

1 Dear Editor,

2 We appreciate the thoughtful commentary by Chastin et al regarding our
3 recent article entitled “Nonlinear Analysis of Ambulatory Activity Patterns in
4 Community-dwelling Older Adults.”¹ We fully agree with their observation that the
5 application of nonlinear analytical tools to accelerometry data is an emerging area
6 of research that shows potential for illuminating the complex nature of physical
7 activity profiles. We also welcome the opportunity to discuss their concerns
8 regarding (1) our application of detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA), entropy rate
9 (ER), and approximate entropy (ApEn) to natural activity data, and (2) our narrow
10 focus on stepping activity.

11 Regarding the first concern, we respectfully disagree with their contention
12 that “entropy-based measures of walked minutes time series clearly do not provide
13 an estimate of complexity, independent of activity levels.” Consider the 24-hour
14 recordings collected from individual study participants (Figure 1.) In panel A, each
15 person accumulated approximately the same number of steps over the course of a
16 day (3,582 vs. 3,684, % difference[^] = 2.8.) Yet the complexity embedded in the
17 temporal structure of their activity patterns was distinctly different (DFA α : 0.61 vs.
18 1.03, % difference = 51.0; ER: 1.76 vs. 2.60, % difference = 38.5; ApEn: 0.1161 vs.
19 0.2232, % difference = 63.1). Alternatively, in panel B, two individuals each
20 accumulated a distinctly different number of steps (4,682 vs. 12,788, % difference =
21 92.8). Yet the complexity of their activity patterns was remarkably similar (DFA α :

[^] Percentage (%) difference calculated as $(A-B)/((A+B)/2)$

43 contain sequences of walking-related events that are deterministic in origin,
44 presumably from complex interactions in underlying physiologic systems
45 responsible for their production; and both can be easily captured in sufficient
46 quantity to be suitable for nonlinear analyses.

47 From our perspective, the primary difference between step count and gait
48 cycle time series lies in the fundamental nature of what each represents. In typical
49 gait cycle measurement protocols, the physical and social environments of the
50 laboratory are artificially fixed, in what arguably may be an unnatural way, so that
51 nonlinear methods can be focused directly on the complexity of physiologic output
52 produced by an individual. In free-living activity monitoring, however, data capture
53 intentionally includes the interaction of an individual with their natural, dynamic
54 environment. In this context, nonlinear analyses (e.g., DFA) are constructed to draw
55 inferences about the complex nature of the individual-environment interaction.
56 Given this distinction, we agree with our colleagues that our data did not reveal
57 much about stride to stride stepping patterns; we believe instead that our data
58 revealed a great deal about the complex nature of how active and inactive older
59 individuals vary their walking patterns throughout the day as they interact with
60 their natural physical and social environments.

61 Our colleagues' second concern appears to relate to our choice of step counts
62 to provide a representative record of physical activity patterns. The concern, they
63 contend, is especially valid given that human behavior emerges naturally from the
64 interaction of multiple influences and not according to an arbitrary time scale. We

65 agree that our approach, like many other models used to understand human
66 behavior, used a limited lens; indeed, we explicitly listed factors not considered in
67 our interpretation of findings and recognized that “physical activity cannot be
68 inferred from step counts alone.” Importantly, we chose to sample step counts at 1-
69 minute intervals to facilitate comparisons of our data with pedometer-based studies
70 of physical activity.²

71 We do not share our colleagues’ view that because of its multiple influences,
72 the “analysis of sequences of active and sedentary periods promises to be more
73 difficult than gait time series.” Alternatively, we submit that the clinical
74 interpretation of nonlinear analysis applied to ambulatory activity data can be
75 enhanced through the application of broad theoretical views of humans as adaptive
76 systems. According to our previous work,³ healthy human states are associated with
77 optimal movement variability that reflects the adaptability of the underlying control
78 system. Sequences of naturally occurring active and sedentary periods, which
79 contain movement variability expressed at a behavioral level, are interpreted to
80 reveal the extent to which individuals both adapt to and create changes in their
81 environment.⁴ We believe, therefore, that nonlinear analyses of activity
82 fluctuations, by quantifying the complexity of the human-environment interaction,
83 offer potential insight into how healthy, adaptable states are sustained. Said
84 differently, nonlinear analyses might be better suited for determining the
85 characteristics of healthy activity profiles, especially among individuals at risk for
86 functional decline, than for understanding the underlying influences of activity.

87 Sincerely,

88 James T. Cavanaugh and Nicholas Stergiou

89

90 References

91 **1.** Cavanaugh JT, Kochi N, Stergiou N. Nonlinear analysis of ambulatory activity
92 patterns in community-dwelling older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.*
93 Feb 2010;65(2):197-203.

94

95 **2.** Aoyagi Y, Shephard RJ. Steps per day: the road to senior health? *Sports Med.*
96 2009;39(6):423-438.

97

98 **3.** Stergiou N, Harbourne R, Cavanaugh J. Optimal movement variability: a new
99 theoretical perspective for neurologic physical therapy. *J Neurol Phys Ther.*
100 Sep 2006;30(3):120-129.

101

102 **4.** Andrews HA, Roy C. The Roy adaptation model: the definitive statement. East
103 Norwalk: Appleton & Lange; 1991.

104

105

106

107

108 Correspondence

109 Address all correspondence to: J.T. Cavanaugh, Assistant Professor, University of
110 New England, Department of Physical Therapy, Portland, ME 04103 (USA). Email:
111 jcavanaugh@une.edu

112

113

114 Figure Legend

115 Twenty-four hour recordings of ambulatory activity from four study
116 participants. Panel A: Participants display a similar amount of accumulated steps
117 yet different complexity profiles. The lower activity recording reveals relatively
118 more complex temporal structure than the upper recording. Panel B: Participants
119 display dramatically different amounts of accumulated steps yet similar complexity
120 profiles.

121

122

123

Figure 1

