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Little Death

Abstract
This is a film review of *Little Death* (2024), directed by Jack Begert.
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Little Death (2024), dir. Jack Begert

*Little Death* is a curious and disjointed effort in which two totally disparate stories are brought together with no effort to attempt to make them dovetail, and it is a disappointingly threadbare work which is unlikely to find a ready-made audience. The first part has moments of sardonic wit accompanied by virtuoso animated flourishes, in which a television screenwriter (David Schwimmer) undergoes a midlife crisis, and is all set to have the break of a lifetime and make a film that has been his real passion—only to be told that the ‘minor detail’ that is stopping it from getting the green light treatment is that the protagonist, clearly modelled on his own life experience, needs to be rewritten as a female on the grounds that audiences have had enough of white men in crisis.

This part of the film seemed to resonate with the packed audience I saw it with at Sundance who found the angst-ridden middle-aged man whose greatest burden seems to be that his successful TV sitcom is actually quite rubbish, to be superficially self-absorbed, neurotic and
lacking in empathy. So far, so Woody Allen. Gaby Hoffman, who made one of her first films at the age of 14 in Woody Allen’s musical comedy *Everyone Says I Love You*, appears here as the female version of Schwimmer’s male-in-crisis, and for much of the first part of the film she appears interchangeably with Schwimmer—even in scenes of domestic discord with his partner, played by Jena Malone—as well as with various Hollywood executives. But when Schwimmer experiences a break-in at his home, he is killed in a freak accident involving bowling balls which crush his skull and his character ends at this point.

Hitchcock went for a similar conceit in *Psycho* by having the lead character die in the first half of the film—but that classic film offers a stylistic and narrative continuity with what came before. *Little Death*, however, proceeds to give us a heist-gone-wrong story involving three young characters with addiction problems, and this second half is fundamentally discontinuous from the first. It reminded me in places of Doug Liman’s indie hit from 1999, *Go*, in its tale of a drug deal gone awry, but without the wit or level of structural convergence. Indeed, *Little Death* has no character arc in its second half, whereas at least the first part had something ironic to offer about the absurdities of Hollywood screenwriting. It offered at least a critique of commercial mores and attitudes, whereas the second story is linear and seems tailored to an opposing demographic.

These are two worlds in free fall, and the audience I saw this with didn’t even wait for the credits before they dispersed from the packed auditorium. There is a potentially rewarding intellectual exercise in giving us two different films aimed at different audiences appearing in the same film, but it doesn’t work as it feels here like we are being cheated and/or that two undeveloped screenplays by different writers were combined, as if a Charlie Kaufman character deconstruction was combined with a linear, unironic, unremarkable crime story. *Little Death* is ultimately going to be remembered as a failed attempt at breaking the mold rather than for anything...
that happens onscreen, and it is sad that a film that seems so adept in the first part at understanding
and dissecting Hollywood should then turn into a film that so fundamentally misunderstands how
Hollywood works.