HOUSING AND BUSINESS INVESTMENT IN NEBRASKA

(Editor's Note: The 1975 Legislative Session of the Nebraska Legislature recognized the need to analyze all available options for dealing with the problems of urban redevelopment: lack of adequate housing, revitalization of older business districts, and possible incentives for investment in older neighborhoods in Nebraska. Accordingly, Resolution 53 directed the Legislature's Urban Affairs Committee to study a) causes of urban decay, b) current Federal and State programs in urban redevelopment, c) laws and programs of other states that encourage redevelopment, d) incentives to encourage urban redevelopment and e) needs for changes in Nebraska law.

The study here summarized was completed by the Center for Applied Urban Research under contract with the Urban Affairs Committee of the Nebraska Legislature and the State Office of Planning and Programming as one aspect of the larger program. The study was designed a) to ascertain the demand for housing and business investment funds and the factors which discourage such investment in declining neighborhoods of Nebraska's two major metropolitan cities, Omaha and Lincoln, and in Nebraska's nonmetropolitan communities, and b) to recommend areas for consideration by the administrative and legislative branches of the Nebraska government. The study was financed in part through a comprehensive planning assistance grant from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development under contract with the Nebraska Legislature and the Nebraska State Office of Planning and Programming. Comprehensive Planning Grant, Project No. NEB-P-1010.)

Study Areas

The areas studied by the Center for Applied Urban Research are identified in Maps 1, 2 and 3. Omaha and Lincoln study areas conform to the neighborhoods receiving or eligible for first-year HUD Community Development funding. Five nonmetropolitan communities, Beatrice, Lexington, Broken Bow, Columbus and Hartington, were selected in consultation with the Nebraska Department of Economic Development as being representative of communities with 50,000 or less population.

Findings

Interviews with homeowners, renters, and businessmen were conducted to determine the extent of need for loans and problems, if any, in obtaining loans and property insurance.

- Twenty-eight percent of home loan applications in declining neighborhoods in Omaha and Lincoln were rejected during the two and one-half year study period.
- Loan applicants in the Lincoln target neighborhoods were more likely to obtain financing through conventional mortgage institutions than those in Omaha's target neighborhoods.
- Estimated demand for home mortgage loans in declining neighborhoods in Omaha was $82 million and in Lincoln was $10 million.
- Fifteen percent of the Omaha businessmen interviewed who applied for property insurance were either turned down or offered loans with excessive premiums. More than half of these indicated that the location of the business was a factor in the rejection by insurance companies.
- Omaha target areas studied contained about 43 percent of Douglas County housing units but obtained only about 12 percent of the mortgages issued during the two and one-half year study period. Lincoln areas studied contained about nine percent of Lancaster County housing units and accounted for four percent of mortgages issued during the same period.

Interviews with representatives of mortgage lending institutions and realtors identified current lending patterns.

- Age and condition of housing units were the foremost factors in financial institution decisions on mortgage or home improvement loan applications, but 39 percent of Omaha lenders interviewed and 36 percent in Lincoln indicated that
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a property's location in a declining neighborhood would have also influenced the decision.

- A lending policy operating against declining urban neighborhoods was the use of minimum loan amounts. More than half the Omaha lenders interviewed and 36 percent of those in Lincoln indicated such a policy.

- In contrast to mortgage loans, home improvement loans were not found to be affected by lending policies in declining neighborhoods.

- In all, 53 percent of Omaha and 83 percent of Lincoln lending institutions interviewed identified at least one lending practice that operates against property in declining neighborhoods.

Government officials, financial institution representatives and prominent residents and businessmen of five nonmetropolitan Nebraska communities who were interviewed expressed the following opinions concerning lending policies and practices of financial institutions in their towns.

- Housing funds in the nonmetropolitan communities surveyed appeared adequate to meet demand. However, State officials cited several parts of the state where they believed housing funds were critically short.

- Business financing in nonmetropolitan communities was inadequate.

- No evidence of discrimination by financial institutions against older declining areas in larger nonmetropolitan areas was found. Financial institutions in the larger nonmetropolitan communities were found to fix higher interest rates and shorter loan terms for loan applicants from smaller surrounding communities and rural areas.

Respondents at all levels of involvement in the investment process were asked for suggestions to encourage housing and business investment.

- Although each looked at the problem from his own perspective, lenders, realtors, businessmen and landlords from declining Omaha and Lincoln neighborhoods all favored tax relief and subsidies and community improvement programs.

- Lenders also favored improved lending policies such as faster, cheaper mortgage foreclosures and homeowner counseling.

- Lenders and realtors both stressed the importance of home maintenance and demolition of deteriorated property as deterrents to neighborhood decline and incentives to invest.

