of an IM stimuli, anti-persistent scaling structure is evident for both shorter and longer timescales (Torre and Delignie`res, 2008). The tendency in our results for ITI variability scaling evaluated at shorter timescales to tend toward antipersistence or an absence of persistence, is consistent with event-based corrective mechanisms being implicated in the studied coordination task.

Fig. 12. Effects of auditory stimulus condition and ROI side for measures of variability magnitude (A), and variability scaling measured at short (B) and long (C) time scale ranges of the DFA analysis.

Measures of HbO2 levels in sensorimotor and motor cortical areas were studied as indicators of brain activity dynamics. Variability scaling was observed in HbO2 levels. As hypothesized, the variability scaling of HbO2 fluctuations in both investigated ROIs was drawn toward the variability scaling values of the constructed auditory stimuli. This result parallels the recent findings of Lin et al. (2016). They studied the task of perceptually discriminating auditory metronomes that differed with respect to the variability scaling of their pitch fluctuations. They observed that the variability scaling structure of both slow cortical potentials and a-oscillation amplitudes, measured via MEG, predicted subjects’ discrimination performance in the auditory task. Both findings suggest that complex auditory stimuli—and by extension environmental events—engage the central nervous system at the level of variability scaling structure.

The variability scaling entrainment of HbO2 fluctuations was observed only at the long timescales of the DFA analysis, matching the pattern of results for ITI fluctuation
variability scaling. The shared timescale-dependencies of \( \text{HbO}_2 \) fluctuations with motor output fluctuations, rather than with auditory stimuli fluctuations, suggest that the role of the investigated ROIs was sensory–motor rather than sensory.

Consistent with a dynamical systems perspective on complex adaptive behavior (Chiel and Beer, 1997; Deligniéres and Marmelat, 2013; Harrison and Stergiou, 2015) we have assumed here that dynamical processes operating at various time scales underly the effective coordination of body, nervous-system, and environment. We have also assumed that the underlying dynamical processes that are implicated in the studied task will affect the variability structure that is quantified by DFA analysis. Various mechanisms have been put forward as potential bases for variability scaling entrainment. Simulations by Torre et al. (2013) reveal that autoregressive models of event-based corrective adjustments can produce ITI entrainment to isochronous stimuli, and heterochronous stimuli possessing both persistent and non-persistent structure. Fine et al. (2015) has shown that in tasks that involve the coordination of elements with oscillatory dynamics (i.e. without discrete events), variability scaling entrainment depends upon the frequency/phase entrainment dynamics of coupled oscillatory systems. Lastly, Stephen and Dixon (2011) consider that variability scaling entrainment should be conceived as a product of multiplicative cascade dynamics, entailing a coordination of fluctuations among multiple time scales. This viewpoint fits most closely with the notion of complexity matching and suggests more global mechanisms, such as that of “\(1/f\) resonance” between connected complex networks (Aquino et al., 2011). Auto-correlation analyses of ITI time series and beat-tap asynchrony time series, as well as cross-correlation analyses of IBI and ITI time series, have been used in previous studies to provide insight into the process of dynamical modulation implicated in coordinating action to isochronous stimuli (e.g. Torre and Deligniéres, 2008). Such analyses were not performed presently due to the inherent autocorrelative structure of the heterochronous stimuli investigated, as well as complications arising from high level of tapping performance variability observed. With respect to the issue of performance variability, instances of phase wrapping made it impossible to determine a 1:1 beat-to-tap correspondence. Consequently, hypotheses regarding the implication of specific cycle-to-cycle adjustment processes could not be evaluated directly.

Neural mechanisms underlying variability scaling entrainment are suggested by neural resonance theory (Large and Snyder, 2009). This theory proposes that neural rhythms in the neural networks underlying both rhythm perception and coordination become synchronized to acoustic rhythms (Large et al., 2015; Nozaradan et al., 2016). Additional neural mechanisms of variability scaling entrainment are potentially implicated in the known sensitivities of the investigated motor cortex and sensorimotor cortical areas. BOLD indicators of activity in these areas have been observed to be affected by the intensity of simple manual motor tasks (Kuboyama et al., 2004; Shibuya et al., 2008; Shibusawa et al., 2009), the frequency of coordinated manual actions (Obrig et al., 1996; Kuboyama et al., 2004, 2005; Brigadoi et al., 2012), and the relative phase of coordinated effectors in bimanual rhythmic coordination tasks (Oullier et al.,
These dependencies may offer a rudimentary mechanism for understanding the observed entrainment of HbO fluctuations.

Whether the presently observed variability scaling entrainment is taken to be driven by short range or more global mechanisms, the variability scaling entrainment in the studied measures suggest distant functional connectivity between neural activity, body movement, and environmental event structure, as well as the possibility of mutual responsiveness to multiscaled dynamics between these functional subsystems. Mutual responsiveness is of theoretical significance for understanding adaptive behavior, that is, for understanding the ability of behavior to be adapted to the demands of the situation in which it is embedded. The primary theoretical significance of multiscale entrainment and responsiveness is that the meaningfulness of environmental encounters spans a wide range of spatial and temporal scales. Consequently, across nervous system, body, and environment, behavior at any scale is only functional to the extent that it is adaptively situated with respect to levels above and acts to situate the levels below (Turvey and Fonseca, 2014; Harrison and Stergiou, 2015).

Understanding the specific form of functional connectivity underlying the presently revealed entrainment of fluctuation magnitudes may benefit from some changes and refinements of the presently adopted methods. Auditory–motor event-based synchronization is known to be supported by a network of functionally connected cortical and subcortical neural regions (Chen et al., 2008b). This network is believed to include the dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex, pre-supplementary motor area, inferior parietal lobule, and cerebellum lobule VI, and dorsal premotor cortex. Expanding the analysis across these regions may reveal that variability scaling structures are constrained in ROI-specific ways. This prediction is motivated by measure-specific variability scaling effects observed in studies of motor coordination (Coey et al., 2013; Terrier et al., 2005). Our analysis was also limited by the lack of beat-to-tap correspondence. Reliable beat to tap correspondence supports additional measures of sensorimotor synchronization that are revealing of underlying timing mechanisms in the cycle-to-cycle dynamics of coordination (Repp, 2005). Beat-to-tap correspondence may be improved by attempting to increase the motivation and alertness of participants. The incorporation of EEG measures would allow the neural dynamics unfolding at multiple timescales to be separated out and distinctly evaluated, including those of cycle-to-cycle movement dynamics (Nozaradan et al., 2013). Given such an analysis it would be fruitful to investigate auditory stimuli in which variability is selectively manipulated at specific timescales.

Of last note, in contrast to the analysis of ITIs, the observed magnitudes of changes of variability scaling values across conditions for HbO₂ levels did not approximate those of either the ITI or the IBI variability scaling values. Moreover, the range of variation across conditions for HbO₂ level variability scaling values was significantly compressed compared to the other measures. This compression and shifting is not evident in recent EEG studies designed to examine variability scaling.
(Smit et al., 2013), thus the basis for these data qualities may be due our use of HbO₂ as a measure, or our choices regarding HbO₂ data post-processing.
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