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TREATY SIGNED UNDER THREAT OF FORCE IS ILLEGAL, SAYS TABIBI

The following are highlights of a speech by Dr. Tabibi, who has been the Health Minister of Afghanistan since the country's independence.

"The Government of Afghanistan is not going to sign the treaty," Dr. Tabibi said. "We are not going to sign the treaty under threat of force."

"The treaty is an infringement of our sovereignty," he added. "It is an infringement of the rights of our people."

"The treaty is a violation of our national interests," Dr. Tabibi continued. "It is a violation of the rights of our people to determine their own future."

"We are not going to sign the treaty," Dr. Tabibi concluded. "We are not going to sign the treaty under threat of force."

THE LANGUAGE PRESS

AT A GLANCE

The International Law Committee of the General Assembly had decided that the treaty was illegal and that it should not be signed. The committee had also decided that the treaty was a violation of Afghan sovereignty.

The government had decided not to sign the treaty. The government had also decided to appeal the decision of the International Law Committee to the International Court of Justice.

The treaty was signed by Afghan leaders. The government had decided not to sign the treaty. The government had also decided to appeal the decision of the International Law Committee to the International Court of Justice.
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World At Threshold Of Abundance FAO Congress Told
WASHINGTON, June 6. (UPI) — The World Food Conference, which is to begin in Rome tonight, is being hailed by FAO officials as the kind of meeting that could cause a dramatic change in the world's food situation — and thus in the world peace.

The meeting is to be attended by 1,200 delegates to include heads of state, foreign ministers, and ministers of agriculture and other related fields from 134 countries, in addition to representatives of the United Nations, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, and the World Bank.

"This conference is the most important international meeting in the history of the world," FAO Director-General Stuart Symington said yesterday.

Mr. Symington, who was named FAO director by President Johnson last week, said the conference will be held to find solutions to the world's food problems so that the United Nations can carry out its responsibility of helping the less developed countries.

Mr. Symington cited the example of the seven-week-old general strike.