- Government officials, also, stressed tax relief and subsidies as incentives to investors. They believed the lag in tax assessment tends to over-tax structures in older neighborhoods and the tax on improvements discourages maintenance by low-income homeowners. Some believed Federal housing programs are too rigid and inflexible.

- Almost all government officials believed maintenance of civic services and facilities is essential to counteract neighborhood decline. They urged local governments to adopt better zoning and land subdivision controls. Several endorsed the concept of a State Housing Authority to aid development and improve in declining areas.

- Neither lenders nor local government officials favored requiring disclosure of geographic lending and deposit information or forming lender/government review boards to handle claims of unfair denial of mortgages.

- More than half the respondents in the nonmetropolitan communities believed attracting industry was essential to stimulate housing and business development in their communities and felt the State could do more to encourage it.

Recommendations

From these findings, the Center developed 17 recommendations for the Nebraska Legislature for its consideration and possible legislation. The recommendations involved three approaches to solving the problem: regulation of lending practices, provision of incentives to invest in declining urban neighborhoods and nonmetropolitan communities and elimination of existing barriers to investment in these areas.

Regulatory Measures

- Enact legislation supplementing the Federal Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975 by requiring data on the geographic location of depositors, the number and characteristics of persons rejected for loans and the reasons for rejecting the loans.

- Use State capital reserve deposits as levers to require greater investment in declining urban neighborhoods and nonmetropolitan communities. Authority to use this same procedure should be extended to local governments through enabling legislation.

Incentive Measures

- Encourage the formation of capital risk pools such as the Greater Omaha Community Development and Housing Corporation and consortiums of financial institutions such as the Private Interest Lenders Agency by offering State participation.

- Permit the use of State and local governmental capital reserves to purchase obligations of financial institutions in areas short of capital, provided those institutions agree to use this capital for housing and business investment in the area.

- Provide subsidies to lenders to equalize costs of originating and servicing loans in declining areas with the costs of loans such as those in new suburban areas.

- Remove or ease the tax penalty on owners of deteriorated properties who make needed improvements. The major options are authorizing local governments to (1) adopt abatement tax, (2) grant property tax deferments for improvements to deteriorated properties and (3) freeze assessments on new developments in declining areas for a specific number of years.

- Permit credits against State income taxes for improvements to properties in declining urban neighborhoods and nonmetropolitan communities.

- Authorize local governments and taxing jurisdictions to rely more on sales and income taxes and less on property taxes for revenue.

- Authorize local governments to establish special benefit business improvement districts.

- Strengthen State government involvement in housing and community development through (1) a state-wide housing and community development policy; (2) uniform state-wide building, plumbing and electrical codes; (3) a State Housing and Community Development Department or Agency and (4) financial commitment adequate to implement recommendations.

Elimination of Barriers

- Encourage local governments to adopt improved land use controls and modern construction codes, to improve their administration of such controls and codes and to adopt and implement community-wide growth policies.

- Insure that State and local public services are provided to declining urban neighborhoods and to nonmetropolitan communities equally with "affluent" suburban areas.

- Make existing community development and urban renewal enabling legislation for all classes of local government more flexible and more useful tools for community improvement.

- Strengthen existing legislation relating to the improvement of property tax assessment procedures by county assessors.

- Review existing legislation for the public acquisition of tax delinquent properties with the view toward further simplifying and speeding up the process.

- Permit quicker, cheaper foreclosure procedures, particularly on abandoned or abused properties.

- Authorize all classes of local government to permit up to 20 years to repay special assessments.

THE BENSON BUSINESS DISTRICT: A SURVEY OF PUBLIC OPINION

(Editors' Note: This is the first of a five-part series of interviews with Omaha regarding attitudes toward traditional business centers--Benson, Florence, Near North Omaha, South Omaha and the Central Business District. Surveys in these series are part of a CAUR study under contract with the City of Omaha designed to determine the economic impact of each of the five study areas on Omaha and examine incentives to private investment in these areas.)

Respondents were also asked to identify their reasons for shopping or not shopping in Benson, what they liked best and least about the Benson business district and what improvements they thought would encourage more shopping in the Benson business district.

When respondents were asked to characterize the amount of their Benson shopping for non-grocery items almost half indicated they do some shopping in Benson, with an additional 16 percent doing almost all shopping there. A majority of Benson area residents in all age and income categories do at least some shopping in the Benson business district.

Those who almost always shop in Benson for items other than groceries most frequently said their reasons were convenience, liking the stores and friendly atmosphere and finding...
quality merchandise available. Those who characterized their household non-grocery shopping in Benson as "some" said they didn't shop more there because the stores lack variety or because they prefer the larger shopping centers and "shopping around." The reason most frequently cited by those who never shop in the Benson business district was that they would rather visit shopping centers. The two reasons cited next most frequently by area residents who occasionally or never shop in Benson were high prices and the fact that they "don't shop much" for items other than groceries.

