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PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES
When considering the various extrinsic variables that may affect disease vulnerability, it is valuable to study the temporal ordering of factors to identify targets for disease intervention efforts. This study sought to better understand the causal ordering of ethnicity, age, sex, education, disease burden, and dementia diagnosis. This analysis utilized data from the Aging, Demographics, and Memory Study, a sub-set of the Health and Retirement Study. The goal was to inform the development of meaningful networks of support and intervention for reduction of disease vulnerability across the lifespan.

METHODS
- Participants and/or proxies self-reported total number of chronic conditions and procedures, regarded as disease burden
- Participants assessed in four waves, not reassessed after dementia diagnosis
- Dementia diagnosis based on:
  1. Detailed in-person clinical assessments
  2. Neuropsychological test battery
  3. Physical exam
  4. Standardized neurological exam
  5. Buccal tissue testing
  6. Extensive informant reporting
- Statistical analysis excluded:
  1) Cognitively Impaired Not Demented (n=79)
  2) Deceased participants (n=246)
- Cross-sectional weighting utilized to adjust for sample selection, non-response adjustment, and post-stratification to U.S. population controls
- Analyses: Path modeling, logistic and linear regression analyses were conducted to produce standardized β for each dependent variable

RESULTS: Path Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Direct Effect*</th>
<th>Indirect Pathway</th>
<th>Total Effect (β)</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>Age, Education, Diagnosis</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>As age increased, risk of dementia diagnosis increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Female)</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>Sex, Education, Diagnosis</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>Being female increased risk of dementia diagnosis compared to being male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (Hispanic)</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>Hispanic, Education, Disease</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>Being Hispanic increased risk of dementia diagnosis compared to being non-Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity (Black)</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>Black, Education, Diagnosis</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>Being Black increased risk of dementia diagnosis compared to being non-Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of Formal Education (Education)</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Number of Conditions or Procedures (Disease Burden)</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Dementia Diagnosis (Demented %)</td>
<td>78.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All effects statistically significant at p<.001

DISCUSSION
Pathway of Cumulative Disadvantage:
- Older ethnic females with low education and high disease burden may be especially vulnerable to dementia diagnosis. They may suffer from lifelong cumulative disadvantage which may impact their access to education and healthcare. This disadvantage may also increase stress, decrease healthy lifestyle behaviors, and ultimately impact morbidity and mortality.

Unexpected Results:
- It was unanticipated that being non-white (Figure 1) would negatively predict disease burden, despite the overall effect of ethnicity on dementia diagnosis being positive. It has been frequently documented that ethnic minorities carry a higher disease burden. However, this lower disease burden within the sample may suggest the relative health of the response sample compared to the U.S. population. It may be that these ethnic respondents were more likely to take part in the survey due to lower rates of disease compared to the general population. Alternatively, this result may point to minority groups’ lower access to healthcare and disease diagnostics, and thus lower apparent burden.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS
- Conduct further SEM analyses by including other covariates and risk factors. Focus these analyses on identifying the temporal ordering of lifestyle and health behavior risk factors (i.e. smoking, drinking, physical activity), and specific diseases (i.e. diabetes and cardiovascular disease). Information on the causal ordering of these variables in relation to dementia diagnosis adds to the body of research on modifiable risk factors. This allows for the development of public health interventions and preventative treatments to alleviate the lifelong burden of disease, and potentially reduce the incidence of dementia.
- Continue to integrate ethnic- and sex-specific research into program development to better support vulnerable populations and improve the disease experience for future cohorts.