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NATO-EASTERN EUROPEAN
NON-AGGRESSION PACT
MAY BE GOOD FOR U.S.
George Kennan's Comments
WASHINGTON, Monday (Aug. 25) (AP)

George Kennan, speaking Sunday night that a non-aggression pact between the Eastern European countries and the U.S. could be to the U.S. advantage if it was well thought out and not signed without forethought.

NATO leaders were divided on whether a non-aggression pact would be to the U.S. advantage. Some, including U.S. Secretary of State Dean Acheson, said the pact would be a wise move. Others, including President Truman, were opposed.

Kennan said that the pact would be to the U.S. advantage if it was well thought out and not signed without forethought. He also said that the pact would be to the U.S. advantage if the U.S. did not sign it.

Kensit, the executive secretary of the Department of State, and Dr. John Foster Dulles, the U.S. Ambassodor to the U.S., were both opposed to the pact. They said that the pact would be to the U.S. advantage if the U.S. did not sign it.

Kensit said that the pact would be to the U.S. advantage if it was well thought out and not signed without forethought. He also said that the pact would be to the U.S. advantage if the U.S. did not sign it.

Kensit, the executive secretary of the Department of State, and Dr. John Foster Dulles, the U.S. Ambassador to the U.S., were both opposed to the pact. They said that the pact would be to the U.S. advantage if the U.S. did not sign it.