Respondents were asked to rate overall shopping conditions in the Benson business district. A majority described conditions as either "good" or "excellent." Fewer than one percent rated them "very poor."

When asked about their grocery shopping, Benson area residents were almost evenly divided among those who almost always, those who sometimes and those who never patronize Benson grocery stores. No significant difference emerged between shopping patterns of respondents aged 25-64 and those older than 65.

Whether shopping for grocery or non-grocery items, respondents who do not own automobiles appear less likely to "shop around," more frequently shopping in Benson either almost always or never.2 As is to be expected, those with no automobiles were more likely to stress the nearness and convenience, less likely to comment on quality or selection of available merchandise as the deciding factors in their decisions than those with automobiles.

Asked what they like best about the Benson business district, respondents in all age brackets most frequently cited its proximity to their homes, nice atmosphere and small-town feeling. Benson area residents often had high praise for particular stores in the Benson district.

Asked what they like least, respondents most often cited parking problems, which were also the second most commonly suggested improvement for the Benson business district. Lack of evening shopping hours, the need for more stores and for renovation of existing businesses were other common concerns. The lack of selection most often cited was in clothing stores and restaurants.

To a great extent the elderly rely on relatives and neighbors to take them shopping. In addition, there are often other nearby retail establishments both for grocery and non-grocery items.

### TABLE 1

**THE BENSON BUSINESS DISTRICT: A SURVEY OF PUBLIC OPINION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How much shopping for non-grocery items does your household do in the Benson business district?</th>
<th>Overall, would you rate shopping conditions in the Benson business district as:</th>
<th>How much grocery shopping does your household do in the Benson business district?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Almost All</td>
<td>Some</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Respondents</strong></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-64</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 or over</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under $4,000</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4,000-$8,000</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8,000-$12,000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$12,000-$16,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$16,000-$20,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20,000-$25,000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over $25,000</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Own Auto</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CURRENT URBAN-RELATED STATE LEGISLATION

The 62-day second session of the 84th Nebraska Legislature ended April 7, 1976. Of the 1011 bills proposed by the 84th Legislature, more than two hundred were voted into law in the second session. Legislation most directly related to urban issues may be classified into five categories: revenue and development, the election process, health, education and public assistance.1

1A principal urban concern about which no major action was taken this session is crime and law enforcement. A comprehensive revision of Nebraska criminal law has been under development for seven years, filed as Bills 623, 706 and 707 this session. This legislation was pre-filed and is expected to become one of the first major concerns of the 85th Legislature.

The following is a summary of major legislative decisions in these areas.

**Revenue and Development**

Four bills were approved with potential to affect revenue and development in Nebraska's metropolitan cities. LB 313 increases restrictions on practices of Sanitary and Improvement Districts by requiring payment of warrants for construction within five years of the establishment of the district, election of three trustees by resident property owners within eight years of the establishment of the district, and preparation of a report.
Two public assistance programs were effected by legislation this session. Requirements for unemployment benefits were increased by LB 819, which lengthened the waiting period from 2 to 7-10 weeks for applicants who left positions voluntarily without good cause, and raised maximum unemployment insurance rates from 2.7 percent to 3.7 percent of salaries up to $4,200 per year for employers having negative payment balances. Legislation debated without a final vote taken would have required applicants for unemployment compensation to accept public employment, increased tax exemptions and homestead exemptions for the elderly and eliminated the sales tax on food.

Although Chapter III deals specifically with manpower assistance, the U.S. Department of Labor. As is stated in the introduction to Part I, "The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution."

Part I of the Handbook provides a minimum framework for implementing the purposes of the law. Chapter I deals with the roles and responsibilities of the various agencies and the implications of the law for social service delivery systems. Chapter II examines the history of social service delivery systems, the development of public policy, and the funding of social services. Chapter III discusses the process of delivering social services, including the evaluation of services and the development of new service delivery systems. Chapter IV provides information on the development and implementation of social programs, including the design and evaluation of social programs. Chapter V provides information on the implementation of social programs, including the design and evaluation of social programs. Chapter VI provides information on the implementation of social programs, including the design and evaluation of social programs. Chapter VII provides information on the implementation of social programs, including the design and evaluation of social programs.

This section is devoted to a discussion of "two census studies" which have application to urban planning. The first publication, Handbook for Manpower Planners, has potential use for all planning activities that focus on human resources. The second publication, Social Statistics for the Elderly, uses Omaha data as a prototype to show how a social statistics system can be developed. Both publications are attempts by the Bureau of the Census to disseminate and improve the social statistics system.

The Handbook on Social Statistics for the Elderly is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution. The Handbook is intended to aid a specific group of professionals and was not prepared for general distribution.